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Abstract

Part I: Ultra-trace determination of vanadium in lake sediments: a performance comparison using O₂, N₂O, and NH₃ as reaction gases in ICP-DRC-MS

Thermal ion-molecule reactions, targeting removal of specific spectroscopic interference problems, have become a powerful tool for method development in quadrupole based inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) applications. A study was conducted to develop an accurate method for the determination of vanadium in lake sediment samples by ICP-MS, coupled with a dynamic reaction cell (DRC), using two different chemical resolution strategies: a) direct removal of interfering ClO⁺ and b) vanadium oxidation to VO⁺. The performance of three reaction gases that are suitable for handling vanadium interference in the dynamic reaction cell was systematically studied and evaluated: ammonia for ClO⁺ removal and oxygen and nitrous oxide for oxidation. Although it was able to produce comparable results for vanadium to those using oxygen and nitrous oxide, NH₃ did not completely eliminate a matrix effect, caused by the presence of chloride, and required large scale dilutions (and a concomitant increase in variance) when the sample and/or the digestion medium contained large amounts of chloride. Among the three candidate reaction gases at their optimized conditions, creation of VO⁺ with oxygen gas delivered the best analyte sensitivity and the lowest detection limit (2.7 ng L⁻¹). Vanadium results obtained from fourteen lake sediment samples and a certified reference material (CRM031-040-1), using two different analyte/interference separation strategies, suggested that the vanadium mono-oxidation offers advantageous performance over the conventional method using NH₃ for ultra-trace vanadium determination by ICP-DRC-MS and can be readily employed in relevant environmental chemistry applications that deal with ultra-trace contaminants.
Part II: Validation of a modified oxidation approach for the quantification of total arsenic and selenium in complex environmental matrices

Spectroscopic interference problems of arsenic and selenium in ICP-MS practices were investigated in detail. Preliminary literature review suggested that oxygen could serve as an effective candidate reaction gas for analysis of the two elements in dynamic reaction cell coupled ICP-MS. An accurate method was developed for the determination of As and Se in complex environmental samples, based on a series of modifications on an oxidation approach for As and Se previously reported. Rhodium was used as internal standard in this study to help minimize non-spectral interferences such as instrumental drift. Using an oxygen gas flow slightly higher than 0.5 mL min\(^{-1}\), arsenic is converted to \(^{75}\text{As}^{16}\text{O}^+\) ion in an efficient manner whereas a potentially interfering ion, \(^{91}\text{Zr}^+\), is completely removed. Instead of using the most abundant Se isotope, \(^{80}\text{Se}\), selenium was determined by a second most abundant isotope, \(^{78}\text{Se}\), in the form of \(^{78}\text{Se}^{16}\text{O}\). Upon careful selection of oxygen gas flow rate and optimization of R\(\text{Pq}\) value, previous isobaric threats caused by Zr and Mo were reduced to background levels whereas another potential atomic isobar, \(^{96}\text{Ru}^+\), became completely harmless to the new selenium analyte. The new method underwent a strict validation procedure where the recovery of a suitable certified reference material was examined and the obtained sample data were compared with those produced by a credible external laboratory who analyzed the same set of samples using a standardized HG-ICP-AES method. The validation results were satisfactory. The resultant limits of detection for arsenic and selenium were 5 ng L\(^{-1}\) and 60 ng L\(^{-1}\), respectively.
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Chapter 1: Introduction

1.1 Spectroscopic interferences in ICP-MS

The past couple of decades have witnessed a rapid growth of the use of inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry instrumentations (ICP-MS) in the area of analytical atomic spectroscopy, thanks to its unrivaled performance characteristics, such as detection power, multi-element capability, and analytical speed, to name but three. Along the way, the history of ICP-MS can also be regarded as a long-term battle against spectroscopic interferences in that those 'uninvited guests' in mass spectra have remained a significant challenge to the quality of ICP-MS analysis. The definition or characterization of a spectroscopic interference in ICP-MS is fairly straightforward. In the commonest sense, any ionic species with the same mass to charge ratio (m/z) as the analyte ion of interest is deemed a spectroscopic interference.¹ Depending on their compositional and ionic attributes, spectroscopic interferences are routinely divided into three categories:² isobaric (atomic) interferences, polyatomic (molecular) interferences, and doubly charged interferences.

1.1.1 Isobaric (atomic) overlaps

This type of interference refers strictly to the spectral overlap between analyte of interest and isotope(s) of neighbouring elements that have nominally the same mass-to-charge ratio, for instance, ⁴⁰Ar on ⁴⁰Ca, ⁶⁴Ni on ⁶⁴Zn, and ⁷⁴Se on ⁷⁴Ge. Because most naturally occurring elements from the periodic table have more than one isotope with varying isotopic abundances⁴,

---

¹ See Appendix I for a comprehensive list of isotopic abundances.

²
analysts are often able to choose a suitable isotope that is free from isobaric interferences to avoid
spectral overlaps (with the only exception of indium,\(^3\) whose both isotopes are interfered with
other elemental isotopes). Mathematical correction is frequently used to cope with such inter-
element overlaps, if the interfering element happens to have another isotope that does not exhibit
spectral interference.\(^4\)

1.1.2 Polyatomic (molecular) interferences

The formation of polyatomic ions, also known as molecular or adduct ions, poses perhaps the
most complex interference problem in ICP-MS applications and can undermine the accuracy of
analytical data for a number of elements. As their name suggests, these ions consist of at least
two atomic species (e.g. \(^{40}\)Ar\(^{16}\)O\(^+\) on \(^{56}\)Fe\(^+\), \(^{35}\)Cl\(^{16}\)O\(^+\) on \(^{51}\)V\(^+\), and \(^{40}\)Ar\(^{35}\)Cl\(^+\) on \(^{75}\)As\(^+\)) and remain
stable during their passage through the quadrupole mass analyzer. Reference 1 provides a
thorough summary of commonly encountered polyatomic interferences, which are routinely
observed, in fairly high abundances, in the forms of hydride, argide, oxide, chloride, and sulfide
in ICP-MS practice. The mechanisms of creation of such interferences are multifaceted, mainly
due to (a) ion-molecule interactions between major species in the plasma, that occur during the
ion extraction or expansion processes,\(^5\) (b) recombination reactions occurring near the ‘boundary
layer’ formed around the edge of aperture of the sampling cone,\(^6\) and (c) incomplete dissociation
of refractory oxide species in the plasma as a result of strong bond strength.\(^7\) The extent of a
potentially significant polyatomic interference can also be very case specific, largely depending
on the analyte chosen for determination, sample composition, as well as the digestion matrix. In
the early stage of ICP-MS practice, severe polyatomic interference problems were often dealt by
sophisticated sample treatment procedures (sample dissolution,\(^8\) precipitation,\(^9\) solvent
extraction, on-line separation, etc.), or alternative sample introduction methods, including laser ablation, hydride generation, and desolvation to avoid introducing molecular-ion-prone oxygen, chlorine and sulfur. Cold plasma methods were popular for a while for the purpose of attenuating argon-and-chlorine related molecular ions in ICP-MS applications, since a lower voltage used for ICP RF (radio frequency) power would have an immediate effect on the reduction of undesired precursor ions, such as Ar⁺, O⁺, Cl⁺, owing to their high ionization potentials. Nevertheless, despite the efforts made on the suppression of polyatomic ions, these measures were either vulnerable to contamination, caused by additional sample handling steps, or were only applicable for determination of special sample types and a limited number of elements.

1.1.3 Doubly charged ions

Compared with most ions formed in plasma that are singly charged, doubly charged ions are relatively rare, as only few elements’ second ionization energy (typically alkaline earth metals and light rare earth elements) is lower than the first ionization energy of argon (15.8 eV). The impacts of doubly charged ions can be effectively minimized by optimization of the ICP-MS system, in particular the ICP RF power and nebulizer gas flow rate, which keeps the occurrence of doubly charged ions at a level that is insignificant relative to their co-residing analyte ions.

1.2 Current ICP-MS instrumentations

Amongst a handful of mass analyzers developed since the inception of ICP-MS to the analytical market, the radiofrequency quadrupole mass analyzer has gained the most popularity over its competitors and has thus far become the primary technique retained by the majority of analytical
laboratories for metal determination, owing to its outstanding analytical performance and moderate cost.\textsuperscript{19} However, acknowledging the fact that, at the current stage of ICP-MS technology, formation of various polyatomic interferences seems inevitable, one conspicuous limitation typical in quadrupole-based ICP-MS is that, it is largely unable to separate two overlapping ions because the RF-driven quadrupole commonly operates at a low resolution of 0.7-1.0 amu.\textsuperscript{20}

In order to tackle the interference problems without significantly altering the instrumental design and complicating the laboratory operation, tremendous research efforts were then made on the development of an ‘analyte pre-filter’, inspired by the successful application of tandem quadrupole MS/MS systems in organic mass spectrometry, and ultimately led to remarkable technological breakthroughs and commercial successes that have resulted in the current ‘state-of-the-art’ collision/reaction cell technologies.\textsuperscript{21,22,23} By exploiting different types of ion-molecule interactions, the introduction of the collision/reaction cell to conventional quadrupole ICP-MS allows immediate \textit{in-situ} reduction of the intensity of interfering species in post-plasma ion beams by orders of magnitude. The working principles and fundamental differences between these two approaches were described in a comprehensive review by Tanner \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{24} In short, the extracted ion beam is first guided into a gas-pressurized cell prior to entering the quadrupole mass analyzer to undergo a series of ion-molecule interactions. Collision cell (ICP-CC-MS) instruments, which employ light and non-reactive gases, such as hydrogen, helium, or mixtures of the two, use non-thermal ion-molecule collision and subsequent kinetic energy discrimination (KED)\textsuperscript{25,26,27} techniques to achieve analyte/interference separation. Reaction cell (RC) based instruments, which utilize heavier and more reactive gases, such as ammonia, oxygen, and methane, selectively promote primary ion-molecule reactions under near thermal
Recognizing that newly launched ICP-MS instruments with different cell technologies exhibit comparable analyte transmission and detection capability, Tanner et al. (ref 24) pointed out that, in many cases, the utilization of specific thermal ion-molecule reactions in RC could facilitate resolving polyatomic interference entrained in post-plasma ion beam, thanks to selectivity and efficiency of those reactions. By introducing an appropriate reaction gas, which is intended to boost thermal bimolecular ion-molecule reactions with either the interfering polyatomic ions or the analyte ions, and optimizing the reaction gas flow rate, as well as the low-mass rejection parameter, RPq (also known as the dynamic bandpass tuning parameter)$^b$, of the cell quadrupole, ‘chemical resolution’ of the specific interference problem can be realized. This unique ‘tandem’ quadrupole arrangement and other important functioning units of the DRC$^c$ (dynamic reaction cell) are portrayed in Figure 1.

Figure 1: 3D schematic diagram of the DRC.$^{31}$

$^b$ The use of term ‘RPq’ is thoroughly described in ref. 24.
$^c$ The DRC is a proprietary technology of PerkinElmer Inc., USA.
1.3 Guiding principles of thermal ion-molecule chemistry based method development in ICP-MS practices

Our laboratory is equipped with a dynamic reaction cell inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometer (ICP-DRC-MS), so making proper use of thermal ion-molecule chemistry is central to successful method development, aiming to address relevant spectroscopic interference problems. One advantageous feature of a reaction cell based ICP-MS over its collision cell counterpart is that, the method development component that deals with spectral overlaps can be very versatile. Because the gas choice and the analyte ion selection using reaction cell technology are no longer restricted to the criteria that are applied rigorously to collision cell ICP-MS, they can be conveniently adjusted as circumstances arise. Inspired by the pioneering research on thermal ion-molecule interactions in ICP-MS by Douglas and Tanner’s groups,22,24 Hattendorf and Günther32 and Olesik and Jones33 elaborated this approach in a detailed strategy for method development regarding spectral interference removal in quadrupole reaction cell ICP-MS, taking advantage of the well-established database of thermal ion-molecule chemistry34,35,36. In their opinion, careful selection of a reaction gas is always the first, and probably the most decisive step that supports a sound method to tackle specific interferences in ICP-MS.

To be a candidate reaction gas for resolving a particular spectral interference problem, the thermodynamic profile of the proposed ion-molecule reaction needs to have the following characteristics: 1) The intended reaction must be exothermic ($\Delta H_{\text{rxn}} = \sum \Delta H_f(\text{products}) - \sum \Delta H_f(\text{reactants}) < 0$) so that it can take place at the near-thermal temperature regime in the reaction cell; 2) The reaction gas reacts with only one of the overlapping ions (or one reaction markedly outpaces the other, if reactions with both analyte and interference ions occur simultaneously); 3) The kinetic rate constant of the reaction must be large enough to generate a detectable analyte.
signal that is stable over the (very short) period of instrumental analysis. In practice, the analytical performance of a DRC based ICP-MS method depends largely on how well these prerequisites are satisfied.

Once a reactive gas is chosen and an analyte ion to be measured is defined in the proposed method (whether in its atomic form or in an artificially created polyatomic form), a thorough investigation on potential spectral interferences in regard to the defined analyte ion (the resultant ion formed after reaction with the cell gas) should be carried out so as to ensure no new significant threats to the accuracy of measuring the analyte ion emerge. This step comprises reaction cell conditioning and system optimization and aims to purify the analyte signal and maximize the signal-to-background ratio for the analyte ion. The effectiveness and robustness of the proposed method will eventually be subject to a validation procedure where analytical figures of merit, such as linear dynamic range, limit of detection, accuracy, as well as precision, for suitable certified reference material(s) in complex matrices, are rigorously evaluated.
Part I: Ultra-trace determination of vanadium in lake sediments: a performance comparison using O$_2$, N$_2$O, and NH$_3$ as reaction gases in ICP-DRC-MS$^{37}$

Chapter 2: Overview of determination of V$^+$ in ICP-MS

In the research described in the first half of this thesis the focus was on removal of the $^{35}$Cl$^{16}$O$^+$ interference from which elemental analysis of vanadium by conventional ICP-MS suffers. Vanadium, whose predominant isotope, $^{51}$V$^+$, has an abundance of 99.75%, is often compromised by the presence of this polyatomic ion since ICP-MS analysis essentially demands that the $^{51}$V isotope be used for determination. The severity of the vanadium (Figure 2) interference problem is especially evident from a number of environmental and clinical studies$^{38,39}$ that involve trace or ultra-trace determination of vanadium where samples require either concentrated HCl, as part of the digestion medium that uses aqua regia, or have high salinity, such as sea water, urine, and serum. Where vanadium is present in high chloride matrices, interference with the $^{51}$V$^+$ analyte signal is inevitable and the accuracy of the determination will diminish unless the analyst resorts to more expensive high resolutions ICP-MS, where a typical resolution of 2500-3000$^{40,41}$ is necessary to separate two isobars.$^d$

$^{d}$ $R = m/\Delta m$. The atomic (molecular) masses of the two isobars: $^{51}$V (50.94396), $^{35}$Cl$^{16}$O (50.96377).

Figure 2: The severity of ClO$^+$ interference in various matrices.
In order to liberate $^{51}\text{V}^+$ ion from the abundant polyatomic interference, $^{35}\text{Cl}^{16}\text{O}^+$, traditionally NH$_3$ has been recommended to react with ClO$^+$ through a charge transfer route (reaction 1) without significantly affecting the V$^+$ analyte:

$$\text{ClO}^+ + \text{NH}_3 \rightarrow \text{ClO} + \text{NH}_3^+ \quad k = 6.0 \times 10^{-10} \text{ cm}^3\text{s}^{-1} \quad (1)$$

This reaction is exothermic and proceeds at a fairly fast rate in the DRC. To date, ammonia has served as the reaction gas for vanadium determination for a wide variety of sample types\textsuperscript{42,43,44,45,46,47} owing to its straightforward measurement and high efficiency of ClO$^+$ ion elimination. However, this method is not flawless as far as the accuracy of $^{51}\text{V}^+$ signal is concerned. Clemmer et al.\textsuperscript{48} reported that vanadium actually suffers minor signal loss through a slow reaction with ammonia to form VNH$^+$ species ($k=2.2 \times 10^{-12} \text{ cm}^3\text{s}^{-1}$). Olesik and coworkers\textsuperscript{49} observed minor branching reactions between V$^+$ and NH$_3$ forming V(NH$_3$)$_n^+$ species. The review by Tanner et al.\textsuperscript{24} also mentioned that, at low RPq, a new interfering ion, NH$_2$Cl$^+$, at m/z 51, is very likely to occur, owing to an exothermic reaction between Cl$^+$ and NH$_3$ ($\Delta H_{rxn} = -25 \text{ kcal/mol}$). Despite their slow reaction rates, the new interferences formed due to the use of ammonia inevitably result in increased uncertainty and complexity of the m/z 51 signal. Olesik confirmed that, at high gas flow rate or low RPq, ammonia becomes less effective at generating satisfactory signal-to-noise ratio for $^{51}\text{V}^+$ than at optimal DRC settings. In addition, the extent and implication of such matrix effects with this approach have received little attention in previous applications. In this context, seeking a different reaction gas, able to overcome the interference problem for vanadium with comparable or better analytical performance, would be a useful addition to the current ICP-MS method archive.
Aside from the conventional approach that removes ClO⁺ species directly from the post-plasma ion beam, reaction of V⁺ with another element/molecule to form a stable vanadium-containing ion provides a way around the ClO⁺ interference issue. Bohme’s group used various reactive gases⁵⁰,⁵¹,⁵²,⁵³,⁵⁴ to explore the ion-molecule chemistry for a range of transition-metal ions. In the case of vanadium, it was found that oxygen (O₂, reaction 2) and nitrous oxide (N₂O, reaction 3) react rapidly with V⁺ to give the primary reaction product VO⁺, which has a nominal molecular mass of 67, by the following reaction pathways:

\[ \text{V}^+ + \text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{VO}^+ + \text{O} \quad k=2.8 \times 10^{-10} \text{ cm}^3 \text{s}^{-1} \quad (2) \]

\[ \text{V}^+ + \text{N}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{VO}^+ + \text{N}_2 \quad k=2.4 \times 10^{-10} \text{ cm}^3 \text{s}^{-1} \quad (3) \]

The reaction rate constants for each reaction were experimentally determined with the state-of-the-art ICP-SIFT-MS (selected-ion flow tube) technique. On the other hand, the reactivity of interfering ClO⁺ ion with oxygen and nitrous oxide had been ambiguous in the past. Koyanagi et al.⁵⁵ initially reported that ClO⁺ is essentially unreactive towards both O₂ and N₂O under thermal conditions. Bandura et al.⁵⁶ later demonstrated that ClO⁺ and N₂O do react to form a measurable ClO₂⁺ background (reaction 4) when the chloride level in the matrix is high. At m/z 67 there also resides a minor Zn isotope (⁶⁷Zn, at 4.1% abundance). However, this mass could still be a candidate for V determination if the contribution from ClO₂⁺ is insignificant, because ⁶⁷Zn is only very slightly interfered with by other polyatomic species and can be mathematically corrected by choosing an appropriate Zn isotope as a reference.⁵⁷

\[ \text{ClO}^+ + \text{N}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{ClO}_2^+ + \text{N}_2 \quad (4)^e \]

\[ \text{VO}^+ + \text{N}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{VO}_2^+ + \text{N}_2 \quad k=5.2 \times 10^{-11} \text{ cm}^3 \text{s}^{-1} \quad (5) \]

---

⁵⁵ Reported in ref 56, but no thermodynamic and kinetic information was mentioned.
Investigations of vanadium oxidation chemistry with O$_2$ and N$_2$O and their implications for vanadium determination were conducted by Tanner’s and Bohme’s groups. Under thermal conditions, VO$^+$ can not be further oxidized to VO$_2^+$ by oxygen, but nitrous oxide does react with VO$^+$ at a much slower rate to form VO$_2^+$ (reaction 5). Tanner’s group (Bandura et al.$^{56}$) reported that monitoring VO$_2^+$ ion (m/z 83) might be a possible solution for plasma-based vanadium determination, since isobaric interference is no longer a concern at m/z 83. They also reported that VO$_2^+$ afforded a better background equivalent concentration than VO$^+$, where a matrix consisting of 10 mg L$^{-1}$ (ppm) V and 3.3% HCl was used. At the optimal point of their experimentation, the VO$_2^+$ signal was about 2 orders of magnitude higher than the background.

At these higher levels of vanadium and chloride, both elevated analyte sensitivity of VO$_2^+$ at m/z 83 and concomitant increased background of ClO$_2^+$ at m/z 67 can be expected, resulting in improved signal-to-noise ratio at m/z 83 and reduced signal-to-noise ratio at m/z 67. However, if vanadium and chloride were determined at the much lower levels found in real-world applications, for instance at μg L$^{-1}$-equivalent levels, VO$_2^+$ would likely become unfavoured due to its significantly reduced signal-to-noise ratio.

Nitric oxide (NO) was reported to undergo similar vanadium oxidation steps as nitrous oxide, but the rate of yielding primary product VO$^+$ was unsatisfactory$^{36}$. In addition, the simultaneous secondary reaction between the two primary products, VO$^+$ and N$_2$O, would further scatter the already-low V analyte. Therefore, the effectiveness of nitric oxide gas for efficient vanadium mono-oxidation appears questionable.

Other non-oxidative gases, such as monofluoromethane (CH$_3$F) and carbon disulfide (CS$_2$) were also considered because of their modest reactivity towards V$^+$, but the idea was soon discarded due to inadequate reaction speed and creation of new difficult spectral overlaps. The primary
product of \( V^+ \) and \( CH_3F, \), coincides with two other elemental isobars: \( ^{70}Ge \) (21.23% abundance) and \( ^{70}Zn \) (0.6% abundance). The reaction rate constant between \( V^+ \) and \( CS_2 \) (\( 7.0 \times 10^{-11} \) cm \(^3\) s \(^{-1}\)) is about one order of magnitude lower than that of oxygen and nitrous oxide, suggesting that the resultant \( V \) analyte may suffer a marked reduction in sensitivity. Its primary product, an adduct ion, \( V^+ \cdot (CS_2) \), also unfortunately overlaps with \( ^{127}I \), the only isotope of iodine in nature. Therefore, we conclude that amongst those common reactive gases that are commercially available, only oxygen and nitrous oxide seem to be qualified to serve as alternative reaction gases to solve vanadium interference problems in ICP-MS. The key kinetic reaction rates of respective ion-molecule reaction are summarized in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Candidate reaction gas</th>
<th>Products</th>
<th>( k_{exp} ) (cm (^3) s (^{-1}))</th>
<th>Higher order products</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( O_2 )</td>
<td>( VO^+ + O )</td>
<td>( 2.8 \times 10^{-10} )</td>
<td>( VO_3^+, VO_5^+, VO_7^+ )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( NO+N_O^f )</td>
<td>( VO^+ + N_2O )</td>
<td>( 2.1 \times 10^{-11} )</td>
<td>( VO_2^+, NO^+ )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( N_2O )</td>
<td>( VO^+ + N_2 )</td>
<td>( 2.4 \times 10^{-10} )</td>
<td>( VO_2^+(N_2O)_{0.3} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( CS_2 )</td>
<td>( V^+ (CS_2) )</td>
<td>( 7.0 \times 10^{-11} )</td>
<td>( V^+(CS_2)_{2.3} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( CH_3F )</td>
<td>( VF^+ )</td>
<td>( 1.7 \times 10^{-10} )</td>
<td>( VF^+(CH_3F)_{1.5} )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\( K_{exp} \): experimentally determined effective bimolecular rate coefficient

Environmental samples were considered appropriate for this study because they offer a good case for ultra-trace determination of vanadium where the ClO\(^+\) interference problems appear to be likely. Recently, growing interest in vanadium’s presence in the environment necessitates ultra-trace determination in various environmental samples. For instance, elevated concentrations of vanadium in sediments have been linked to oil pollution of natural water systems, since it is

\(^f\) The corresponding kinetic data refers to the rate-determining step.
found in relatively high concentrations in crude oil and petroleum products.\textsuperscript{58,59} To date, the determination of vanadium for environmental samples using an oxidation approach has been little reported and no comparative research with different reaction gases has yet been conducted. Therefore, a series of experiments was developed in the current study to test the proposed vanadium oxidation approach using oxygen and nitrous oxide for real-world environmental samples and to evaluate this approach with respect to the conventional method that uses NH\textsubscript{3}. 
Chapter 3: Experimental

3.1 Instrumentation

A PerkinElmer-SCIEX (Concord, ON, Canada) ELAN® DRC® II ICP-MS instrument was used for analyzing all samples and standards. The sample introduction system consists of a Meinhard® A3 type concentric nebulizer, a quartz baffled cyclonic spray chamber and a 2.0 mm (I.D.) quartz injector. The instrument was optimized on a daily basis to ensure that the baseline elemental sensitivities\(^8\) recommended by the manufacturer were obtained. Peristaltic tubing was replaced every two days of use (roughly a total of 8-10 hours) to ensure performance consistency. Other important operating parameters of the instrument are listed in Table 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2. Instrumental settings for ICP-DRC-MS (V analysis)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Parameter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasma RF power (W)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasma gas flow (L min(^{-1}))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auxiliary gas flow (L min(^{-1}))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nebulizer gas flow (L min(^{-1}))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cell gas flow (mL min(^{-1}))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RPa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RPq</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample uptake (mL min(^{-1}))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interface</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auto lens calibration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analog Stage Voltage (V)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulse Stage Voltage (V)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cell Rod Offset Std (V)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discriminator Threshold (mV)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cell Path Voltage Std (V)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Axial Field Voltage (V)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detector</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scanning mode</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dwell time (ms)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweeps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Replicate</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^8\)\(^{24}\)Mg\(^+\) (1ng mL\(^{-1}\))>8000 cps, \(^{115}\)In\(^+\) (1ng mL\(^{-1}\))>40000 cps, \(^{238}\)U\(^+\) (1ng mL\(^{-1}\))>30000 cps, Ba\(^{2+}\)/Ba\(^+\) (10ng mL\(^{-1}\))<3\%, CeO\(^+\)/Ce\(^+\) (1ng mL\(^{-1}\))<3\%.
3.2 Reagents

Analytical-grade (single distilled) nitric acid (68-70% m/v) and hydrochloric acid (20-22% m/v) were purchased from Caledon (Georgetown, ON, Canada). Vanadium and Zinc stock solutions were purchased from High-Purity Standards (Charleston, SC, USA). All sample and working solutions were prepared with ultra-pure de-ionized water (18.2MΩ resistivity) from Elgastat-Maxima purification system (High Wycombe, UK). Certified reference material (CRM031-040-1) was acquired from RTC (Laramie, WY, USA). Research grade ammonia (99.999%), argon (99.998%), nitrous oxide (99.998%), and oxygen (99.999%) gases were supplied by Praxair (Hamilton, ON, Canada).

3.3 Sample collection and preparation

Fourteen lake sediment samples were collected from various locations in the Niagara Region of Southern Ontario, including one duplicate, and were processed in the Niagara Regional Laboratory. Approximately 0.5 g of each sample and the standard reference material was digested by a standard *aqua regia* leach, employing a 3:1(v/v) HCl and HNO₃ mixture (15 mL and 5 mL, respectively). All samples had undergone 4 h of continuous heating at 95.0±5.0 °C. The resultant solutions were further treated with 7-8 mLs of hydrogen peroxide, and were heated for another 10 minutes. When cooled, ultra-pure DI water was added to form a 50 mL solution. In order to minimize the memory effects and to reduce the total digested solids concentration of the solution entering nebulizer capillary, all digestates were immediately diluted 1:100 (500 µL to 50 mL) with 0.5% (m/v) nitric acid for analysis. Good laboratory practices were faithfully undertaken to ensure that the analytical procedures were essentially free from random contamination.

---

h Manufacturing information of the reagents is listed in Appendix II.

i For verification of trace metal determination in sludge/sediment samples.
3.4 Calibration

Initially a background scan to determine vanadium intensity was performed for all diluted sample solutions with the purpose of selecting appropriate concentrations of vanadium calibration standards. Although the ICP-MS is designed to deliver a linear dynamic range over nine orders of magnitude in DRC mode, a narrower calibration range, which closely brackets intensities from all samples, would be preferred. A blank and five vanadium standards were then prepared at 0.5, 1.0, 5.0, 10.0, 20.0 μg L⁻¹ with 0.5% (m/v) nitric acid according to the information provided by the background V tests. Effort for matrix matching was made by spiking 50 μL HCl in each 50ml working solutions. At a later point in this study, a separate set of calibration standards was used (50, 100, 500, 1000, 2000 ng L⁻¹) to verify the data obtained from 1:5000 sample solutions. All vanadium calibration curves were linear through zero (blank subtracted) and delivered correlation coefficients of at least 0.9999.¹

3.5 Quality control of the analysis

Quality control was performed throughout the standardization and sample analysis procedures by means of careful instrument maintenance, repeated analyte signal calibration and verification with QC material. Sample introduction system was periodically maintained so as to prevent nebulizer clogging and chemical residual build-up in the spray chamber and injector tube. Internal standardization approach was not employed in the current study because two suitable elements recommended by the EPA method 6020, scandium (Sc) and yttrium (Y), tend to react with oxygen and nitrous oxide rapidly.⁵⁰,⁵¹ In order to monitor the instrument drift during the analysis, V analyte calibration was conducted every 30 minutes in combination with monitoring the indium signal from an independent indium standard solution (10 ng mL⁻¹). During the

¹ See Appendix III for details.
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analysis, the recovery of QC material was also frequently compared with its certified value to ensure the current sample run was legitimate.
Chapter 4: Results and discussion

4.1 Selection of vanadium analyte ion

When ammonia is used as the reaction gas in the DRC, it is incontrovertible that $^{51}$V would be the only meaningful m/z peak for vanadium analysis because the reaction between ammonia and $V^+$ is insignificant. The reactivity of $V^+$ towards oxygen was thoroughly examined by Koyanagi et al.\textsuperscript{60} when the reaction rate constants of a minor primary product $\text{VO}_2^+$ (reaction 6) and a major secondary product $\text{VO}_3^+$ (reaction 7) were found to be 300- and 1400-fold lower than that of $\text{VO}^+$ reaction (reaction 2). Such large differences in reaction rate constants make $\text{VO}^+$ the best ion for V determination.

\begin{align*}
V^+ + O_2 &\rightarrow \text{VO}_2^+ \quad k = 8.4 \times 10^{-12} \text{ cm}^3\text{s}^{-1} \quad (6) \\
\text{VO}^+ + O_2 &\rightarrow \text{VO}_3^+ \quad k = 2.0 \times 10^{-13} \text{ cm}^3\text{s}^{-1} \quad (7)
\end{align*}

When nitrous oxide was used, however, in order to make a choice between $\text{VO}^+$ and $\text{VO}_2^+$, an experiment similar to that mentioned in Bandura et al.\textsuperscript{56} was conducted. A modified solution, containing 10 µg L\textsuperscript{-1} (ppb) vanadium with HCl concentration in the matrix adjusted from 3.3% to 0.1%, which simulates the chloride level in prepared working solutions and diluted samples, was run with the RPq value set at 0.4. The reaction profiles of m/z 51, 67, and 83 at various N\textsubscript{2}O flow rates are illustrated in Figure 2. It can be seen that, unlike the surprisingly low signal to background ratio for $\text{VO}_2^+$ observed from the optimization curves, the $\text{VO}^+$ analyte signal was about 2 orders of magnitude higher than the background, indicating that, at ultra-trace level, the $\text{VO}^+$ ion is appropriate to be used for vanadium determination for its superior sensitivity when N\textsubscript{2}O serves as a reaction gas.
Figure 3. (a). Ion abundances (m/z 51, 67, 83) produced from a solution containing 0.1% HCl.
4.2 Cell gas flow and RPq optimization

Once the reaction gas choices have been confirmed, the optimization of DRC parameters becomes crucial in finding the best compromise between analyte ion intensity and the limit of detection for each reaction gas. To approximate the chloride concentration in diluted samples and working standards, 50μl of HCl was spiked into the optimization blank and 1μg L⁻¹ V standard solutions (50ml final volume, 0.5% HNO₃ matrix). The effects of both cell gas flow rate and RPq values on ion intensity and the corresponding limit of detection are illustrated in Figures 3 and 4. With ammonia gas, the V⁺ signal displayed a sharp decline when the gas flow increased from
0.5-0.8 cm$^3$ min$^{-1}$ due to the occurrence of complex clustering reactions forming V(NH)$_m$(NH$_3$)$_n^+$ in this range$^{36,61}$ and collisional scattering. The RPq test for ammonia indicated that 0.75 was the optimal value at which the lowest detection limit was achieved. In this range NH$_2$Cl$^+$ ion can also be substantially prevented because $^{35}$Cl$^+$ ion is largely eliminated by the cell quadrupole. However, a noticeable side-effect of such high RPq setting is a concomitant drop of V$^+$ analyte counts, in the current study, by 72%, from the peak maximum. Consequently, the RSDs of vanadium data will inevitably deteriorate due to the drastic reduction in analyte sensitivity. To compensate for such compromise in precision it is worthwhile to consider a lower RPq as long as the resultant detection limit is still reasonably low. In this regard, cell gas flow at 0.35 cm$^3$ min$^{-1}$ and RPq at 0.45 were selected for use with the ammonia method. Oxygen and nitrous oxide gases for determinations of V$^+$ as VO$^+$ were optimized at cell gas flow rates of 0.35 and 0.25 cm$^3$ min$^{-1}$, respectively. Moderate RPq values (0.4 for oxygen and 0.35 for nitrous oxide) were used for measuring m/z 67 as the prevention of Cl$^+$, O$^+$, and S$^+$ from entering cell quadrupole becomes less important than maintaining the maximum V$^+$ analyte from the ion beam. It can be seen that the VO$^+$ signals exhibit smooth decay after the maxima were reached because of the slow secondary reactions taking place at increased in-cell gas density.
Figure 4 (a). Reaction gas flow rate optimization of DRC: analyte intensity as a function of cell gas flow rate.
Figure 4 (b). Reaction gas flow rate optimization of DRC: limit of detection as a function of cell gas flow rate.
Figure 5 (a). RPq optimization of DRC: vanadium analyte intensity as a function of RPq
4.3 Zn correction for V$^+$ oxidation with O$_2$ and N$_2$O

The utilization of mathematical correction to restore the analyte signal from an interfered spectrum, where a simple, fast, and cost effective method is the aim of analysis, has a long history in ICP-MS applications.\textsuperscript{62,63,64} However, it should be noted that such a theoretical approach is often subject to various practical complications and must be strictly verified before implementation. As mentioned earlier, although the proposed V$^+$ mono-oxidation can well protect the V analyte, the new analyte, $^{51}$V$^{16}$O$^+$ ion, ought to be corrected for the presence of $^{67}$Zn$^+$ and other co-residing polyatomic ions. Examples of potential spectral interferences for all zinc isotopes are listed in Table 3 as summarized by May and Wiedmeyer.\textsuperscript{92}
Table 3. Interferences of Zinc isotopes in ICP-MS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Zn isotope (%)</th>
<th>Isobaric interferences (%)</th>
<th>Matrix-based polyatomic interferences</th>
<th>Plasma-based polyatomic interferences</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>^{64}Zn, (48.6)</td>
<td>^{64}Ni, (0.926)</td>
<td>^{48}Ca^{16}O^+, ^{48}Ti^{16}O, ^{32}S^{16}O_2^+, ^{32}S_2, etc.</td>
<td>^{36}Ar^{14}N_2^+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>^{66}Zn, (27.9)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>^{48}Ti^{18}O^+, ^{34}S^{16}O_2^+, ^{33}S_2, ^{32}S^{17}O_2^+, ^{32}S^{34}S, etc.</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>^{67}Zn, (4.1)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>^{35}Cl^{16}O_2^+, ^{34}S^{16}O_2^{17}O^+, ^{33}S^{16}O^{18}O^+, ^{33}S^{34}S^+, ^{32}S^{16}O^{18}OH^+, etc.</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>^{68}Zn, (18.8)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>^{52}Cr^{16}O_2^+, ^{36}S^{16}O_2^+, ^{34}S^{16}O^{18}O^+, ^{33}S^{34}S^+, ^{32}S^{16}O^{18}O^+, etc.</td>
<td>^{40}Ar^{14}N_2^+, ^{36}Ar^{32}S^+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>^{70}Zn, (0.6)</td>
<td>^{70}Ge, (21.23)</td>
<td>^{35}Cl_2^+, ^{35}Cl^{17}O_2^{18}O^+, ^{37}Cl^{16}O^{17}O^+, ^{36}S^{16}O^{18}O_2^+, ^{36}S^{17}O_2^+, etc.</td>
<td>^{40}Ar^{14}N_1^{16}O_2^+, ^{38}Ar^{32}S^+, ^{36}Ar^{34}S^+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Amongst all zinc isotopes, ^{64}Zn and ^{70}Zn are apparently unsuitable for correcting ^{67}Zn due to multiple sources of interferences. ^{66}Zn and ^{68}Zn suffer interferences mainly from polyatomic ions, but ^{66}Zn also overlaps with ^{48}Ti^{18}O^+, which is the primary product of ^{48}Ti^+ (73.8% abundance) and reaction gases (O_2 and N_2O). The formation of TiO^+ is exothermic and proceeds at a comparable reaction rate \(^{45,46}\) as VO^+. Therefore, the utility of using ^{66}Zn to correct for the interference from ^{67}Zn is limited to non-titanium containing samples only.

\[
Ti^+ + O_2 \rightarrow TiO^+ + O \quad k = 4.6 \times 10^{-10} \text{ cm}^3\text{s}^{-1} \quad (8)
\]

\[
Ti^+ + N_2O \rightarrow TiO^+ + N_2 \quad k = 5.3 \times 10^{-10} \text{ cm}^3\text{s}^{-1} \quad (9)
\]

^{52}Cr^{16}O^+ ion was thought to be the interference of greatest concern for m/z 68 if an oxidation approach was used, relative to the abundance of Cr in samples. An early study by Armentrout et al.\(^{65}\) revealed the endothermic nature of reactions of Cr^+ with O_2 and N_2O. Recent ICP-SIFT-MS experiments\(^{45,46}\) suggested that ^{52}Cr^{16}O^+ ion does not pose a threat to m/z 67 as the rates of
Cr\(^+\) oxidation with \(O_2\) (reaction 10) and \(N_2O\) (reaction 11) are extremely low. Other polyatomic interferences for \(m/z\) 68 are multifold, but with blank subtraction their impacts can be largely neutralized. The low background on this mass can also be related to (a) sulfur-related interferences were not formed in large quantity due to the low sulfur presence in those sediment samples, and (b) the slow formation reactions and poor in-cell stability of those tri- and tetra-atomic ions. If sulfur’s concentration is high in samples, a higher low-mass cutoff value can be used to minimize the impact, though the sensitivity of \(VO^+\) analyte might be slightly affected as well (Figure 5(a) provides an illustrative reference for proper selection of an RPq for \(VO^+\)).

\[ \text{Cr}^+ + O_2 \rightarrow \text{CrO}_2^+ \quad k = 2.2 \times 10^{-13} \text{ cm}^3 \text{s}^{-1} \quad (10) \]

\[ \text{Cr}^+ + N_2O \rightarrow \text{CrO}^+ + N_2 \quad k = 1.5 \times 10^{-13} \text{ cm}^3 \text{s}^{-1} \quad (11) \]

Hence, \(^{68}\text{Zn}\) seems to be the only isotope that is capable of correcting for \(^{67}\text{Zn}\). A mathematical equation: \(I(5{1V^{16O}}) = I(67) - \frac{A(67Zn)}{A(68Zn)} \times I(68) = I(67) - 0.218 \times I(68)\), was then applied for \(VO^+\) signal correction. The validity of this equation was tested using 1 \(\mu\)g L\(^{-1}\) V standard with a 5 \(\mu\)g L\(^{-1}\) Zn spike in 0.5% (m/v) nitric acid, mimicking the ratio of V and Zn in the QC material. The recovery of vanadium was close to unity, suggesting that this correction equation is a workable approach for vanadium determination through oxidation in DRC.
4.4 Sample analysis

4.4.1 Accuracy, precision, and detection limit

Vanadium results from fourteen lake sediment samples and a certified reference material are listed in Table 4. The accuracy of the methods was evaluated with recovery rates from a certified reference material (CRM031-040-1) and a series of paired t-tests within the experimental data. All mean vanadium values and their associated relative standard deviations (RSDs) were obtained from eight consecutive measurements. Method detection limit was computed by the commonly accepted protocol that uses three times the standard deviation of calibration blank. Excellent vanadium recovery data suggested that the mathematical correction for Zn was very effective. Table 5 shows that with 1:100 dilution, results from ammonia approach differed significantly from those using oxidation approach, whereas results from oxygen and nitrous oxide oxidation showed no significant differences. At the cost of larger RSDs, the accuracy of vanadium data from using the ammonia approach was improved when a dilution of 1:5000 was utilized although, compared with the oxygen method, large standard deviations were generated as the dilution was so high to deal with the stubborn matrix effect. Calibration slopes from each method revealed that V/VO⁺ analyte sensitivity increased in the sequence of ammonia, nitrous oxide and oxygen roughly by a factor of three. Oxygen gas also presented the best RSDs (0.21-0.99%) and limit of detection (2.7 ng L⁻¹), thanks to the stable and high yield of VO⁺. In this study the use of nitrous oxide as DRC gas for ultra-trace vanadium determination was successfully proved by delivering moderate VO⁺ sensitivity and comparable limit of detection with oxygen and ammonia methods. Initial expectations, based on the predicted rate of the reaction, suggested that nitrous oxide would produce sensitivity of VO⁺ ion similar to that
delivered by oxygen. The comparatively low VO⁺ yield was probably due to simultaneous secondary oxygen transfer and collisional losses, as N₂O is a much heavier gas than O₂. To examine sample preparation and other laboratory-specific errors, all in-house vanadium data were compared with data from the Niagara Regional Laboratory, who employed ICP-AES for the analysis of samples and used the same set of sample digestates. It can be seen from Table 4 that the accuracy of vanadium results was satisfactory.

**Table 4. Analytical figures of merit for the vanadium determination methods**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample ID</th>
<th>NH₃ (mg kg⁻¹)ᵃ</th>
<th>NH₃ (mg kg⁻¹)ᵇ</th>
<th>O₂ (mg kg⁻¹)ᵃ</th>
<th>N₂O (mg kg⁻¹)ᵃ</th>
<th>ICP-AES (mg kg⁻¹)ᶜ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>45939</td>
<td>30.5±0.3</td>
<td>29.2±0.5</td>
<td>27.49±0.1</td>
<td>29.38±0.2</td>
<td>28.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45984</td>
<td>24.3±0.2</td>
<td>20.4±0.3</td>
<td>19.8±0.1</td>
<td>20.2±0.2</td>
<td>20.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45991</td>
<td>34.5±0.4</td>
<td>29.3±0.3</td>
<td>28.86±0.1</td>
<td>29.0±0.1</td>
<td>28.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45994</td>
<td>25.8±0.1</td>
<td>24.8±0.7</td>
<td>24.3±0.1</td>
<td>25.1±0.1</td>
<td>24.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45994-2</td>
<td>26.3±0.2</td>
<td>24.8±0.7</td>
<td>23.9±0.0</td>
<td>25.1±0.0</td>
<td>24.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46046</td>
<td>36.3±0.2</td>
<td>32.6±0.6</td>
<td>31.3±0.1</td>
<td>32.7±0.3</td>
<td>32.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46051</td>
<td>48.8±0.3</td>
<td>41.7±0.4</td>
<td>42.2±0.0</td>
<td>42.0±0.1</td>
<td>42.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46113</td>
<td>25.8±0.1</td>
<td>24.2±0.6</td>
<td>23.6±0.1</td>
<td>23.5±0.2</td>
<td>23.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46114</td>
<td>188.4±0.4</td>
<td>174.2±2.1</td>
<td>174.8±0.8</td>
<td>173.9±0.6</td>
<td>173.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46131</td>
<td>12.6±0.1</td>
<td>12.2±0.4</td>
<td>11.5±0.1</td>
<td>11.7±0.1</td>
<td>11.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46145</td>
<td>27.6±0.4</td>
<td>25.7±0.9</td>
<td>25.1±0.1</td>
<td>26.3±0.1</td>
<td>25.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46166</td>
<td>40.9±0.3</td>
<td>41.5±1.0</td>
<td>41.5±0.1</td>
<td>41.4±0.3</td>
<td>40.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46167</td>
<td>37.5±0.3</td>
<td>42.3±1.0</td>
<td>41.3±0.1</td>
<td>41.9±0.3</td>
<td>41.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46257</td>
<td>18.5±0.3</td>
<td>18.4±0.3</td>
<td>17.9±0.1</td>
<td>18.4±0.2</td>
<td>17.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRM031-040ᵈ</td>
<td>311.5±1.6</td>
<td>297.0±2.8</td>
<td>297.6±1.6</td>
<td>301.8±1.9</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M.R. (%)ᵉ</td>
<td>105.06</td>
<td>100.18</td>
<td>100.37</td>
<td>101.80</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSDs (%)ᶠ</td>
<td>0.26-1.48</td>
<td>0.97-3.58</td>
<td>0.21-0.99</td>
<td>0.35-1.51</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calibration slope</td>
<td>7677.6</td>
<td>7639.4</td>
<td>21178.7</td>
<td>10813.3</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C.C.ᵍ</td>
<td>0.999996</td>
<td>0.999987</td>
<td>0.99997</td>
<td>0.999996</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L.O.D. (ng L⁻¹)ｈ</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ᵃ. 1:100 dilution of digestate ᵇ. 1:5000 dilution of digestate ᶜ. Determined at wavelength of 292.402 nm as suggested in EPA method 6010C ᵈ. Reference value of vanadium: 296.56±23.40 mg kg⁻¹, 277.97-315.14 mg kg⁻¹ at 95% C.I. ᵉ. Method recovery ᶠ. n=8 ᵍ. Correlation coefficient ʰ. Calculated by 3σ of calibration blank/slope
Table 5: Paired t-tests for vanadium data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>NH$_3$ $^a$/O$_2$$^a$</th>
<th>NH$_3$ $^a$/N$_2$O$^a$</th>
<th>O$_2$$^a$/N$_2$O$^a$</th>
<th>NH$_3$ $^b$/O$_2$$^a$</th>
<th>NH$_3$ $^b$/N$_2$O$^a$</th>
<th>NH$_3$ $^a$/NH$_3$ $^b$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>t(exp)$^c$</td>
<td>3.18</td>
<td>2.68</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>2.84</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>2.54</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a. 1:100 dilution of digestate; b. 1:5000 dilution of digestate; c. Two-tailed $t_{(0.05,14)}=2.14$

4.4.2 Matrix effect

Although matrix induced spectral interference problems in ICP-DRC-MS analysis are normally expected to be resolved readily with the aid of additive reaction gas and band-pass tuning capability, for ultra-trace elemental determination, they can still be observed if the method is not sufficiently robust. In this study it was quite evident with the ammonia approach since, when 1:100 diluted sample solutions were analyzed, the vanadium results obtained were consistently higher than with the vanadium oxidation approach by 3-16%. This elevation in values can largely be attributed to the mismatch of the matrices between samples and the calibration standards because the chemical constituents in the samples remain unknown to the analyst regardless of the matrix matching efforts made. In other words, if the chloride concentration differs considerably amongst sample solutions, it is virtually impossible to prepare a blank solution and calibration standards that are able to represent variable background concentrations of chlorine for all samples. In Figure 6 it can be seen that, at low ammonia flow rates, matrices containing varying amount of HCl produced significantly different background at m/z 51, suggesting incomplete removal of ClO$^+$ and NH$_2$Cl$^+$ for matrices containing high chloride concentrations. Although the ClO$^+$ background could be reduced to similar levels by increasing ammonia gas flow rates to 0.6-0.7 mL min$^{-1}$, these flow rates were far from optimum. The other approach to overcome the matrix-induced interference problem is to use a high RPq value (>0.65) to reduce the amount of chlorine from entering the DRC, thus preventing ClO$^+$ and NH$_2$Cl$^+$ ion from forming. However, this reduction of background is usually achieved at the
expense of significant loss of analyte sensitivity. In this study we report that, if moderately
greater RSDs are deemed acceptable to the analysis, a higher dilution factor for the sample
solutions may be considered to alleviate the matrix effect, by reducing the chloride concentration
in samples to a level such that, at optimized cell gas flow, a near-uniform background of mass 51
be produced without excess vanadium signal loss. With the revised dilution factor and calibration
standards, as previously mentioned, the data discrepancy between methods was significantly
reduced and excellent linearity of the calibration curve was still obtained, thanks to reduced
variation in analyte background in samples, as well as to strong detection capability of the DRC
instrument at levels of tens to thousands of nano-grams per litre. In contrast to ClO⁻ removal
with ammonia gas, oxidation of V⁴⁺ is virtually unaffected by matrix composition and can be run
at lower dilution factors to achieve better analyte sensitivity and precision.
Figure 6. Effect of ammonia gas flow rate and presence of Cl on ClO⁻ background.
Chapter 5: Conclusions and future prospects

Three reaction gases examined in this study, ammonia, oxygen, and nitrous oxide, demonstrate their practical feasibility for effective separation of vanadium analyte from its major polyatomic interference, $^{35}\text{Cl}^{16}\text{O}^+$, in environmental samples. Amongst the three reaction gases, oxygen generated the best sensitivity and the lowest detection limit for vanadium. Although the limits of detection obtained from the three methods were essentially identical, the ammonia approach exhibited considerable susceptibility to matrix chloride levels, whereas vanadium oxidation with oxygen and nitrous oxide was quite robust and showed no susceptibility to chloride at the suggested dilution factor. The selection of cell operating parameters and appropriate dilution factor for the samples appeared very important in balancing analyst's needs and analytical performance. With each method in optimized conditions, the vanadium data produced for fourteen lake sediment samples and a certified reference material agreed generally well with each other and were verified by an external laboratory. Last but not least, the oxidation of V$^+$ with oxygen and nitrous oxide proved to be an effective V-determination strategy that can be readily employed in ultra-trace environmental applications.

At present, our research efforts are to validate this proposed V oxidation method in determination of other types of environmental samples, such as sludge and waste water. Future work is also considered mainly on verification of the effectiveness and robustness of this approach for more complex matrices, i.e. geological materials that requires tougher digestion media (HF and HClO$_4$ involved) and probably contain much higher chloride content, as well as biological samples that engage with organic matrices.
Part II: Validation of a modified oxidation approach for the quantification of total As and Se in complex environmental matrices

Chapter 6: Overview of determination of As and Se by ICP-MS

Arsenic and selenium are always high on the list of elements that necessitate environmental monitoring, primarily due to their well-known health hazards to humans and animals. The presence of these two toxic elements in the environment can mainly be ascribed to anthropogenic activities, such as mining operations, industrial discharges, and the erosion of geological forms naturally enriched with these elements. Though ubiquitously distributed in the nature, the concentrations of As and Se observed are generally low (often at mg L\(^{-1}\) levels or lower) especially in aquatic environments (such as marine, freshwater, wastewater) and soil for agricultural purposes, where pollution monitoring mandates are legally enacted by various levels of governmental organizations\(^{k}\). In the case of Ontario, arsenic and selenium levels in the environment are rigorously regulated to ensure drinking water and food safety. For instance, the maximum acceptable concentrations for arsenic and selenium in drinking water are 0.025 and 0.01 mg L\(^{-1}\), respectively. Thus, the ability to accurately quantify these two elements at trace to ultra-trace level is of special importance in delineating the state of environmental pollution and requires techniques with extraordinarily powerful detection capabilities in this instance.

Before ICP-MS became a preferred technique for elemental analysis, a number of analytical methods had been developed for the determination of arsenic and selenium in various matrices. Hydride generation (HG) has been popular for As and Se quantification for its high selectivity and sensitivity and coupling with various detectors has been reported. Veber et al.\(^{75}\) adopted a HG-AAS setup following a pre-concentration procedure of the two elements. Brindle’s team\(^{76,77}\)

\(^{k}\) See Appendix IV for a partial list of relevant legislations and regulations.
interfaced HG with a DCP-AES system that afforded detection limits at low ng mL\(^{-1}\) levels. Schramel and Xu\(^{78}\) used a more powerful HG-ICP-AES hyphenation which delivered comparable detection capability as Brindle’s work. Direct determination with ETAAS was also reported.\(^{79,80}\) Acknowledging the delicacy and success these techniques have presented, it is also noted that their performance is sometimes restricted to sophisticated instrumental hyphenation. Additional sample handling, such as speciation, pre-reduction, and pre-concentration are often required. Furthermore, the limited detection capability for As and Se of those techniques hinders determination at much lower levels, for instance, at ng mL\(^{-1}\) levels. Therefore, a simpler, faster, and more powerful technique for determination of As and Se, able to iron out the problems that have troubled the analysts previously, would be appreciated.

![Graph](image)

Figure 7. The severity of ArCl\(^+\) interference in various matrices.

Although ICP-MS technology literally revolutionized elemental analysis since it first emerged, there were still several elements whose determination by conventional ICP-MS remains ineffective due to severe spectral interferences that are created with plasma gas. Arsenic and selenium fall unfortunately in this group of elements as they are subject to interferences from a range of argon-based polyatomic interferences (see Table 6 for an overview). Entrained in the post-plasma ion beam with analyte ions, these significant molecular ions result directly in
elevated background levels for the listed isotopes, and in some severe cases, will completely swamp the analyte signal if its abundance was relatively low (see Appendix V for a graphical illustration). As to their origins, arsenic suffers largely from a matrix effect because its major interfering species, \(^{40}\text{Ar}^{35}\text{Cl}^+\), depends exclusively on the chloride level in the sample matrix (often derived from the sample preserving and digesting media, such as HCl, see Figure 7). In contrast to the mono-isotopic arsenic, determination of selenium relies on its two relatively abundant isotopes, \(^{78}\text{Se}\) and \(^{80}\text{Se}\), which are much less affected by the matrix composition than by the overwhelming argon dimers.

**Table 6: A survey of spectral interferences for arsenic and selenium isotopes**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Analyte (%)</th>
<th>Isobaric interferences</th>
<th>Polyatomic interferences</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(^{75}\text{As} (100))</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(^{40}\text{Ar}^{35}\text{Cl}, ,^{38}\text{Ar}^{37}\text{Cl}, ,^{40}\text{Ca}^{35}\text{Cl})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(^{74}\text{Se} (0.89))</td>
<td>(^{74}\text{Ge} (35.94))</td>
<td>(^{38}\text{Ar}^{36}\text{Ar}, ,^{40}\text{Ar}^{34}\text{S}, ,^{37}\text{Cl}_2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(^{76}\text{Se} (9.36))</td>
<td>(^{76}\text{Ge} (7.44))</td>
<td>(^{40}\text{Ar}^{36}\text{Ar}, ,^{38}\text{Ar}_2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(^{77}\text{Se} (7.63))</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(^{40}\text{Ar}^{37}\text{Cl}, ,^{40}\text{Ar}^{36}\text{ArH}, ,^{38}\text{Ar}_2\text{H})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(^{78}\text{Se} (23.78))</td>
<td>(^{78}\text{Kr} (0.35))</td>
<td>(^{40}\text{Ar}^{38}\text{Ar}, ,^{38}\text{Ar}^{40}\text{Ca})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(^{80}\text{Se} (49.61))</td>
<td>(^{80}\text{Kr} (2.25))</td>
<td>(^{40}\text{Ar}, ,^{40}\text{Ar}^{40}\text{Ca})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(^{82}\text{Se} (8.73))</td>
<td>(^{82}\text{Kr} (11.6))</td>
<td>(^{40}\text{Ar}_2\text{H}_2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Since the high backgrounds of As and Se isotopes in conventional ICP-MS have literally disabled ultra-trace determination for the two elements, other existing measures (mainly cold plasma and sector field high resolution ICP-MS) were also considered. However, their inherent weaknesses often result in poor applicability and robustness. For example, cold plasma ICP-MS
is not suitable for As and Se determination because this technique is fairly inefficient for elements with relatively high ionization potentials (>8eV).\textsuperscript{17} Sector field ICP-MS can provide maximum resolution as high as 10,000, slightly greater than the resolutions required to resolve overlapping pairs like \textsuperscript{75}As\textsuperscript{+}/\textsuperscript{40}Ar\textsuperscript{35}Cl\textsuperscript{+} (R=7775) and \textsuperscript{80}Se\textsuperscript{+}/\textsuperscript{40}Ar\textsuperscript{2+} (R=9688).\textsuperscript{81} Nevertheless, its high operating cost and low analyte transmission at such high resolution levels sometimes render sector field ICP-MS unfavorable to meet analyst's needs. Direct measuring of As and Se in standard mode ICP-MS was also documented,\textsuperscript{45,82} where the analysts had to live with high background on mass 75 and chose a less-abundant isotope \textsuperscript{82}Se for quantification, at the cost of significantly compromised analyte sensitivity. It was not until the introduction of the collision/reaction cell to ICP-MS that such difficulties in arsenic and selenium determination could be alleviated.

Much like the previous case of vanadium determination by ICP-DRC-MS, discussed in Part I, application of a variety of reactive gases has been extensively explored in the past. Ammonia, frequently used in tackling argon-related polyatomic interferences, was not recommended by the manufacturer for the determination of arsenic whereas its use for selenium was conservatively supported. Grotti and Frache\textsuperscript{83} experimentally demonstrated its inability towards resolving arsenic due to a dramatic plunge of the As\textsuperscript{+} intensity observed with an increasing of NH\textsubscript{3} gas flow. A recent study by Bohme's group,\textsuperscript{84} using SIFT experiments, reported that, under near thermal conditions, ammonia is kinetically very reactive with As and Se through distinctive reaction channels:

\[ \text{As}^+ + \text{NH}_3 \rightarrow \text{AsNH}_2^+ + \text{H} \quad k = 2.0 \times 10^{-10} \text{ cm}^3\text{s}^{-1} \]  

\textsuperscript{1} As (9.7886 eV), Se (9.7524eV).
Se$^+$ + 2NH$_3$ → NH$_4^+$ + SeNH$_2$ \hspace{1cm} k = 1.1 \times 10^{-11}$ cm$^3$s$^{-1}$ \hspace{1cm}(13)$^m$

Methane was also applied in the DRC determination of As and Se. Guo et al.\textsuperscript{85} reported a near 100% recovery of As in certified reference materials using CH$_4$ as reaction gas. Pick et al.\textsuperscript{86} recovered 85% of Se with the same approach. These results reported however are unexpected, due to their non-conformance to the fundamental ion-molecule reaction characteristics described in Bohme’s research.\textsuperscript{87} CH$_4$ does remove argon-derived interferences efficiently, but it reacts with As and Se in a rapid manner as well. Its ability to remove the $^{40}$Ca$^{35}$Cl$^+$ ion is also less understood. Hence, the validity of employing CH$_4$ as reaction gas for As and Se analysis is still in controversial territory.

\text{As}^+ + \text{CH}_4 \rightarrow \text{AsCH}_2^+ + \text{H}_2 \hspace{1cm} k = 4.8 \times 10^{-10}$ cm$^3$s$^{-1}$ \hspace{1cm}(14)

\text{Se}^+ + \text{CH}_4 \rightarrow \text{Se}^+(\text{CH}_4) \hspace{1cm} k = 1.5 \times 10^{-11}$ cm$^3$s$^{-1}$ \hspace{1cm}(15)

Other approaches investigated previously include using inert gases such as argon or argon/hydrogen mixture, and oxidative gases like oxygen and nitrous oxide.\textsuperscript{32,33} So far the exploitation of kinetic properties of inert gases to solve molecular interferences for As and Se has only been qualitatively demonstrated and the resultant limits of detection have not exhibited significant improvement compared with standard mode ICP-MS.\textsuperscript{88,89} Strategies using mono-oxidation of arsenic and selenium to form analyte-containing polyatomic ions have been increasingly advocated in recent years\textsuperscript{83,86,90,91} as an innovative approach to overcome the persistent plasma-based interferences and matrix effect by chlorides. Olesik et al.\textsuperscript{33} took advantage of the exothermic reactions of O$_2$ with As and Se and used a 1% HCl as the matrix for the working standards. The resulting limits of detection were reported in pg mL$^{-1}$ levels,

\textsuperscript{m}The corresponding kinetic data refers to the rate-determining step.
suggesting that high chloride in the matrix and argon-related interferences do not contribute to the background with this approach. In Table 7, a thorough interference profile is illustrated for the mass range 91-98 where AsO\(^+\) and SeO\(^+\) ions fall. It can be inferred that, when As and Se are determined in the form of MO (M=As, Se), atomic isobars would play a more influential role on the accuracy of analyte ions, whereas their molecular counterparts are not likely to be present in significant abundances. This could potentially benefit the method detection limit, if the isobaric interferences were handled efficiently.

\[
\text{As}^+ + O_2 \rightarrow \text{AsO}^+ + O \quad \text{k} = 4.0 \times 10^{-10} \text{ cm}^3 \text{s}^{-1} \quad (16)
\]

\[
\text{Se}^+ + O_2 \rightarrow \text{SeO}^+ + O \quad (\Delta H_{\text{rxn}} \approx -24 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}) \quad (17)^n
\]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Analyte, ((%)^9)</th>
<th>Isobaric interferences</th>
<th>Polyatomic interferences (^9)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(^{75})As(^{16})O (100)</td>
<td>(^{91})Zr</td>
<td>(^{40})Ar(^{35})Cl(^{16})O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(^{74})Se(^{16})O (0.89)</td>
<td>(^{90})Zr (51.45)</td>
<td>(^{40})Ar(^{36})Ar(^{14})N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(^{76})Se(^{16})O (9.36)</td>
<td>(^{92})Zr (17.15), (^{92})Mo (14.84)</td>
<td>(^{76})Ge(^{16})O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(^{77})Se(^{16})O (7.63)</td>
<td>(^{93})Nb (100)</td>
<td>(^{40})Ar(^{37})Cl(^{16})O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(^{78})Se(^{16})O (23.78)</td>
<td>(^{94})Zr (17.38), (^{94})Mo (9.25)</td>
<td>(^{39})K(^{16})O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(^{80})Se(^{16})O (49.61)</td>
<td>(^{96})Zr (2.5), (^{96})Mo (16.68), (^{96})Ru (5.52)</td>
<td>(^{79})Br(^{17})O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(^{82})Se(^{16})O (8.73)</td>
<td>(^{98})Mo (24.13), (^{96})Ru (1.88)</td>
<td>(^{81})Br(^{17})O</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^9\) Unofficial value used in ref 32, 33. No kinetic rate constant available in current literature.

\(^\circ\) Refer to the natural abundance of isotopes in per cent forms.
Through an extensive literature review on the topic of arsenic and selenium determination by ICP-DRC-MS, we have carefully evaluated the performance of various candidate reaction gases and concluded that reaction with oxygen to be the most suitable for real-world sample analysis at ng mL\(^{-1}\) level. We also realized that many previous studies, especially those dealing with biological and clinical materials, favoured the most abundant \(^{80}\text{Se}^{16}\text{O}^+\) as analyte ion without in-depth investigations on the extent and impact of potentially interfering \(^{96}\text{Zr}^+\), \(^{96}\text{Mo}^+\) and \(^{96}\text{Ru}^+\) ions. Though unlikely to be seen in biological forms, Zr, Mo, and Ru do exist (individually or collectively) in certain types of samples, for example, industrial wastewater, sediments, as well as geological materials. Therefore, it is necessary to study their reaction characteristics in the DRC with oxygen gas and develop alternative strategies around those potential spectral nuisances. In the work described in the second half of this thesis, the research efforts were devoted mainly on removal of Zr, Mo and Ru interferences in As and Se measurements in the quest of improved accuracy and detection limits in ultra-trace analysis of the two elements.
Chapter 7: Experimental

7.1 Instrumentation

The instrument used for this project was the same ELAN ICP-DRC-MS described in Chapter Two. The sample introduction system was unchanged as well. Important operating parameters were altered where necessary and are summarized in Table 8.

Table 8. Instrumental settings for ICP-DRC-MS: (As and Se analysis)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Plasma RF power (W)</td>
<td>1300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasma gas flow (L min⁻¹)</td>
<td>14.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auxiliary gas flow (L min⁻¹)</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nebulizer gas flow (L min⁻¹)</td>
<td>0.89-0.90 (optimized daily)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cell gas</td>
<td>Oxygen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cell gas flow (mL min⁻¹)</td>
<td>0.6 (As), 1.25 (Se)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RPa</td>
<td>0 for all analytes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RPq</td>
<td>0.4 (As), 0.65 (Se)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample uptake (mL min⁻¹)</td>
<td>~1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interface</td>
<td>Pt sampler and skimmer cones</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auto lens calibration</td>
<td>On (Be 6.75V, Co 7.75V, In 8.75V)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analog Stage Voltage (V)</td>
<td>-2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulse Stage Voltage (V)</td>
<td>1100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cell Rod Offset Std (V)</td>
<td>-10.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discriminator Threshold (mV)</td>
<td>70.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cell Path Voltage Std (V)</td>
<td>-15.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Axial Field Voltage (V)</td>
<td>250.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detector</td>
<td>Dual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scanning mode</td>
<td>Peak hopping</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mathematical correction</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dwell time (ms)</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweeps</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Replicate</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

7.2 Reagents

Research grade plasma gas and cell gas (oxygen) were supplied by Praxair (Hamilton, ON, Canada). Relevant reagent information for this project is supplemented in Appendix II.
7.3 Sample collection and preparation

Seven sludge samples were taken from different wastewater treatment plants in the Niagara Region of Southern Ontario, including one duplicate, and were prepared with a standard *aqua* leach. These samples contain considerable organic content and are intended to be land-applied if the concentrations of pollutants are below regulated levels. Each sample was air-dried at 30 °C and about 0.5 g of each sample and certified reference material was weighed for digestion. The sample digestate was formed in the same manner as for sediment digestion described in Part I (to a final volume of 50 mL). Using a further dilution factor of 50 (for As) or 10 (for Se), two sets of diluted sample were then prepared with 0.5% (m/v) nitric acid. The density of digestates is pooled at 1.013±0.004 g/ml. Therefore, at the designated dilution level, the density correction factor is only about 0.3% and would not give rise to significant bias of the measurements.

7.4 Internal standardization and calibration

The employment of an internal standard (IS) in ICP-MS practices is intended to counteract the non-spectral effects caused by the solid build-up in the sample introduction system, RF ICP instability, as well as instrumental drift. For the sake of analyte stability during hours-long analysis, the use of an internal standard was considered appropriate because, for elements like As and Se whose first ionization potential are relatively high, their signal intensities often exhibit high variations in response to small fluctuations in ICP power. According to USEPA’s guidelines and previous experience, Rh, In, and Ir were qualified candidates as 1) they are either not present in samples or resistant to *aqua regia* digestion; 2) they do not react with oxygen under thermal conditions; and 3) they are either similar with the analytes by mass or by first ionization potential (Table 9).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>Atomic/Molecular Mass</th>
<th>1st Ionization Energy (eV)</th>
<th>Reactivity with O2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>As/AsO</td>
<td>75/91</td>
<td>9.789</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Se/SeO</td>
<td>74-82/90-98</td>
<td>9.752</td>
<td>NR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rh</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>7.459</td>
<td>NR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>5.786</td>
<td>NR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ir</td>
<td>193</td>
<td>8.967</td>
<td>NR</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A solution containing 5 ng mL⁻¹ of As, 10 ng mL⁻¹ of Se, and 1 ng mL⁻¹ of Rh, In, and Ir were run for 2.5 hours in DRC mode for three consecutive days and the performance of three candidate ISs was documented for evaluation purposes. The experimental results showed consistency, in that the analyte signals drifts least with Rh as internal standard (Table 10). The data also implied a more positive impact of difference in mass between analyte and IS ions than the difference in their ionization potential, as far as the analyte stability is concerned.

Subsequently, Rh was chosen as internal standard and 1 ng mL⁻¹ of Rh was spiked in all working solutions and diluted samples. Two sets of calibration standards were prepared for determination of As (1, 2, 5, 10, and 20 ng mL⁻¹) and Se (5, 10, 20, 50, 100 ng mL⁻¹) with 0.5% (m/v) nitric acid, respectively. Both calibration curves (Appendix VI) were linear through zero (blank subtracted) and exhibited excellent linearity.
Table 10. Comparison of relative analyte stability with respect to Rh, In, and Ir

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Day 1</th>
<th>Ato</th>
<th>ROI</th>
<th>In L15</th>
<th>In r19</th>
<th>91/103</th>
<th>91/115</th>
<th>91/193</th>
<th>94/103</th>
<th>94/115</th>
<th>94/193</th>
<th>96/103</th>
<th>96/115</th>
<th>96/193</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Day 2</th>
<th>Ato</th>
<th>ROI</th>
<th>In L15</th>
<th>In r19</th>
<th>91/103</th>
<th>91/115</th>
<th>91/193</th>
<th>94/103</th>
<th>94/115</th>
<th>94/193</th>
<th>96/103</th>
<th>96/115</th>
<th>96/193</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Day 3</th>
<th>Ato</th>
<th>ROI</th>
<th>In L15</th>
<th>In r19</th>
<th>91/103</th>
<th>91/115</th>
<th>91/193</th>
<th>94/103</th>
<th>94/115</th>
<th>94/193</th>
<th>96/103</th>
<th>96/115</th>
<th>96/193</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Chapter 8: Results and discussion

8.1 Selection of arsenic and selenium analyte ion

Where oxygen is used as the reaction gas for As and Se determination, the use of $^{75}$As$^{16}$O$^+$ ion is non-debatable due to the mono-isotopic nature of arsenic. However, selenium is unevenly distributed between six isotopes ranging from 0.89% to 49.61% in relative abundance (Table 7). Its most abundant isotope, in the form of $^{80}$Se$^{16}$O$^+$, has been used in several previous works$^{32,33,91}$. At m/z 91 and 96, the major concerns for the spectral interferences are $^{91}$Zr, $^{96}$Zr, $^{96}$Mo, and $^{96}$Ru ions. The primary reaction characteristics of these elements with oxygen are reported in reference 50:

$$
\text{Zr}^+ + \text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{ZrO}^+ + \text{O} \quad k = 5.0 \times 10^{-10} \text{ cm}^3 \text{s}^{-1} \quad (18)
$$

$$
\text{Mo}^+ + \text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{ZrO}^+ + \text{O} \quad k = 7.5 \times 10^{-11} \text{ cm}^3 \text{s}^{-1} \quad (19)
$$

$$
\text{Ru}^+ + \text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{RuO}_2^+ \quad k = 1.7 \times 10^{-13} \text{ cm}^3 \text{s}^{-1} \quad (20)
$$

Based on the magnitudes of these kinetic reaction rate constants, it can be seen that reactions 18 and 19 proceed rapidly whereas reaction 20 is rather slow. To check the reaction efficiencies, three running standards, each containing 5 ng mL$^{-1}$ of Zr, 5 ng mL$^{-1}$ of Mo, and 10 ng mL$^{-1}$ of Ru, were run independently in the DRC mode with oxygen gas. Figure 8(a) confirms that the actual reaction profiles correspond to the published ones. Ruthenium fails to respond to the addition of oxygen throughout. Molybdenum intensity is reduced by two orders of magnitude but its intensity at hundreds of cps level at the higher oxygen flow rate indicates that the reaction is indeed not 100% complete at the current flow rate. In contrast with the incomplete reaction of Mo, the Zr signal settles quickly at tens of cps level, comparable to the background generated
from 0.5% HNO₃, as the oxygen flow rate increases to around 0.8 mL min⁻¹, indicating the removal of Zr is essentially complete.

In Figure 8(b) the trend of \(^{80}\text{Se}\,^{16}\text{O}^+\) ion production is compared with \(^{96}\text{Ru}^+\) in the DRC. It is not difficult to conclude that mass intensity 96 will be unable to reflect genuine \(^{80}\text{Se}\,^{16}\text{O}^+\) signal in the presence of Ru. Considering the open access to various sources of contamination of the environment, the chances of encountering Mo and Ru in an environmental sample, though presumably at very low levels, do exist. Furthermore, the methodology developed in this study is intended to be not only a workable approach for environmental samples, but also a matrix-independent solution able to deal with much tougher applications, such as geological samples where elevated levels of Zr, Mo, and Ru are anticipated. Hence, the utilization of \(^{80}\text{Se}\,^{16}\text{O}^+\) as a selenium analyte would likely produce skewed results if attention is not paid to Mo and Ru levels in samples and an alternative analyte should be explored in this regard.
Conversely, it was noticed that $^{78}\text{Se}^{16}\text{O}^+$ ion overlaps with $^{94}\text{Zr}$ and $^{94}\text{Mo}$ only (Table 7). Although the abundance of $^{78}\text{Se}$ is only about 48% of that of $^{80}\text{Se}$, it could still maintain an advantage relative to the noise by two orders of magnitude if the Mo interference can be further reduced. Further experiments showed that with a higher oxygen flow rate in the 1.2-1.3 mL min$^{-1}$ range, the $^{94}\text{Mo}$ intensity can be reduced to the tens of cps level while the $^{78}\text{Se}^{16}\text{O}$ signal remains quite stable (Figure 8(c)). The robustness of this approach was examined with two levels of Mo (5 ng mL$^{-1}$ and 50 ng mL$^{-1}$, respectively) and the results, illustrated in Figure 8(c), confirm the effectiveness of the higher oxygen flow rate in reducing interference from $^{94}\text{Mo}$. Therefore, $^{78}\text{Se}^{16}\text{O}$ is formally retained in the new method for Se determination in the sludge samples prepared.

Figure 8(b). SeO (96), Ru 96 as a function of oxygen gas flow.
8.2 Oxygen gas flow and RPq conditioning

Since $^{75}\text{As}^{16}\text{O}^+$ and $^{78}\text{Se}^{16}\text{O}^+$ are selected for determination, relevant DRC operating parameters must be chosen for generating the optimum signal to noise ratio for each analyte. A blank solution containing 0.5% (v/v) nitric acid and 1% HCl (v/v), and two separate standards, each containing 5 ng mL$^{-1}$ As and 10 ng mL$^{-1}$ Se, were used for optimization purposes. In Figures 9 and 10, the effects of both oxygen gas flow rate and RPq values on analyte intensity and the corresponding detection limit are portrayed. From Figure 9(a) it can be seen that oxygen gas flow rate at 0.5 mL min$^{-1}$ delivers the lowest limit of detection. At this flow rate, however, a two-digit Zr background can not always be guaranteed, depending on its actual concentration in the sample. Therefore, a higher gas flow rate of 0.6 mL min$^{-1}$ was selected for $^{75}\text{As}^{16}\text{O}^+$, where Zr
interference is completely eliminated and the corresponding limit of detection for arsenic is essentially unchanged.

Similar results were obtained for the $^{78}\text{Se}^{16}\text{O}^+$ ion optimization. Initial data suggested that an oxygen gas flow of 0.8 mL min$^{-1}$ results in the lowest detection limit (Figure 10(a)). Considering that a higher gas flow is required to eliminate $^{94}\text{Mo}^+$ interference, it is necessary to increase the gas flow rate to a point where complete removal of $^{94}\text{Mo}^+$ and a reasonable $^{78}\text{Se}^{16}\text{O}^+$ detection limit can be simultaneously achieved. As a result, an oxygen flow rate of 1.25 mL min$^{-1}$ was chosen for determination of the analyte as $^{78}\text{Se}^{16}\text{O}^+$. The RPq values most suited for $^{75}\text{As}^{16}\text{O}^+$ and $^{78}\text{Se}^{16}\text{O}^+$ ion are 0.4 and 0.65, respectively. It can be seen from those optimization curves that the limits of detection for arsenic and selenium reached ng L$^{-1}$ and tens of ng L$^{-1}$ levels, thanks to the low backgrounds realized in this higher mass range.

![Graph](image)

**Figure 9(a).** Detection limit of $^{75}\text{As}^{16}\text{O}^+$ as a function of oxygen gas flow rate.
Figure 9(b). Detection limit of $^{75}$As$^{16}$O$^+$ as a function of RPq.
Figure 10(a). Detection limit of $^{78}\text{Se}^{16}\text{O}^+$ as a function of oxygen gas flow rate.

Figure 10(b). Detection limit of $^{78}\text{Se}^{16}\text{O}^+$ as a function of $\text{RPq}$. 
8.3 Method validation and analysis of environmental samples

Compared with previous studies that also adopted oxygen as a reaction gas for As and Se determination,\textsuperscript{83,86,90,91} a few modifications were made in the current study: a) the impact of Zr\textsuperscript{+} on AsO\textsuperscript{+} ion was addressed in detail; b) rhodium was selected as internal standard based on a performance assessment of the three candidate isotopes; c) the employment of \textsuperscript{78}Se\textsuperscript{16}O\textsuperscript{+} ion greatly enhanced the robustness of the method as it relieves the pressure from isobaric interferences from Zr, Mo, and Ru on \textsuperscript{80}Se\textsuperscript{16}O\textsuperscript{+}. Another advantage of the proposed method is that there is no necessity to employ a mathematical equation for interference correction. Consequently, random errors on the measured results can be minimized.

Using cell parameters optimized in Section 7.2, arsenic and selenium results for 7 sludge samples and one certified reference material (CRM031-040-2)\textsuperscript{p} are reported in Table 11. Validation of this newly developed method was two-fold. Firstly, the recovery of As and Se in the CRM was evaluated with respect to certified values. A recovery of 100.7\% for As and 102.6\% for Se was obtained. Secondly, all data obtained from 7 sludge samples were compared with those generated in the Niagara Regional Laboratory, where a standardized HG-ICP-AES method has been employed for routine determination of hydride forming elements. It can be concluded from the good agreement between the two sets of data using paired \textit{t}-tests (Table 12), as well as satisfactory CRM recovery, that the validation of this method is successful.

It should be noted that, despite the good data accuracy achieved, selenium results exhibit relatively large RSDs compared with arsenic. This is probably a direct consequence of the lower sensitivity of \textsuperscript{78}Se\textsuperscript{16}O\textsuperscript{+} compared with the most abundant \textsuperscript{80}Se\textsuperscript{16}O\textsuperscript{+} ion. However, paying such a

\textsuperscript{p} For verification of trace metal determination in sludge/sediment samples.
price in exchange for confidence in accuracy is often understandable and encouraged in real-world applications, where accuracy of data is the top priority of analysis.

Table 11. Analytical figures of merit for determination of biosolid samples

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample ID</th>
<th>ICP-DRC-MS</th>
<th>HG-ICP-AES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>As (mg kg(^{-1}))(^{a})</td>
<td>Se (mg kg(^{-1}))(^{b})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51855</td>
<td>8.8±0.1</td>
<td>1.1±0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81848</td>
<td>5.2±0.3</td>
<td>1.1±0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81807</td>
<td>3.8±0.1</td>
<td>1.1±0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53184</td>
<td>20.5±0.1</td>
<td>9.9±0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53320</td>
<td>7.0±0.1</td>
<td>4.0±0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53320-2</td>
<td>6.5±0.1</td>
<td>3.7±0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53330</td>
<td>40.0±0.2</td>
<td>2.4±0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QC96(^{c})</td>
<td>218.3±1.6</td>
<td>122.1±1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M.R. (%)(^{d})</td>
<td>100.7</td>
<td>102.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSD. (%)(^{e})</td>
<td>0.90-3.0</td>
<td>1.46-4.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L.O.D. (ng kg(^{-1}))(^{f})</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>60(^{e})</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample ID</th>
<th>ICP-DRC-MS</th>
<th>HG-ICP-AES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>As (mg kg(^{-1}))(^{a})</td>
<td>Se (mg kg(^{-1}))(^{b})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51855</td>
<td>8.8±0.1</td>
<td>1.1±0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81848</td>
<td>5.2±0.3</td>
<td>1.1±0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81807</td>
<td>3.8±0.1</td>
<td>1.1±0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53184</td>
<td>20.5±0.1</td>
<td>9.9±0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53320</td>
<td>7.0±0.1</td>
<td>4.0±0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53320-2</td>
<td>6.5±0.1</td>
<td>3.7±0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53330</td>
<td>40.0±0.2</td>
<td>2.4±0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QC96(^{c})</td>
<td>218.3±1.6</td>
<td>122.1±1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M.R. (%)(^{d})</td>
<td>100.7</td>
<td>102.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSD. (%)(^{e})</td>
<td>0.90-3.0</td>
<td>1.46-4.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L.O.D. (ng kg(^{-1}))(^{f})</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>60(^{e})</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 12: Paired \(t\)-tests for As and Se data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>As (ICP-MS)(^{a}) / As (HG-ICP-AES)(^{b})</th>
<th>Se (ICP-MS)(^{b}) / Se (HG-ICP-AES)(^{b})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(t)(^{exp})(^{c})</td>
<td>1.53</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample ID</th>
<th>ICP-DRC-MS</th>
<th>HG-ICP-AES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>As (mg kg(^{-1}))(^{a})</td>
<td>Se (mg kg(^{-1}))(^{b})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51855</td>
<td>8.8±0.1</td>
<td>1.1±0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81848</td>
<td>5.2±0.3</td>
<td>1.1±0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81807</td>
<td>3.8±0.1</td>
<td>1.1±0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53184</td>
<td>20.5±0.1</td>
<td>9.9±0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53320</td>
<td>7.0±0.1</td>
<td>4.0±0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53320-2</td>
<td>6.5±0.1</td>
<td>3.7±0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53330</td>
<td>40.0±0.2</td>
<td>2.4±0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QC96(^{c})</td>
<td>218.3±1.6</td>
<td>122.1±1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M.R. (%)(^{d})</td>
<td>100.7</td>
<td>102.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSD. (%)(^{e})</td>
<td>0.90-3.0</td>
<td>1.46-4.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L.O.D. (ng kg(^{-1}))(^{f})</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>60(^{e})</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a. 1:50 dilution of digestate; b. 1:10 dilution of digestate; c. Certified value: As 217.0±18.6 mg kg\(^{-1}\), Se 119.0±12.4 mg kg\(^{-1}\); d. Method recovery; e. Relative standard deviation; f. Calculated by ELAN 3.3 software.

Table 12: Paired \(t\)-tests for As and Se data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>As (ICP-MS)(^{a}) / As (HG-ICP-AES)(^{b})</th>
<th>Se (ICP-MS)(^{b}) / Se (HG-ICP-AES)(^{b})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(t)(^{exp})(^{c})</td>
<td>1.53</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a. 1:50 dilution of digestate; b. 1:10 dilution of digestate; c. Two-tailed \(t\)\(_{0.05, \eta} = 2.36\)
Chapter 9: Conclusion and future prospects

Oxygen has proven to be an excellent reaction gas for accurate determination of total arsenic and selenium in complex environmental samples by ICP-DRC-MS. While abundant chlorine-and-argon based interferences are avoided, conversion of As and Se to AsO and SeO encounters new interference problems due to atomic isobars. Aided with an internal standardization approach, Zr, Mo, and Ru interferences on As and Se are addressed by carefully optimizing DRC operating parameters and selecting an alternative analyte ion, $^{78}\text{Se}^{16}\text{O}^{+}$. The modified DRC method was successfully validated by good recovery of a certified reference material, as well as inter-laboratory data comparison with a credible analytical institution. Larger standard deviations for selenium, an inherent drawback due to the use of a less abundant selenium isotope, $^{78}\text{Se}$, were also observed. However, if precision is not the top priority of selenium analysis, the new method is more than capable of delivering both excellent accuracy and limit of detection for ultra-trace determination of the two elements. Our future work on this topic will move on to improving AsO and SeO sensitivities in search of better signal-to-noise ratio. For instance, a few recent studies suggest that addition of organic contents (e.g. methanol) to inorganic solution would likely improve the signal intensity multi-fold.$^{81,96}$ This is a very intriguing finding as it could help improve the determination of As and Se at ultra-trace levels. Moreover, application of the current study to more difficult matrices, such as geological samples, could provide a good validation tool to further examine its ability to overcome matrix-induced spectral interferences.
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Appendix II: Reagents and standards information

Concentrated Nitric Acid: LOT # 50781 (Caledon Lab Chemicals)

Concentrated Hydrochloric Acid: LOT # 68401 (Caledon Lab Chemicals)

Vanadium Stock Solution: LOT# 0916217 (High-Purity Standards, 1000 ppm)

Zinc Stock Solution: LOT# 0916202 (High-Purity Standards, 1000 ppm)

Magnesium Stock Solution: LOT# 0919727 (High-Purity Standards, 1000 ppm)

Indium Stock Solution: LOT# 0920942 (High-Purity Standards, 1000 ppm)

Uranium Stock Solution: LOT# 0921112 (High-Purity Standards, 1000 ppm)

Cerium Stock Solution: LOT# 0916809 (High-Purity Standards, 1000 ppm)

Barium Stock Solution: LOT# 0911910 (High-Purity Standards, 1000 ppm)

Arsenic Stock Solution: Lot# 0919418 (High-Purity Standards, 1000 ppm)

Selenium Stock Solution: Lot# 1019712 (High-Purity Standards, 1000 ppm)

Rhodium Stock Solution: Lot# 0916722 (High-Purity Standards, 1000 ppm)

Iridium Stock Solution: made from “Bis-(1,5-cyclooctadiene)-diiridium(I)-dichloride” (97%) powder, Sigma-Aldrich, USA.

Zirconium Stock Solution: made from “Bis-cyclopentadienyl-zirconium-dichloride” (99%) powder, STREM Chemicals, USA.

Molybdenum Stock Solution: Lot# 0833104 (High-Purity Standards, 100 ppm)

Ruthenium Stock Solution: made from “Ruthenium (III) chloride” powder, Sigma-Aldrich, USA

CRM031-040-1: Lot# 012211 (RTC, Laramie, WY, USA)

CRM031-040-2: Lot# 014679 (RTC, Laramie, WY, USA)
Appendix III: Calibration curves for vanadium determination

1. NH₃ as reaction gas (calibration range 0-20 ng mL⁻¹)
Appendix III-continued

2. NH₃ as reaction gas (calibration range 0-2000 pg mL⁻¹)
Appendix III - continued

3. O$_2$ as reaction gas (calibration range 0-20 ng mL$^{-1}$)
Appendix III- continued

4. $\text{N}_2\text{O}$ as reaction gas (calibration range $0-20$ ng mL$^{-1}$)
Appendix IV: A partial list of environmental regulations on As and Se

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Regulation</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>As</th>
<th>Se</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Ontario drinking water standards, objectives, and guidelines</em></td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Ontario nutrient management Act</em></td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>14 (in soil)</td>
<td>1.6 (in soil)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Guidelines for Canadian drinking water quality</em></td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>US Safe drinking water Act</em></td>
<td>1996</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>WHO Guidelines for drinking-water quality</em></td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix V: Analyte and background intensities for As and Se

Mass Scan (m/z, Standard Mode)

Intensity (cps)

- 1% HNO₃
- 1% HCL
- 5 ppb As
- 5 ppb Se
Appendix VI: Calibration curves for arsenic and selenium determination

1. O₂ as reaction gas to produce \(^{75}\text{As}^{16}\text{O}\) (calibration range 0-20 ppb)
Appendix VI: continued

2. O₂ as reaction gas to produce $^{78}\text{Se}^{16}\text{O}$ (calibration range 0-100 ppb)