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Abstract

This thesis describes the synthesis, structural studies, stoichiometric and catalytic reactivity of novel Mo(IV) imido hydride complexes (Cp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃) (1) and (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃) (2). Both 1 and 2 catalyze hydrosilylation of a variety of carbonyls. Detailed kinetic and DFT studies found that 1 reacts by an unexpected associative mechanism, which does not involve Si-H addition either to the imido group or the metal. Despite 1 being a d² complex, its reaction with PhSiH₃ proceeds via a σ-bond metathesis mechanism giving the silyl derivative (Cp)(ArN)Mo(SiH₂Ph)(PMe₃). In the presence of BPh₃ reaction of 1 with PhSiH₃ results in formation of (Cp)(ArN)Mo(SiH₂Ph)(H)₂ and (Cp)(ArN)Mo(SiH₂Ph)₂(H), the first examples of Mo(VI) silyl hydrides.

A 1:1:1 reaction between 2, PhSiD₃ and carbonyl substrate established that hydrosilylation is not accompanied by deuterium incorporation into the hydride position of the catalyst, thus ruling out the conventional mechanism based on carbonyl insertion carbonyl. As 2 is nonreactive to both the silane and ketone, the only mechanistic alternative we are left with is that the metal center activates the carbonyl as a Lewis acid. The analogous nonhydride mechanism was observed for the catalysis by (ArN)Mo(H)(Cl)(PMe₃), (Ph₃P)₂(I)(O)Re(H)(OSiMe₂Ph) and (PPh₃CuH)₆.

Complex 2 also catalyzes hydroboration of carbonyls and nitriles. We report the first case of metal-catalyzed hydroboration of nitriles as well as hydroboration of carbonyls at very mild conditions. Conversion of carbonyl functions can be performed with high selectivities in the presence of nitrile groups.
This thesis also reports the first case of the H/H exchange between H₂ and Si-H of silanes mediated by Lewis acids such as Mo(IV), Re(V), Cu(I), Zn(II) complexes, B(C₆F₅)₃ and BPh₃.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Å</td>
<td>Angström</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acac</td>
<td>acetylacetonate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ar</td>
<td>2,6-diisopropylphenyl, unless stated otherwise</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atm</td>
<td>atmosphere (1 atm = 1 bar, 760 mm Hg, 101.3 kPa, 14.696 psi)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>broad (NMR)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bn</td>
<td>benzyl</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cat</td>
<td>catechol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cat.</td>
<td>catalyst</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COD</td>
<td>cyclooctadiene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cp</td>
<td>$\eta^5$-C₅H₅, unless specified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cp*</td>
<td>$\eta^5$-C₅Me₅, unless specified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cy</td>
<td>cyclohexyl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cy=O</td>
<td>cyclohexanone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>doublet (NMR)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DFT</td>
<td>density functional theory</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DME</td>
<td>1,2-dimethoxyethane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMF</td>
<td>dimethylformamide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abbreviation</td>
<td>Full Form</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMSO</td>
<td>dimethyl sulphoxide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dppe</td>
<td>1,2-Bis(diphenylphosphino)ethane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ebpe</td>
<td>N,N'-ethylenebis(1-phenylethylamine)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eq.</td>
<td>equivalents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESI-MS</td>
<td>electrospray ionization mass-spectroscopy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Et</td>
<td>ethyl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>exc.</td>
<td>excess</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>hour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hal</td>
<td>halogen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOMO</td>
<td>highest occupied molecular orbital</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hoz</td>
<td>2-(2'-hydroxyphenyl)-2-oxazoline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hz</td>
<td>Hertz, cycles per second</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$H\nu$</td>
<td>light</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i-Pr</td>
<td>isopropyl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IR</td>
<td>infrared</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$J$</td>
<td>coupling constant (NMR)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K$</td>
<td>reaction rate constant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KIE</td>
<td>kinetic isotope effect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L_{\alpha}$</td>
<td>ligands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LUMO</td>
<td>lowest unoccupied molecular orbital</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>central metal atom in a complex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>multiplet (NMR)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$m$-</td>
<td>meta-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Me</td>
<td>methyl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abbreviation</td>
<td>Definition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mes</td>
<td>mesityl (2,4,6-Me$_3$C$_6$H$_2$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n-Bu</td>
<td>n-butyl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMR</td>
<td>nuclear magnetic resonance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norphos</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Norphos structure" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o-</td>
<td>ortho-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTf</td>
<td>CF$_3$SO$_3^-$, triflate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>pentet (NMR)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-</td>
<td>para-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ph</td>
<td>phenyl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PhMe</td>
<td>toluene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PinBH</td>
<td>pinacolborane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMHS</td>
<td>Polymethylhydrosiloxane, Me$_3$Si-[OSi(H)(Me)]$_n$-SiMe$_3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pr</td>
<td>propyl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Py</td>
<td>pyridine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q</td>
<td>quartet (NMR)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RT</td>
<td>RT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>singlet (NMR)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S$_2$CNEt$_2$</td>
<td>diethyldithiocarbamate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>salalen</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="salalen structure" /></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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I Introduction

Metal-catalyzed hydrosilylation of carbonyls is a convenient reduction method and produces protected alcohols in one step at mild conditions.\(^1\) It is considered a greener alternative to metal hydride reduction of carbonyls. Most industrial applications of hydrosilylation are catalyzed by late transition metals, which are toxic and expensive. Much current effort is aimed at replacing heavy late transition metal complexes for less expensive and more environmentally benign early metals, such as Ti\(^2\), Zr\(^3\), and Mo\(^4,5\), or first d-series metals\(^6,7\), particularly Fe\(^8\) and Cu\(^9\). The mechanistic proposals offered for these metal catalysts share a common theme: the formation of a metal hydride intermediate upon the addition of silane followed by carbonyl insertion into the Mo-H bond to give an alkoxide (the hydride mechanism).\(^7_b\) Indeed, some metal hydride complexes have been obtained or observed under the hydrosilylation conditions and proved to turn over.\(^5,7_b,10\) But are they the actual catalysts and what is the true role of the hydride ligand? Understanding how catalysis works is important for the rational design of new catalysts. Unfortunately, mechanistic data on hydrosilylation catalysis are scarce, and further investigations are required.

Metal-catalyzed hydroboration is a useful addition to non-catalytic hydroboration of unsaturated organic compounds, and proceeds with different chemo-, regio- and stereoselectivities.\(^11\) Despite the fact that metal-catalyzed hydroboration of olefins and alkynes is well-established and thoroughly studied, hydroboration of carbonyls and nitriles is still untouched. The use of transition metals for carbonyl and nitrile hydroboration may provide milder conditions, and thus, better selectivities and higher yields. Hydroboration of nitriles may produce N,N-bisborylated amines\(^12\), a relatively new class of compounds that may find applications in organic synthesis.
II Historical

II. 1 Hydrosilylation of carbonyls: early studies

Hydrosilylation is an addition reaction of hydrosilanes $R_3\text{SiH}$ to organic compounds with multiple bonds, such as carbonyls, alkenes, alkynes and nitriles. The history of hydrosilylation begins in 1947 with Sommer’s report of the reaction between trichlorosilane and 1-octene in the presence of diacetyl peroxide (Scheme II-1). \cite{13}

$$\text{Cl}_3\text{SiH} + \text{C}_6\text{H}_{13}\text{CH}=\text{CH}_2 \xrightarrow{(\text{CH}_3\text{COO})_2} \text{C}_6\text{H}_{13}\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{SiCl}_3$$

**Scheme II-1.** Hydrosilylation of 1-octene catalyzed by diacetyl peroxide.

It is interesting to note that until 1957, reactions of hydrosilanes with carbonyl compounds had not yet been reported. Calas and Duffaut found that trichlorosilane and triphenylsilane could easily be added across the carbonyl group of ketones and aldehydes under the photoinduced conditions (UV radiation). \cite{14} For instance, a photochemical reaction between acetone and trichlorosilane afforded formation of isopropyl trichlorosilyl ether. Acetophenone and benzophenone, in contrast, did not react with the silane under the UV radiation. Soon after 1958, Gilman and Wittenberg found that triphenylsilane, diphenylsilane and phenylsilane react with benzophenone to produce the corresponding silyl ethers at high temperatures and in the absence of any added catalyst. \cite{15}

Calas then studied the influence of different electronic factors on the addition of hydrosilanes to carbonyls. \cite{16} It was found that when hydrosilylation was promoted by UV radiation, the yields of alkoxy silanes were very sensitive to the presence of electron-withdrawing substituents in carbonyls. \cite{16b, 17} For example, reaction of acetophenone with trichlorosilane gave the product in 95% yield after one hour of UV radiation. $\alpha$-Chloroacetophenone in the same reaction did not react (0% yield) even after 40 hours of radiation. In contrast, the influence of steric factors on hydrosilylation was not found to be very significant. $n$-$\text{C}_6\text{H}_{11}$-$\text{CO-CH}_3$, $t$-$\text{Bu-CO-CH}_3$ and $t$-$\text{Bu-CO-Bu-t}$ were all hydrosilylated within one hour in $>93\%$ yields. All observations were consistent with the radical mechanism of hydrosilylation induced by UV radiation. \cite{17}
In the 1960s, Calas et al. discovered that hydrosilylation of carbonyls could also be mediated by ZnCl$_2$.$^{18}$ This new method became a milder alternative to the temperature- and UV-induced hydrosilylation and worked on a broader range of substrates. However, in case of aldehydes, the products often disproportionate to disilyl ether and dialkyl ether.

Calas emphasized the influence of different types of silane substituents on hydrosilylation reactions.$^{17}$ Two mechanisms for the Si-H bond cleavage have been considered, homolytic and heterolytic. Homolytic cleavage of the Si-H bond ($\text{Si}^\bullet + \cdot \text{H}$) takes place in the photoinduced hydrosilylation. It is facilitated by substituents such as Cl and Ph, which can stabilize the radicals by $\pi$-conjugation. Accordingly, trichlorosilane and triphenylsilane demonstrated the best results in the photoinduced hydrosilylation reactions. In contrast, donor alkyl substituents in trialkylsilanes make the Si-H bond more electron-rich and the hydride more nucleophilic ($\text{Si}^{5+} \rightarrow \text{Si}^{5-}$) and thereby promoting the addition of silanes across the carbonyl group ($\text{O}^{5-} = \text{C}^{5+}$). In the latter process, ZnCl$_2$ helps the addition reaction by polarizing the C=O further by Lewis acid coordination (Lewis acid-catalyzed hydrosilylation).

II. 2 Rh-catalyzed hydrosilylation of carbonyls

In 1972, Ojima reported hydrosilylation of carbonyls catalyzed by the chlorotris(triphenyl-phosphine)rhodium complex (Wilkinson’s catalyst).$^{19}$ Hydrosilylation was observed as a clean reaction and under mild conditions. When the hydrosilylation proceeded slowly (for certain substrates), Ojima noticed formation of a yellow precipitate, which re-dissolved as the reaction temperature rose. The yellow precipitate was isolated from the reaction mixture and identified as ($\text{Ph}_3\text{P})_2\text{RhH}(\text{SiEt}_3)(\text{Cl})$. This product resulted from oxidative addition of the silane to RhCl($\text{PPh}_3)_3$, which had previously been observed by Wilkinson$^{20}$ and Haszeldine$^{21}$. This hydrosilylrhodium(III) complex was reported to catalyze hydrosilylation of carbonyls as well.$^{22}$

Following these observations, a mechanism of hydrosilylation catalyzed by RhCl($\text{PPh}_3)_3$ was proposed (Scheme II-2).$^{22b,23}$ It involves the oxidative addition of silane to rhodium catalyst to form a hydrosilylrhodium complex followed by the silicon
migration from rhodium to the carbonyl oxygen to give (α-siloxyalkyl)rhodium hydride as a key intermediate. Kagan’s spin-trapping experiments also support this mechanism.²⁴

\[
\text{(Ph}_3\text{P)}_3\text{RhCl + Et}_3\text{SiH} \rightarrow \text{(Ph}_3\text{P)}_3\text{RhH(SiEt}_3\text{)Cl + Ph}_3\text{P}
\]

\[
\text{(Ph}_3\text{P)}_2\text{RhCl + Et}_3\text{SiH} \rightarrow \text{(Ph}_3\text{P)}_2\text{RhCl(SiEt}_3\text{)H}
\]

\[
\text{R} \quad \text{O} \quad \text{SiEt}_3
\]

\[
\text{R'} \quad \text{O} \quad \text{SiEt}_3
\]

\[
\text{Scheme II-2. Ojima’s mechanism of hydrosilylation of carbonyls catalyzed by RhCl(PPh}_3\text{).}
\]

Wilkinson’s catalyst and hydridosilylrhodium complex were found to catalyze hydrosilylation of α,β-unsaturated carbonyls compounds with high regioselectivity.²²c Hydrosilylation with monohydrosilanes afforded enol silyl ethers (1,4-addition), while polyhydrosilanes gave α,β-unsaturated silylated alcohols (1,2-addition).²³d, ²⁵

To study the regioselective hydrosilylation, spin-labeling experiments have been performed.²³d Geranial, as a typical substrate, was hydrosilylated with Et₃SiD and Ph₂SiD₂ in the presence of Wilkinson catalyst. The silylated products were exposed to methanolysis to the corresponding alcohols. It was indicated that Et₃SiD resulted in exclusive formation of citronellal-3-d₁, while Ph₂SiD₂ afforded geraniol-1-d₁. Ojima proposed formation of an (α-siloxyallyl)rhodium hydride as a key intermediate (Scheme II-3). The latter can be isomerized to (γ-siloxyallyl)rhodium hydride complex. Each isomer gives the corresponding product by β-C-H reductive elimination.
Scheme II-3. Ojima's mechanism of hydrosilylation of $\alpha,\beta$-unsaturated carbonyls catalyzed by (Ph$_3$P)$_3$RhCl

EPR spectra of the reaction mixture of $\beta$-ionone, diphenylsilane, (Rh$_3$P)$_3$RhCl, and nitrosuderene obtained 15 min and 30 min after the reagents were mixed, strongly indicate the formation of both proposed isomers and the equilibrium between them.$^{23d}$ Ojima emphasized that the ratio of two isomers may be influenced by several factors, such as the bulkiness of the $\alpha$-siloxy group and the electronic effects of the silyl group. For instance, strong steric repulsion between the siloxy group and the rhodium moiety favours formation of the $\gamma$-adduct (1,4-addition intermediate). Electronic influence of the silyl group on the allylic system may affect the ease of isomerization. In addition, Ojima proposed that another molecule of hydrosilane may participate in accelerating the hydride shift from rhodium and promote the formation of the 1,2-adduct (Scheme II-4).$^{23d}$
Ojima finally concluded that polyhydrosilanes are not bulky enough, in comparison to monohydrosilanes, to cause isomerization of the α-adduct to the γ-adduct. Furthermore, polyhydrosilanes participation in promoting the hydride shift and their potential ease of oxidative addition were considered to be more expectable. For monohydrosilanes, all the factors favour the formation of the γ-adduct.

In 1995, Chan and Zheng reported highly regiocontrolled hydrosilylation of α,β-unsaturated carbonyl compounds catalyzed by (Ph₃P)₄RhH and proposed a new mechanism of hydrosilylation based on the observed regioselectivities and kinetic isotope effects. It was found that depending on the silane used, it is possible to perform either regioselective 1,2- (di- and trihydrosilanes, RₓSiHₙ, n = 2 or 3) or 1,4-hydrosilylation (monohydrosilanes, R₃SiH) (Scheme II-5).

Chan performed a series of kinetic investigations of the hydrosilylation of acetophenone using a mixture of deuterated and protiosilanes. It was found that kinetic
isotope effect $k_{t}k_{D} = 2$ corresponds to the hydrosilylation with polyhydrosilanes, and $k_{t}k_{D} = 1$ for monohydrosilanes. In contrast to Ojima's mechanism, Chan proposed that after the formation of a silylhydride complex A (Scheme II-6), the carbonyl group coordinates the silicon atom. Then, depending on the silane used, either a hydride of the Si-H group migrates to the carbon atom of the carbonyl group (B→C), or the carbonyl inserts into the Rh-Si bond via a four-membered transition state E to form the organorhodium complex F.

$$
\text{R'}_2\text{RSiH} + \text{Rh} \rightarrow \text{R'}_2\text{RSi-Rh-H} \text{ A}
$$

**Scheme II-6.** Chan's mechanism of hydrosilylation of carbonyls by mono- and polyhydrosilanes.

Given the absence of a significant isotope effect in the hydrosilylation by monohydrosilanes, Chan concluded that neither the formation of the silylhydrido complex A nor the reductive elimination F→G can be the rate-determining step. Observation of a primary isotope effect in the hydrosilylation by polyhydrosilanes may suggest that the hydride transfer step B→C is the rate-determining. 26

For the hydrosilylation of $\alpha,\beta$-unsaturated carbonyls a mechanism was proposed by Chan (including a key step of the hydride migration from the silicon to the carbon atom
for polyhydrosilanes) (Scheme II-7). It was suggested that \( \alpha,\beta \)-unsaturated carbonyl substrate and the silylhydrido complex \( A \) first forms the complex \( H \), where the carbonyl group is \( \sigma \)-coordinated to the silicon atom, and the \( C=\)C double bond is \( \pi \)-coordinated to the metal centre (Scheme II-7).

\[
\text{R'}_2\text{RSiH} + \text{Rh} \rightarrow \text{R'}_2\text{RSi-Rh-H} \quad \text{(A)}
\]

\[
\text{I} \quad \text{O} \quad \text{Si} \quad \text{Rh} \quad \text{H} \quad \text{R} \quad \text{H}
\]

\[
\text{R} \quad \text{Si} \quad \text{H} \quad \text{Rh} \quad \text{L}
\]

\[
\text{K} \quad \text{O} \quad \text{Si} \quad \text{H} \quad \text{Rh} \quad \text{M}
\]

**Scheme II-7.** Chan's mechanism of hydrosilylation of \( \alpha,\beta \)-unsaturated carbonyls by mono- and polyhydrosilanes.

Complex \( H \) can undergo a reductive elimination to the enol silyl ether \( L \) (for monohydridosilanes), or the hydride from the Si atom can migrate to the carbonyl carbon to form \( I \) (for polyhydridosilanes). Each way was proposed to be exclusive for the certain type of silane.\(^{26}\) The kinetic isotope effect for hydrosilylation of 4,4-dimethyl-2-cyclohexene-1-one by PhMe\(_2\)SiH/PhMe\(_2\)SiD or by Ph\(_2\)SiH\(_2\)/Ph\(_2\)SiD\(_2\) was found to be \( k_\text{H}/k_\text{D} = 1 \) in both cases. This suggested that the rate-determining step could be, most likely, the formation of the intermediate \( H \) (Scheme II-7) that does not involve the Si-(H/D) or the Rh-(H/D) bond cleavage. It was mentioned\(^{26}\) that coordination of ketone to the silylhydridorhodium complex as a rate-determining step had been shown earlier by Kolb and Hetflejs in kinetic studies of hydrosilylation of tert-butyl phenyl ketone using a [Rh(1,5-COD)((-)-DIOP)]\( \text{ClO}_4 \)- catalyst.\(^{27}\)
Existence of π-coordination between the double bond and the rhodium centre could explain the observed stereoselectivity in reduction of 3,5-dimethyl-2-cyclohexene-1-one. Hydrosilylation by PhMe₂SiH gave selectively the cis-product \((cis/trans = 92:8)\). Of two proposed transition states, one could be disfavoured due to the steric repulsions between the metal centre and the methyl group (Scheme II-8).²⁶

![Scheme II-8](image)

**Scheme II-8.** Chan’s proposed transition states for hydrosilylation of 3,5-dimethyl-2-cyclohexene-1-one by PhMe₂SiH.

Maitlis *et al.* studied hydrosilylation of alkenes by triethylsilane mediated by \([((Cp*Rh)_2Cl_2)]\), and observed the formation of Rh(V) complex, \([Cp*Rh(H)_2(SiEt)_2]\), resulting from double oxidative addition of silane to rhodium.²⁸ The complex was isolated and fully characterized \((^1H, ^13C, ^29Si, ^103Rh \text{ NMR, X-ray, and neutron diffraction})\).²⁹ The isolated rhodium(V) complex was considered to be a possible part of a catalytic cycle.³⁰

Further studies performed by Goikhman and Milstein revealed the possibility of α-hydrogen elimination in the reaction between \([\text{Rh}(iPr_3P)_2(OTf)]\) and Ph₂SiH₂.³¹ The reaction first provided formation of \([\text{Rh}(iPr_3P)_2(OTf)(H)(SiHPh₂)]\), which then decomposed to \([\text{Rh}(iPr_3P)_2(OTf)(H)_2]\) and silylene. The latter was not observed directly but was trapped by the starting Rh complex. A pathway for catalytic hydrosilylation of alkenes by \([\text{Rh}(iPr_3P)_2(OTf)]\) was proposed to involve formation of silylene intermediates.³¹ Formation of *iridium* silylene complexes has been previously observed by Tilley *et al.*³²
Hofmann and Gade reported enantioselective hydrosilylation of ketones catalyzed by a cationic Rh(I) complex with chiral oxazoline-N-heterocyclic carbene chelate ligand. Kinetic studies of the hydrosilylation catalysis were found to be incompatible with the mechanisms reported by Ojima and Chan. They also emphasized that neither the Ojima nor Chan mechanisms could explain the significant enhancement of reaction rate when dihydrosilanes were used instead of monohydrosilanes. The Ojima and the Chan mechanisms also did not explain the inverse kinetic isotope effect observed in hydrosilylation catalysis. Hofmann and Gade have recently published the detailed DFT studies of the Rh-catalyzed hydrosilylation of ketones. Three catalytic pathways have been suggested. They stated that the proposed catalytic pathway, which involves the formation of silylene intermediates, has the lowest activation barrier for the step of hydride migration from rhodium to the ketone bound to the silylene ligand, and is more accessible (Scheme II-9). Chan’s mechanism was found to have the highest barrier and was disfavoured. Therefore, it appears that Rh catalyzed hydrosilylation by primary and secondary silanes proceed by the silylene mechanism.

II. 3 Titanocene-catalyzed hydrosilylation of carbonyls

In 1980, Sato discovered that the reaction of Grignard reagents (RMgX, R = Alk, Ar) with carbonyls in the presence of catalytic amounts of Cp₂TiCl₂ suppresses the regular 1,2-addition of RMgX to the carbonyl group, but instead provides the carbonyl reduction to the corresponding alcohols.³⁵ Titanium(III) complex Cp₂TiH was suggested as a key participant in the catalytic cycle, which reacts with carbonyls to give titanium alkoxide complexes Cp₂Ti-OR. The latter reacts with RMgX to give the magnesium salt of the corresponding alcohol (product) and Cp₂Ti-R.

Nakano, in 1988 found that Cp₂TiPh₂ catalyzes hydrosilylation of carbonyls by PhSiH₃, Ph₂SiH₂ and PhMeSiH₂.³⁶ To propose the possible pathway of the hydrosilylation catalysis, he referred to Harrod’s work, where Cp₂TiMe₂ and Cp₂TiBz₂ were known to react with silanes to give Ti-H species.³⁷ However, Cp₂TiPh₂ was not found to react with silanes. Nevertheless, Nakano proposed that the Ti-H species, such as (Cp₂TiH)₂H³⁸ and Cp₂TiH, are being formed during the catalysis and react with carbonyls to give the titanium alkoxy-complex.³⁶ Reaction of the latter with silane closes the catalytic cycle, providing the product of hydrosilylation and regenerating the proposed Ti-H catalyst (Scheme II-10).

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Cp}_2\text{TiPh}_2 & \xrightarrow{\text{R}_2\text{SiH}_2} \text{(Cp}_2\text{TiH})_2\text{H} \xrightarrow{-[\text{Cp}_2\text{TiH}_2]} \text{Cp}_2\text{TiH} \\
\text{R}_2\text{SiH}_2 & \xrightarrow{\text{Cp}_2\text{TiH}} \text{R}_2\text{SiH}_2
\end{align*}
\]

**Scheme II-10.** Nakano’s mechanism of hydrosilylation of ketones catalyzed by Cp₂TiPh₂.

Buchwald (1991) reported hydrosilylation of esters to the corresponding alcohols catalyzed by Cp₂TiCl₂.²ᵃ Two equivalents of n-BuLi were used to generate the active catalytic species under the inert atmosphere. One year later he announced the second-generation catalytic system, Ti(OPr-i)₄ and (EtO)₃SiH, which is air-stable, self-activating
and needs no solvent. He emphasized that production of an active catalytic species may be achieved by a simple reaction of titanium alkoxide with silanes, which proceeds via σ-bond metathesis (Scheme II-11).

$$L_nTi^{\text{OR}} + H-Si(OEt)_3 \rightarrow \left[ L_nTi^{\text{OR}} \stackrel{\text{H-Si(OEt)}_3}{\leftarrow} \right]^{\dagger} \rightarrow L_nTi^{\text{H}} + RO-Si(OEt)_3$$

**Scheme II-11.** Generation of catalytically active Ti-H species from titanium(IV) alkoxide and triethoxy silane.

However, Buchwald was not sure what the active catalyst really was in this system. He considered the possibility of a simple Lewis acid-catalyzed hydrosilylation. But the results showed that hydrosilylation of esters were not affected by the presence of Lewis bases in the system (pyridine, THF, PMe₃). A radical mechanism was also unlikely, since heating the mixture of Ti(OPr-i)₄, (EtO)₃SiH and benzyl bromide at 45 °C for 2 hours did not result in the formation of dibenzyl, and no rearrangement product was found in ester hydrosilylation. It was finally concluded that catalysis is initiated by the formation of titanium hydride species, which directly react with esters followed by reaction with silane.

In 1994, Buchwald reported the first efficient method of enantioselective hydrosilylation of ketones by an early transition metal catalyst with a chiral ligand. Treatment of ethylenebis(tetrahydroindenyl)titanium dichloride with 2 eq. of n-BuLi and 5 eq. of PMHS provides formation of an active catalyst, which was postulated to be a titanium(III) hydride complex. It could also be prepared from the TiF₂-derivative and phenylsilane (Scheme II-12).
Hydrosilylation was typically carried out in benzene in the presence of 4.5 mol% of catalyst at RT during 0.8-4.5 days. PMHS was used as the hydrosilylating agent. The highest ee (>95%) were achieved for aromatic ketones and non-aromatic ketones with conjugated carbonyl groups. Saturated ketones, in contrast, resulted in products with very low ee (~12-24%). To explain this phenomenon, Buchwald suggested a transition state to explain how the ketone approaches the catalyst. Of two possible transition states, only one significantly minimizes interactions between the benzene ring and the tetrahydroindenyl ligand and thus should be favourable (Scheme II-13).

Approach of the carbonyl compound to the titanium centre, which results in the formation of an alkoxide, is the enantioselectivity-determining step. Enantioselectivity of products was explained by how selectively the reaction proceeds through only one transition state. For the rigid structures, such as aromatic or conjugated ketones, the C=C=O bond rotation requires additional energy and is less likely, thus, two transition states will have different energies, and the one with the smallest energy will be favourable and...
will determine the enantioselectivity of the reaction. In contrast, saturated carbonyls are very flexible, and this minimizes the energy between possible transition states, which results in poor enantioselectivities.

The catalytic cycle has been proposed to go through the formation of the corresponding alkoxide followed by reaction with silane via the $\sigma$-bond metathesis mechanism of the Ti-O and Si-H bonds (Scheme II-14).$^{2g}$

![Scheme II-14. Proposed catalytic cycle of hydrosilylation of carbonyls by Ti-H catalyst.](image)

**II. 4. Hydrosilylation of carbonyls catalyzed by zinc complexes**

Mimoun developed a very efficient, environmentally benign, safe and industrially important method of hydrosilylation of aldehydes and ketones by PMHS catalyzed by various zinc catalysts.$^{41}$ Zinc compounds such as ZnCl$_2$, Zn(RCOO)$_2$, ZnEt$_2$, Zn(BH$_4$)$_2$ and ZnH$_2$ were previously reported to be inactive to catalyze hydrosilylation.$^{41}$ The active catalyst species, however, were generated by addition of stoichiometric amounts of NaBH$_4$ or LiAlH$_4$ to Zn(2-ethylhexanoate)$_2$ as well as by addition of ethylenediamine or TMEDA to ZnEt$_2$. Complexes of other metals such as Co(2-ethylhexanoate)$_2$, Cd(propionate)$_2$, Mn(stearate)$_2$, Fe(2-ethylhexanoate)$_2$, Zr(OPr-i)$_4$, Cu(2-ethylhexanoate)$_2$, Sn(2-ethylhexanoate)$_2$ have also been tested, but they were found to be less efficient compared to the zinc catalysts.

Mimoun emphasized the key role of transition metal complexes to coordinate carbonyl compounds in hydrosilylation reactions. Thus, Müller et al. obtained the benzaldehyde-coordinated zinc complex [ZnCl$_2$(PhCHO)]$_2$ and characterized it by X-
ray.\textsuperscript{42} Ti(O\textit{Ph})\textsubscript{4} can form a 1:1 complex with acetone.\textsuperscript{43} Titanium alkoxides were mentioned to catalyze transesterification of esters.\textsuperscript{44} Specifically, the ability of zinc to coordinate carbonyls would explain the reactivity of Zn(BH\textsubscript{4})\textsubscript{2} to reduce esters, while NaBH\textsubscript{4} was not active in the same reactions.\textsuperscript{45}

Zinc hydride complexes have been proposed to be the key catalytic species in hydrosilylation reactions, and they were assumed to be generated \textit{in situ} by reactions of zinc carboxylates with NaBH\textsubscript{4}.\textsuperscript{41} The active catalytic species have not been characterized. Several model zinc hydrido complexes such as [HZnOC\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{4}NM\textsubscript{e}\textsubscript{2}]\textsubscript{2}, [PhZnH*Py]\textsuperscript{47}, [HZnN(Me)C\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{4}NM\textsubscript{e}\textsubscript{2}]\textsuperscript{48} and (PhZnH)\textsubscript{2}*TMEDA\textsuperscript{47} have been separately prepared and tested to catalyze hydrosilylation reaction. Neither of these complexes was as effective as the species generated \textit{in situ} by the reaction between Zn(2-ethylhexanoate)\textsubscript{2} and NaBH\textsubscript{4}.\textsuperscript{41} This was attributed to the oligomeric nature of the former reagents that prevents association with the Si-H bonds.\textsuperscript{41} A mechanism of hydrosilylation of carbonyls mediated by zinc hydride complexes has been proposed (Scheme II-15).\textsuperscript{41}

\begin{center}
\textbf{Scheme II-15.} Mimoun's mechanism of hydrosilylation of ketones catalyzed by zinc hydride species.
\end{center}

Provided that alkali metal hydrides may form adducts with silanes\textsuperscript{49}, Mimoun suggested formation of a four-membered silane-zinc adduct in the proposed catalytic
cycle (Scheme II-15). In his opinion, the Si-H bond of the silane is activated in the resulting pentavalent dihydrosilicate intermediate. This intermediate has been compared to LiAlH₄.⁴¹ When LiAlH₄ is used for reduction of carbonyls, Li⁺ activates the carbonyl group as a Lewis acid whereas Al-H acts as a hydride donor.⁵⁰ In reductions by Zn(BH₄)₂, zinc activates the carbonyl group, and B-H is used to donate the hydride.⁴⁵ Similarly, the zinc atom may activate the C=O group, and the pentavalent dihydrosilicate transfers one of its two hydrides to the carbon atom of C=O group. The Si-H hydride may be transferred to the carbonyl group in a concerted way via the six-membered transition state (as for LiAlH₄).⁵⁰ The resulting zinc alkoxide would react with the Si-H species through heterolytic splitting of the Zn-O and Si-H bonds to regenerate the zinc hydride and polysiloxane (product), in the same way as proposed by Buchwald in titanium chemistry.²²

A while later, enantioselective reduction of ketones by PMHS catalyzed by chiral zinc catalysts was published by Mimoun in collaboration with Floriani.⁵¹ Two more mechanisms of hydrosilylation (in addition to the one discussed above, Scheme II-15) were suggested to interpret the enantioselective reduction of ketones.

The first mechanism relates to the zinc hydride species and describes the carbonyl insertion across the Zn-H bond to form an alkoxy complex. The latter may react with the silane via the heterolytic splitting of Zn-O and Si-H bonds to give the initial zinc hydrido complex and the hydrosilylation product (Scheme II-16).

![Scheme II-16. Mimoun's alternative mechanism of hydrosilylation catalyzed by zinc hydride species.](image)
It has been demonstrated that complex \([Zn(H)(OCH_2CH_2NMe_2)]_2\) reacts with benzaldehyde giving a zinc alkoxy complex with the proposed structure of \([Zn(OCH_2PhH)(-OCH_2CH_2NMe_2)]_2\). When the alkoxy complex was treated with \((EtO)_3SiH\), the obtained \(^1H\) NMR spectrum reflected the formation of the initial zinc hydride complex. This experiment suggested the potentially possible sequence of steps for catalytic hydrosilylation of carbonyls by zinc hydrides (Scheme II-16).

The second mechanism corresponds to catalytic hydrosilylation of carbonyls by dialkylzinc compounds (Scheme II-17). The diamine ligands were presumed to directly participate in the carbonyl activation.

\[ R_1R_2 \overset{\text{EtO}_3SiH}{\longrightarrow} R_1R_2SiOCHR_1R_2 \]

**Scheme II-17.** Proposed mechanism of hydrosilylation of carbonyls catalyzed by dialkylzinc complexes

The mechanism displayed in Scheme II-17 is based on the result provided by the reaction between \(ZnEt_2^*(S,S)\)-ebpe and benzaldehyde (or acetophenone). The product of the reaction was isolated and its structure was elucidated by X-ray analysis (Scheme II-18).

Transition states for all three proposed mechanisms (Scheme II-15, Scheme II-16, Scheme II-17) have been evaluated by semiempirical PM3 calculations.\(^{51}\)
II. 5. Oxo-rhenium complexes: mechanistic studies of catalytic hydrosilylation of carbonyls

II.5.1. Neutral dioxo-rhenium(V) complexes

High-valent rhenium oxo complexes have found many applications in catalytic oxidation and oxygen transfer reactions. Applications of electron-deficient metal complexes in catalytic reductions, such as hydrogenation, hydrosilylation and hydroformylation, are very unusual and extremely rare. Recently, Toste et al. found that rhenium(V) dioxo complexes may serve as catalysts for the hydrosilylation of carbonyls and imines. In particular, iododioxo(bistriphenylphosphine)rhenium(V) was found to be an unexpectedly active catalyst for hydrosilylation of a wide range of ketones (aromatic and aliphatic) and aldehydes (aliphatic, aromatic, heteroaromatic) (Scheme II-19).

\[
\text{MeO} \quad \text{CHO} \quad \xrightarrow{2\% \ (\text{Ph}_3\text{P})_2\text{Re(O)}_2\text{I}} \quad \text{MeO} \quad \text{CH}_2\text{OSiR}
\]

silane, \( C_6H_6, 60-75 \degree C \)

\( R = \text{Et}_3, \text{PhMe}_2, \text{Ph}_2\text{Me}, \text{t-BuMe}_2 \)

Scheme II-19. Hydrosilylation catalyzed by \((\text{Ph}_3\text{P})_2\text{Re(O)}_2\text{I}\)
Rhenium(V) dioxo complexes were of particular interest in the study of reactions undergoing the [2+2]-type addition between Re=O and Si-H bonds. Toste believes that the reactivity of the Re=O bond would be enhanced by the presence of the second oxo ligand. The latter, a *spectator* group, could play a central role in stabilizing the critical intermediate.

The proposed mechanism involves a [2+2]-addition of silane to the Re=O bond to produce a metal hydride (Scheme II-20). This is followed by the reaction of the metal hydride with aldehyde and the subsequent formation of an alkoxy complex. Transfer of the silyl group to the alkoxy ligand produces the silyl ether and regenerates the initial dioxorhenium complex.

The reaction of (Ph₃P)₂Re(O)₂I with excess of Et₃SiH was studied separately. It resulted in the production of the hydrido silyloxy complex (Ph₃P)₂(I)Re(H)(OSiEt₃)(O). The structure of this Re-H complex was supported by NMR data. Addition of *p*-nitrobenzaldehyde to (Ph₃P)₂(I)Re(H)(OSiEt₃)(O) afforded the silyl ether.

![Scheme II-20](image)

**Scheme II-20.** Proposed mechanism of hydrosilylation of carbonyls by (Ph₃P)₂Re(O)₂I.

A possibility of [3+2]-type addition of silane to O=Re=O was also considered.

Toste's first report intrigued many chemists, and a number of publications appeared describing other hydrosilylation reactions catalyzed by metal-oxo complexes involving various types of electron-deficient Re=O and Mo=O complexes.

Four years later, Toste *et al.* published a more extensive investigation of the hydrosilylation mechanism catalyzed by (Ph₃P)₂Re(O)₂(II). Treatment of
(Ph₃P)₂Re(O)₂(I) with excess of PhMe₂SiH afforded the silyloxy hydride complex (Ph₃P)₂(I)Re(H)(OSiMe₂Ph)(O), which was isolated and characterized. They also reported that this complex was present in the reaction mixture during the catalysis. The latter observation supported the idea that complex (Ph₃P)₂(I)Re(H)(OSiMe₂Ph)(O) could be an intermediate in the catalytic cycle. Kinetic investigations of the formation of complex (Ph₃P)₂(I)Re(H)(OSiMe₂Ph)(O) revealed the first-order dependence on concentration of silane. Computational studies for this reaction published by Lin and Wu suggested that the concerted [2+2]-addition mechanism for this reaction is most favourable among other different possible mechanisms (Scheme II-21). ⁵⁹

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{PPh}_3 & \quad \text{I} \quad \text{O} \\
\text{Re} & \quad \text{O} \\
\text{PPh}_3 & \quad \text{I} \\
\text{PPh}_3
\end{align*}
\]

Scheme II-21. Concerted [2+2] addition mechanism of (Ph₃P)₂(I)Re(H)(OSiR₃)(O)
formation supported by DFT calculations.

DFT studies proposed that this mechanism must include a phosphine dissociative step. However, kinetic studies demonstrated no dependence of the reaction rate on the concentration of phosphine, indicating that the mechanism should be associative.

Toste suggested another stepwise mechanism for the activation of silane by the Lewis basic oxo ligands followed by the hydride transfer to the metal centre (Scheme II-22) ¹⁰ᵃ. However, this alternative pathway was not examined in the DFT calculations by Lin and Wu ⁵⁹.

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{PPh}_3 & \quad \text{I} \quad \text{O} \\
\text{Re} & \quad \text{O} \\
\text{PPh}_3 & \quad \text{I} \\
\text{PPh}_3
\end{align*}
\]

Scheme II-22. An alternative mechanism of Lewis base activation of silane by oxo ligand.
The kinetic isotope effect (KIE) for the formation of \((\text{Ph}_3\text{P})_2(\text{I})\text{Re}(\text{H})(\text{OSiMe}_2\text{Ph})(\text{O})\) was found to be 0.78. An inverse KIE could arise if the rate-determining step is the reversible formation of a zwitter-ion intermediate, which does not include the participation of Si-H (or Si-D) bond. The hydride transfer is suggested to be a fast (not rate-determining) step (Scheme II-22).

Reaction between \(\text{Re}=\text{O}\) and Si-H was found to be irreversible. \(\text{Ph}_2\text{MeSiH}\) was never observed to exchange with \((\text{Ph}_3\text{P})_2(\text{I})\text{Re}(\text{H})(\text{OSiMe}_2\text{Ph})(\text{O})\). However, experiments with \(\text{Ph}_2\text{MeSiD}\) demonstrated the H/D exchange between silane protons and Re-H. In addition, H/D exchange was revealed in a mixture of \((\text{Ph}_3\text{P})_2\text{Re}(\text{D})(\text{OSiMe}_2\text{Ph})(\text{O})(\text{I})\) and \((\text{Ph}_3\text{P})_2(\text{I})\text{Re}(\text{H})(\text{OSiMePh}_2)(\text{O})\) in the absence of other reagents.

Toste emphasized that the second oxo ligand provides the thermodynamic driving force for the addition of silane across the primary oxo ligand.\(^{108}\) It is known that oxo ligands may stabilize the metal centre due to its \(\pi\)-donation.\(^{56,60}\) This is essential for the intermediates: while the one oxo ligand reacts with silane and loses the ability to stabilize the cationic metal centre, the \(\pi\)-stabilization is supported by the other oxo ligand.

To understand the mechanism of reaction of \((\text{Ph}_3\text{P})_2\text{Re}(\text{H})(\text{OSiMePh}_2)(\text{O})(\text{I})\) with \(p\)-anisaldehyde, Toste \textit{et al.} carried out a number of kinetic experiments. They found the first-order dependence of the reaction rate on the concentration of both substrates, and also found that the reaction is inhibited by the presence of free triphenylphosphine in the reaction mixture. In addition, some amount of free phosphine was formed during the reaction indicating a dissociative mechanism. In conclusion, they proposed that the reaction begins with phosphine dissociation followed by reaction with the aldehyde (Scheme II-23).
Scheme II-23. Proposed mechanism of reaction between (Ph₃P)₂Re(H)(OSiMe₂Ph)(O)(I) and p-anisaldehyde.

Nevertheless, the specific intermediates illustrated in Scheme II-23 were not observed.

The experiments with the ¹⁸O-labeled p-anisaldehyde showed that ¹⁸O atom is not incorporated into the Re-complex (Scheme II-24).

Scheme II-24. Reaction of ¹⁸O-labeled p-anisaldehyde with siloxyrhenium complex.

Kinetic studies of catalytic reactions provided some information about the catalyst resting states. NMR data for the hydrosilylation of p-anisaldehyde by PhMe₂SiH showed the presence of (Ph₃P)₂(I)Re(H)(OSiMe₂Ph)(O) as a major component in the catalytic reaction mixture and trace amounts of (Ph₃P)₂Re(O)(O)(I). This led to the conclusion that the hydrido siloxyrhenium complex is a catalyst resting state. Other experiments with
Ph₂MeSiH revealed, in contrast, a steady concentration of (Ph₃P)₂Re(O)₂(I), and this (starting) complex was concluded to be the catalyst resting state.

II.5.2. Neutral monooxo-rhenium(V) complexes

Proposed mechanisms of hydrosilylation are often very speculative and are not reliable. Since the discovery of catalytic hydrosilylation by Re(V) dioxo complexes⁵⁴, many questions remained unanswered concerning the mechanism of hydrosilylation. In particular, the role of the second oxo ligand in stabilization of an intermediate has not been elucidated, and its stabilization effect has only been hypothesized. Royo et al. found that complex (Ph₃P)₂Re(O)Cl₃, which has only one oxo ligand, is still rather reactive.⁴ᵃ

Abu-Omar et al. carried out studies on the hydrosilylation mechanism of monooxo-rhenium complexes specifically taking into consideration complexes of ReXCl₃(PR₃)₂ (X = O, NAr, and R = Ph, Cy)¹⁰ᵇ. Under catalytic conditions of hydrosilylation of benzaldehyde by ReOCl₃(PPh₃)₂, two major intermediates have been observed in ³¹P NMR spectra, ReOCl₂(H)(PPh₃)₂ and ReOCl₂(OCH₂Ph)(PPh₃)₂. Complexes ReOCl₃(PPh₃)₂, ReOCl₃(PCy₃)₂ and Re(NMes)Cl₃(PPh₃)₂, when individually treated with excess of silane (Et₃SiH), gave monohydride dichloride derivatives in excellent yields. Kinetic investigations of individual steps were carried out using ReOCl₂(H)(PPh₃)₂ as a model catalyst. When ReOCl₂(H)(PPh₃)₂ is treated with benzaldehyde, it forms the alkoxide complex, ReOCl₂(OCH₂Ph)(PPh₃)₂. The reaction rate was first order in PhCHO. It was found that the insertion of benzaldehyde was inhibited by the presence of free triphenylphosphine. Consequently, the reaction of Re-H with benzaldehyde required dissociation of one of the phosphine ligands, and the excessive amounts of PPh₃ only inhibited dissociation. The kinetic isotope effect was found to be negligible: \( k[\text{ReOCl}_2(\text{H})(\text{PPh}_3)_2]/k[\text{ReOCl}_2(\text{D})(\text{PPh}_3)_2] = 1.1 \). The resulting alkoxy-complex ReOCl₂(OCH₂Ph)(PPh₃)₂ was then reacted with Et₃SiH to give the initial ReOCl₂(H)(PPh₃)₂ and Et₃SiOCH₂Ph. Abu-Omar emphasized that the kinetic studies of individual steps were consistent with the catalytic cycle shown in Scheme II-25.
Abu-Omar et al. have determined the rate constants for each individual step in the proposed catalytic cycle (Scheme II-25), and tried to simulate the overall rate of catalysis. The estimated rate was found to be much lower than the one experimentally observed. Therefore, the mechanism of hydrosilylation could be different and could involve other catalytic species.

The imido derivative Re(=NMes)Cl₃(PPh₃)₂ was found to be much more reactive than ReOCl₃(PPh₃)₂. The rhenium imido hydride complex was not observed in the catalytic mixture until the end of the catalysis. It also did not react with benzaldehyde to form a benzyloxy complex. Thus, in Abu-Omar’s opinion it could not be an active form of the catalyst¹⁰ᵇ.

As a result, the mechanism of hydrosilylation of carbonyls catalyzed by ReXCl₃(PR₃)₂ (X = O, NAr, and R = Ph, Cy) remained unresolved. There were several alternative ways of catalysis discussed, but neither one was supported by the experimental data¹⁰ᵇ.

II.5.3. Cationic monooxo-rhenium(V) complexes: hydrosilylation of carbonyls

Abu-Omar et al. carried out the study of hydrosilylation mediated by cationic monooxorhenium(V) complexes, in particular by [Re(O)(hoz)₂][B(C₆F₅)₄]⁶¹. This catalyst was found to be very active (with a catalyst load of just 0.1%) catalyzing hydrosilylation of ketones and aldehydes at ambient temperature. Hydrosilylation catalysis was performed even without the use of solvent, wherein the catalyst precipitated at the end of reaction⁶¹ᵇ.
Abu-Omar et al. proposed a new alternative mechanism of hydrosilylation of carbonyls catalyzed by [Re(O)(hoz)2][B(C6F5)4]61b. They suggested that the mechanism involves the Si-H bond activation by the cationic Re centre via formation of a $\eta^2$-adduct, followed by a [2+2]-type reaction with the carbonyl (Scheme II-26).

![Scheme II-26](image)

**Scheme II-26.** An alternative mechanism of carbonyl hydrosilylation by oxo-rhenium complexes proposed by Abu-Omar.

Formation of the $\eta^2$-adduct was postulated to be the rate-determining step. Abu-Omar also referred to the kinetic isotope effect results found for the hydrosilylation of benzaldehyde by triethylsilane: $k(\text{Et}_3\text{SiH})/k(\text{Et}_3\text{SiD}) = 1.3$ and $k(\text{PhCHO})/k(\text{PhCDO}) = 1.0$. In his opinion, it correlates with the proposed mechanism (Scheme II-26).

Concerning the role of the second oxo-ligand, these authors did not provide any conclusions61b. They only mentioned that the cationic complex [Re(O)(hoz)2][B(C6F5)4] was an order of magnitude more reactive than Toste’s complex (Ph3P)2Re(O)2(I). There was no evidence that the high reactivity was caused by the cationic nature of the metal centre in [Re(O)(hoz)2][B(C6F5)4] or by any other electronic factor.
Abu-Omar et al. continued investigations of hydrosilylation of carbonyls catalysis by cationic monooxo-rhenium complexes, and one year later, in 2006, they published synthesis and catalytic studies of the Re(V) salen complexes (Scheme II-27)\textsuperscript{58a, 62}.

\textbf{Scheme II-27.} Cationic monooxo-rhenium(V) salen complexes.

Preliminary studies showed that an equilibrium exists between the butanone and the Re(V) complex: the ketone could reversely substitute the solvent (acetonitrile) with the formation of a new ketone-coordinated species, which was observed by \textsuperscript{1}H NMR. In addition, a peak, presumably corresponding to the Re-silane adduct, has been detected by ESI-MS. (The use of the Electrospray Ionization Tandem Mass-Spectroscopy for catalyst screenings was first introduced by Chen in 2003\textsuperscript{63}) However, the presumed silane adduct has never been observed by NMR spectroscopy, even at low temperatures (-80 °C).

Kinetic investigations of the hydrosilylation of benzaldehyde by PhMe\textsubscript{2}SiH revealed the first-order dependence of the reaction rate on the concentration of the catalyst and the inhibition by PhCHO\textsuperscript{62}. On the basis of these results, it was concluded that the mechanism based on the initiation of catalysis by carbonyl insertion is less possible. Therefore, the most probable mechanism would first involve the formation of a σ-complex between Re and Si-H bond followed by nucleophilic attack of carbonyl at silicon as indicated in the Scheme II-26. Abu-Omar \textit{et al.} emphasized that the key prerequisite for the formation of silane adduct would be the back-donation from rhenium(V) \textit{d}_{xy} orbital to the \textit{σ*} orbital of Si-H\textsuperscript{62}.

Activation of catalysis via a Re-(Si-H) σ-complex was also postulated for other similar cationic Re(V) catalysts\textsuperscript{64}.
II.5.4. Cationic monooxorhenium(V) complexes: hydrolytic oxidation of silanes

The cationic monooxorhenium(V) complex \([\text{Re(O)(hoz)_2}[\text{B(C_6F_5)_4}]]\) was found to catalyze hydrolytic oxidation of silanes producing molecular hydrogen\(^{61a}\). This process was taken into consideration as a potential way of production of molecular hydrogen directly from water and silanes (Scheme II-28).

\[
\text{R}_4-\text{Si} - \text{H}_x + \text{xH}_2\text{O} \xrightarrow{1 \text{ mol}\% \text{Re cat.}} \text{xH}_2 + \text{R}_4-\text{Si(OH)}_x
\]

\(R = \text{alkyl, aryl}\)
\(x = 1, 2, \text{or 3}\)
\(\text{Solv} = \text{CH}_3\text{CN, H}_2\text{O}\)

**Scheme II-28.** Hydrolytic oxidation of silanes catalyzed by \([\text{Re(O)(hoz)_2}[\text{B(C_6F_5)_4}]]\).

Isotope-labeling experiments with \(\text{D}_2\text{O}\) and \(\text{Et}_3\text{SiH}\) (and also with \(\text{H}_2\text{O}\) and \(\text{Et}_3\text{SiD}\)) demonstrated the highly selective (~94-98%) production of H-D, indicating that one proton originates from water and another one from the silane.

Abu-Omar *et al.* also performed the \(^{18}\text{O}\) labeling experiments with \(\text{H}_2^{18}\text{O}\) to better understand the mechanism of this reaction (Scheme II-29).

\[
\text{H}_2^{18}\text{O} + \text{Ph}_2\text{MeSiH} \xrightarrow{\text{Ph}_2\text{MeSi}^{18}\text{OH} \rightarrow (\text{Ph}_2\text{MeSi})_2^{18}\text{O}}
\]

**Scheme II-29.** \(^{18}\text{O}\)-labeling experiments to study the mechanism of silane hydrolysis catalyzed by cationic oxorhenium(V) complex.

At the end of the catalysis, all the \(^{18}\text{O}\) atoms were specifically incorporated into the bis(silyl) ether product. This result was similar to the Toste’s hydrosilylation experiments.
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with the $^{18}$O-labeled $p$-anisaldehyde (Scheme II-24)$^{10a}$, where $^{18}$O carbonyl atoms were never incorporated into the Re=O groups.

Unfortunately, no other relevant mechanistic investigations concerning the mechanism of hydrolytic oxidation of silane and hydrogen production have been performed$^{61a}$.

II.5.5. Rhenium(I)-catalyzed hydrosilylation

Recently, Berke et al. reported a highly efficient system for hydrosilylation of carbonyls based on phosphine-free rhenium(I) complex [Re(CH$_3$CN)$_3$Br$_2$(NO)].$^{65}$ The catalytic system required relatively low catalyst loadings (0.2-1.0 mol%) and provided excellent yields of silyl ethers. Berke proposed a new mechanism of hydrosilylation of carbonyls (Scheme II-30) and rationalized it according to his observations.$^{65}$

Scheme II-30. Berke’s mechanism of hydrosilylation of carbonyls catalyzed by [Re(CH$_3$CN)$_3$Br$_2$(NO)].

Catalysis was proposed to begin with dissociation of the acetonitrile ligand. Dissociation of a bound acetonitrile was directly observed in $^1$H NMR by the appearance of the singlet of free acetonitrile. In addition, the catalysis carried out in coordinating solvents, such as acetonitrile or THF provided only moderate yields. Formation of the $\eta^2$-
Si-H adduct was concluded from a $^1$H NOE experiment, where a very broad (>100 Hz) NOE signal was observed and assigned to the rhenium-coordinated Si-H bond. However, attempts to isolate the $\eta^2$-Si-H adduct were unsuccessful. A possibility of oxidative addition of silane was considered as well. However, there was no evidence that oxidative addition took place. It is believed that coordination of carbonyl proceeds through the dissociation of another acetonitrile ligand, followed by the consecutive hydride transfer from the silane to the carbonyl group. The resulting silyl alkoxy complex undergoes reductive elimination of silyl ether (product) and regenerates the initial Re(I) complex.65

II. 6. Oxo-molybdenum(VI) complexes

Royo et al. found that dioxo-molybdenum(VI) complex MoO$_2$Cl$_2$ is a highly effective catalyst for hydrosilylation of carbonyls$^{66}$. In the early studies, they screened different types (aromatic and aliphatic) of ketones and aldehydes. During the catalysis, no intermediates were observed by $^1$H NMR. Stoichiometric reaction of MoO$_2$Cl$_2$ with PhMe$_2$SiH led to complex decomposition mixtures. It was mentioned that decomposition may be caused by the formation of unstable intermediates due to a [2+2]-addition reaction of silane to the Mo=O bond. Addition of chlorosilanes to the Mo=O bond of MoO$_2$Cl$_2$ with formation of Cl$_2$Mo(Hal)(OSiR$_3$) had been known in the literature before$^{67}$.

Shortly thereafter, Royo et al. examined the hydrosilylation activity of a number of oxo-molybdenum(VI) complexes including MoO$_2$Cl$_2$, MoO$_2$Cl$_2$(CH$_3$CN), MoO$_2$Cl$_2$(t-BuCN), CpMoO$_2$Cl, MoO$_2$(mes)$_2$, MoO$_2$(acac)$_2$, MoO$_2$(S$_2$CNEt$_2$)$_2$, and (R$_3$Sn)$_2$MoO$_4$ (R = n-Bu, t-Bu, Me)$^{4b}$. They found that MoO$_2$Cl$_2$ was the most active catalyst in this series. The best results of hydrosilylation catalysis (reaction time, yields, conversion of organic substrates) were obtained when acetonitrile was used as a solvent. Hydrosilylation worked well with PhMe$_2$SiH and Et$_3$SiH. Hydrosilylation of aldehydes proceeded at ambient temperature, but ketones required heating at 80 °C.

Because the hydrosilylation mediated by MoO$_2$Cl$_2$ did not show formation of any active catalytic species in the reaction mixture, other Mo-complexes were tested. In particular, MoO$_2$Cl$_2$(t-BuCN) was chosen as a good candidate to study the catalysis
because of the ease to observe the single peak of the t-Bu group. No other components were detected in the reaction mixture by NMR during the catalysis. Nevertheless, stoichiometric reaction between MoO_2Cl_2(t-BuCN) and PhMe_2SiH led to a new product, [MoO(OSiMe_2Ph)Cl_2], which was individually prepared and fully characterized. This compound also catalyzed the hydrosilylation of carbonyls.

Several possible mechanisms of hydrosilylation catalysis by MoO_2Cl_2 were studied by DFT calculations by Costa et al. [2+2]-Addition of Si-H to the Mo=O bond with the formation of MoH(O)(OSiR_3)Cl_2 was found to be the most favourable first step. Then, the most possible pathway has been proposed; a weak coordination of aldehyde through the oxygen atom to MoH(O)(OSiR_3)Cl_2 may result in the formation of an alkoxide species, which can then react with the siloxy ligand to give the silyl ether (Scheme II-31).

Scheme II-31. Possible mechanism of hydrosilylation of carbonyls catalyzed by MoO_2Cl_2 suggested on the basis of DFT calculations.

A radical mechanism has been considered to be involved in the MoO_2Cl_2-based hydrosilylation catalysis, since the radical scavengers significantly slowed down catalysis.

In conclusions, DFT calculations provided some useful ideas on how the hydrosilylation mechanism may work. However, there was no experimental proof of the proposed mechanism because of the inability to observe/detect the formation of any of the intermediate species in the reaction mixture during the catalysis. Later, several other dioxo-molybdenum(VI) complexes were prepared, and their catalytic activity was examined. However, further mechanistic studies on the hydrosilylation catalysis were not performed.
Abu-Omar et al. prepared several molybdenum(VI) dioxo salalen complexes and studied their activity in hydrosilylation. They did not believe that the mechanism proposed by Royo (Scheme II-31) takes place in their system. Based on their study, Abu-Omar et al. showed that the dioxo functionality is not a prerequisite for the hydrosilylation catalysis. The most important factor is the presence of an open coordination site or a labile ligand. Because the dioxo molybdenum(VI) salalen complexes were saturated, an induction period in catalysis was observed. This observation led to the conclusion that Mo(VI) is not the real catalytic species. It was proposed that presumably MoIV(O)(salalen-Bu-t2) was the true catalyst. The monooxo-molybdenum(IV) complex was not fully characterized by NMR, mass-spectroscopy and elemental analyses. Abu-Omar also considered the possibility of a radical mechanism of hydrosilylation previously mentioned by Royo.

II. 7. Imido molybdenum (IV) complexes

Abu-Omar studied hydrosilylation catalysis by oxo-Re(V), imido-Re(V) and oxo-Mo(VI) complexes. He mentioned a class of imido Mo(IV) complexes known to catalyze hydrogenation of olefins. However, imido Mo(IV) complexes have not been investigated in his group as hydrosilylation catalysts.

Application of imido Mo(IV) complexes in hydrosilylation of carbonyls was first studied by Nikonov et al. The imido-hydrido complex (ArN)Mo(H)(Cl)(PMe3)3 was prepared and fully characterized. It was found to catalyze the hydrosilylation of a variety of carbonyls to the corresponding silyl-protected alcohols, the dehydrogenative silylation of alcohols, and also the selective hydrosilylation of nitriles to the monosilylated imines. Thorough mechanistic investigation of catalysis was based on kinetic studies of individual steps of the hydrosilylation of benzaldehyde by phenylsilane (Scheme II-32).
Complex (ArN)Mo(H)(Cl)(PMe₃)₃ does not react with phenylsilane in contrast to the reported Re(V)=O⁰¹⁰,⁵⁴ and Mo(VI)=O⁴⁶,⁶⁶,⁶⁸ species. However, it undergoes a slow H/D exchange with PhSiD₃. Kinetic studies showed that the reaction with benzaldehyde proceeds via dissociation of the trans-to-the-hydride phosphine ligand with fast formation of the η²-coordinated benzaldehyde hydrido complex (ArN)Mo(H)(PhCHO)(Cl)(PMe₃)₂. The latter slowly re-arranges into the benzyloxy triphosphine complex (ArN)Mo(OCH₂Ph)(Cl)(PMe₃)₃. The next reaction with silane requires phosphine dissociation and finally results in the formation of silyl ether and regeneration of the initial complex (Scheme II-32). Each intermediate of the proposed cycle has been characterized by NMR spectroscopy.

Remarkably, the proposed catalytic cycle (Scheme II-32) looks very similar to that one proposed by Abu-Omar et al. for the hydrido oxo rhenium(V) complex (PPh₃)₂Re(H)(O)(Cl)₂ in the reaction of hydrosilylation of benzaldehyde with triethylsilane.⁶ Both mechanisms include similar key steps, such as phosphine dissociation, coordination of aldehyde, hydride transfer to form a benzyloxy complex, and reaction with the silane proceeding via the proposed heterolytic splitting of Si-H and Mo-O (Re-O) bonds.
In-depth kinetic investigations of dehydrogenative silylation of alcohols by (ArN)Mo(H)(Cl)(PMe3)3 suggested a mechanism in which the phosphine ligand dissociation is followed by the coordination of ethanol through the oxygen atom, which acidifies the -OH proton enough for its transfer to the hydride to generate molecular hydrogen (Scheme II-33).5a

Scheme II-33. Proposed mechanism of dehydrogenative silylation of ethanol.

The results of this work5a have been contrasted to the earlier proposed mechanisms of catalytic alcoholysis of silanes reported by Crabtree71, Brookhart72 and Kubas73. Therein, the silicon atom was suggested to be activated by the metal centre to become accessible for attack by an external nucleophile. It was also emphasized that the observed kinetics for the silane alcoholysis by (ArN)Mo(H)(Cl)(PMe3)3 was inconsistent with direct proton transfer to the metal hydride, which is observed for systems with dihydrogen bonding (M-H--H-O).74

II. 8. Hydrosilylation catalyzed by CuH(PPh3)

The first report of hydrosilylation catalysis by Cu(I) reagents was made by Brunner and Miehling in 198475. They found that t-BuOCu and PhCOOCu in the presence of optically active phosphine ligands ((-)DIOP, (+)-Norphos, (-)-BPPFA) catalyze
hydrosilylation of acetophenone with diphenylsilane quantitatively yielding the corresponding silyl ether with ee 10-40%.

Hexameric triphenylphosphine complex of copper(I) hydride \([\text{CuH(PPh}_3\text{)}]_6\) (or simply CuH(PPh3)) is known as Stryker’s reagent76. It is a mild selective reducing agent, and is used in many stoichiometric reactions with conjugated enones and enoates76b, 76a as well as a catalyst for conjugate reductions of various substrates76b, 77 and hydrosilylation.78

Stryker’s reagent can be prepared according to a classic procedure from CuCl, PPh3, t-BuOK, and H279. Mixing of the first three reagents in toluene provides formation of copper(I) tert-butoxide, which then reacts with the molecular hydrogen to give CuH(PPh3) and t-BuOH. An alternative one-pot synthesis of Stryker’s reagent from copper(II) acetate has been developed.80

Recent studies emphasize the possibility for PhMe2SiH81, polymethylhydrosiloxane (PMHS)77c, 82, and tetramethylidisiloxane (TMDS)83 to generate a copper(I) hydride \textit{in situ} from copper(I) alkoxides and copper(I) halides. However, the direct formation of Cu-H was not observed by NMR experiments.81, 84 Bu3SnH has been demonstrated to generate copper(I) hydride stochiometrically from a copper(I) iodide.85

High catalytic activity of CuH(PPh3) in hydrosilylation of ketones and aldehydes was first reported by Lipshutz in 200178 (Scheme II-34).

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{R—CHO} & \quad 0.1-5 \text{ mol \% CuH(PPh}_3\text{)} & \quad \text{R—CH}_2\text{OSiR}_3 \\
\text{R—O} & \quad \text{R}_3\text{SiH} & \quad \text{RT, } \sim 2 \text{ h}
\end{align*}
\]

\textbf{Scheme II-34. Hydrosilylation of carbonyls catalyzed by CuH(PPh3).}

Treatment of carbonyls with PhMe2SiH in the presence of 0.1-5 mol \% of CuH(PPh3) at temperatures from 0 °C to RT provided fast and quantitative formation of silyl ethers. The mechanism is believed to proceed via formation of an intermediate copper(I) alkoxide, which undergoes heterolytic splitting with the silane yielding the product of hydrosilylation and regenerating the initial copper(I) hydride (Scheme II-35)78, 86.
Scheme II-35. Proposed mechanism of hydrosilylation of carbonyls catalyzed by CuH(PPh₃).

A stoichiometric reaction of CuH(PPh₃) with carbonyls to give copper(I) alkoxides has not been observed. The assumption of the possible formation of an intermediate alkoxide was derived from the fact that copper(I) hydride was sufficiently reactive to catalyze the hydrogenation of aldehydes. Therefore, the first step was proposed to be reductive and proceed via formation of an intermediate alkoxide. The last step was presumed to involve heterolytic splitting of H-Si on the Cu-O bond.

The influence of the hydride on the catalytic activity of Cu(I) is essential. The combination of CuCl/PhMe₂SiH showed no detectable catalytic activity until the catalytic system was heated in dimethylimidazole to (presumably) regenerate CuH.

Bulkier silanes, such as Ph₃SiH, Ph₂MeSiH and (t-Bu)Ph₂SiH, were found to be less reactive than PMHS in hydrosilylation, and their use in catalysis required heating at 40-50 °C. However, the overall conversion of carbonyl substrates (yields >90%) was not affected, and lower yields were not observed.

Aldehydes have been reported to be one hundred times more active than ketones. It was also demonstrated that aldehydes may be selectively hydrosilylated in the presence of ketones.

Various types of carbonyls have been tested in catalytic hydrosilylation by CuH(PPh₃): aliphatic and aromatic. Aromatic carbonyls were found to be more reactive than aliphatic analogues. Donor substituents in the benzene ring facilitate the hydrosilylation of aromatic carbonyls. Chemoselectivity of catalysis was checked with carbonyls containing non-conjugated C=C double bonds, and/or -OMe, -OTs, -OBn, -NO₂, -Br groups. The latter were inert during the catalysis.
Further investigations of catalytic activity of CuH(PPh₃) led to the development of methods of asymmetric hydrosilylation\(^{84b, 88}\) and hydrogenation\(^{9b}\) of carbonyls, and conjugate reductions of α,β-unsaturated carbonyls.\(^{53b, 59, 65}\) This was typically achieved by the replacement of triphenylphosphine by other chiral phosphine ligands.

Detailed investigations of the mechanism of hydrosilylation of carbonyls by CuH(PPh₃) have never been reported.

Furthermore, there is no information about hydrosilylation of nitriles, esters, amides by Stryker's reagent in the literature to date.

**II. 9. Hydrosilylation of carbonyls catalyzed by Ni-complexes**

Mindiola *et al.* suggested a mechanism of hydrosilylation of carbonyls catalyzed by \([\text{PN}^{iPr}_3]_{\text{Ni}}(\mu_2-\text{Br})_2\) \((\text{PN}^{iPr} = \text{N}-\text{N}-(2-(\text{diisopropylphosphino})-4-\text{methylphenyl})-2,4,6\text{-triisopropylanilide})\) and \([\text{PN}^{Me}_3]_{\text{Ni}}(\mu_2-\text{Cl})_2\) \((\text{PN}^{Me}_3 = \text{N}-\text{N}-(2-(\text{diisopropylphosphino})-4\text{-methylphenyl})-2,4,6\text{-trimethylanilide})\) (Scheme II-36).\(^{7c}\)

![Scheme II-36. Mindiola's Ni(II)-catalysts for carbonyl hydrosilylation.](image)

Complexes \([\text{PN}^{iPr}_3]_{\text{Ni}}(\mu_2-\text{Br})_2\) and \([\text{PN}^{Me}_3]_{\text{Ni}}(\mu_2-\text{Cl})_2\) do not individually react with Et₃SiH. They also do not express catalytic activity in hydrosilylation catalysis unless t-BuOK is added to the reaction mixture. It was presumed that addition of t-BuOK is required to generate a nickel tert-butoxy intermediate XNi-OBu-\(t\) followed by the reaction with Et₃SiH to give an active nickel hydride complex \([\text{PN}^{iPr}_3]_{\text{Ni}}(\mu_2-H)_2\). Formation of a hydride complex was observed in \(^1\text{H}\) NMR spectrum by the appearance of
a doublet ($J_{\text{H-P}} = 18$ Hz) at $-20.66$ ppm assigned to Ni-H. However, all attempts to isolate or individually prepare complex $[(\text{PNiPr}_3)\text{Ni}(\mu_2-\text{H})]_2$ were unsuccessful. Coordination of a carbonyl compound is followed by its insertion to form an alkoxy complex. Reaction with triethoxysilane was proposed to proceed via a $\sigma$-bond metathesis mechanism to regenerate the hydrido complex and to provide the hydrosilylation product. A catalytic cycle (Scheme II-37) has been proposed on the basis of studying stoichiometric/individual reactions of substrates (Et$_3$SiH, benzaldehyde, $t$-BuOK) with $[(\text{PNiPr}_3)\text{Ni}(\mu_2-\text{Br})]_2$, $[(\text{PNMe}_3)\text{Ni}(\mu_2-\text{Cl})]_2$ and other model nickel(II) complexes. No theoretical or physico-chemical investigations have been performed.

\[
[(\text{PNiPr}_3)\text{Ni}(\mu_2-\text{Br})]_2 \quad \text{to-BrOK} \quad \text{KBr} \quad (\text{PNiPr}_3)\text{Ni}(\text{OEt-t})
\]

**Scheme II-37.** Mindiola's mechanism of carbonyl hydrosilylation catalyzed by $[(\text{PNiPr}_3)\text{Ni}(\mu_2-\text{Br})]_2$.  

Guan *et al.* reported hydrosilylation of aldehydes and ketones by nickel PCP-pincer complex and proposed a similar mechanism of catalysis (Scheme II-38). Insertion of carbonyl into Ni-H bond to form an alkoxy derivative was best observed for benzaldehyde. Ketones (benzophenone, acetophenone) did not afford formation of alkoxy
complexes. Cleavage of the Ni-O bond by silane regenerates the nickel hydride species (Scheme II-38).

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Scheme II-38. Guan's mechanism of carbonyl hydrosilylation by nickel PCP-pincer complexes.}^{7b}
\end{align*}
\]

II. 10. Hydrosilylation catalyzed by \( \text{B(C}_6\text{F}_5\text{)}_3 \)

In 1996, Piers et al. reported that tris(pentafluorophenyl)borane may serve as a mild and very efficient catalyst for hydrosilylation of carbonyls.\(^{89}\) \( \text{B(C}_6\text{F}_5\text{)}_3 \) (1-4 mol\%) catalyzes the hydrosilylation of aromatic and aliphatic aldehyde, ketones and esters by \( \text{Ph}_3\text{SiH} \) at RT. Aldehydes and ketones have been reduced to the corresponding silyl ethers, and esters to the acetal products. Several years later, extensive mechanistic studies were performed to determine the mechanism of this reaction.\(^{90}\)

Tris(pentafluorophenyl)borane is a very strong Lewis acid and thus was expected to activate carbonyls to promote the hydrosilylation reaction. Even though there had not been supporting data in the literature that the hydrosilylation of carbonyls may be initiated by Lewis acid activation of carbonyls\(^{90}\), the equilibrium between \( \text{B(C}_6\text{F}_5\text{)}_3 \) and carbonyls had been studied\(^{89}\). Stable borane-carbonyl adducts are very rare, and only a few of them were prepared, isolated and characterized.\(^{91}\) Similar type of adducts, such as \( \text{R-OH--B(C}_6\text{F}_5\text{)}_3 \) and \( \text{H}_2\text{O--B(C}_6\text{F}_5\text{)}_3 \) are known.\(^{92}\) Even nitriles may bind to \( \text{B(C}_6\text{F}_5\text{)}_3 \) relatively strongly.\(^{93}\)
BF₃·Et₂O promotes reduction of carbonyls with organosilanes to boron trifluoro etherates⁹⁴. Despite the fact that a stoichiometric amount of BF₃·Et₂O is required, the reaction is induced by the Lewis acidic activation of carbonyl groups. Alcohols and ketones can be reduced to hydrocarbons by the action of gaseous BF₃ and R₃SiH in CH₂Cl₂, and this process likewise was promoted by activation of the carbonyl group by BF₃.⁹⁵ Catalytic amounts of ZnCl₂ or AlCl₃ (Lewis acids) may induce hydrosilylation of ketones to silyl ethers but in low yields and with a competitive formation of symmetrical dialkylethers.⁹⁴

Tris(pentafluorophenyl)borane was chosen as a good alternative to BF₃·Et₂O as it is air-stable and water-tolerant Lewis acid reagent⁹⁶. Piers et al. showed that the key point in the hydrosilylation of the carbonyl by B(C₆F₅)₃ is the unexpected activation of the Si-H bond rather than activation of carbonyls group. Kinetic studies demonstrate that the rate of hydrosilylation catalysis by B(C₆F₅)₃ has an inverse proportional dependence on the concentration of carbonyl in the reaction mixture. In other words, large amounts of ketone inhibits catalysis. The trend of reactivity of carbonyl substrates was found to be opposite of the expected one: ethyl benzoate ≫ acetophenone > benzaldehyde. Carbonyls may reversely bind with their nucleophilic oxygen to B(C₆F₅)₃ (Lewis acid) in solution, but the order of coordination is the opposite: benzaldehyde > acetophenone ≫ ethyl benzoate (since the most basic oxygen binds strongly to the electrophilic boron). These observations led to the conclusion that formation of a carbonyl-boron adduct is not preferable and it does not promote hydrosilylation; conversely, it inhibits the catalysis. The activation of carbonyl group by B(C₆F₅)₃ is not a catalytic step.

A mechanism involving activation of the Si-H bond by B(C₆F₅)₃ to generate a silyl cation was proposed (Scheme II-39).

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Ph₃Si—H} + &\quad \text{B(C₆F₅)₃} \quad \text{PhCHO} \\
\xrightarrow{\delta^+ \text{Ph₃Si—H—} \quad \delta^- \text{B(C₆F₅)₃}} &\quad \text{[HB(C₆F₅)₃]} \\
\xrightarrow{\text{PhCH₂OSiPh₃} +} &\quad \text{Ph₃SiH} \\
\xrightarrow{\text{or}} &\quad \text{PhCH₂OSiPh₃ + [Ph₃Si—H—B(C₆F₅)₃]} \\
\end{align*}
\]

**Scheme II-39.** Mechanism of hydrosilylation of carbonyls catalyzed by B(C₆F₅)₃.
To show the possibility of hydride abstraction from silanes by borane, several NMR experiments were carried out. First of all, addition of B(C₆F₅)₃ to a solution of Et₃SiH in C₆D₆ caused the loss of the Si-H coupling to the CH₂ protons, resulting in a broad Si-H peak. This phenomenon resembles the known behaviour of −OH protons of alcohols in ¹H NMR spectra when small amounts of water are added. It was hypothesised that B(C₆F₅)₃ may act similarly causing a very fast exchange of the silyl protons, which results in signal broadening. When a 1:1 mixture of Et₃SiD and Ph₃SiH was treated with 10% B(C₆F₅)₃, only H/D scrambling between both silanes is observed (Scheme II-40).

\[
\text{Et₃SiD + Ph₃SiH} \xrightarrow{10\% \text{ B(C₆F₅)₃}} \text{Et₃SiH + Ph₃SiD}
\]

**Scheme II-40.** H/D exchange between Et₃SiD and Ph₃SiH promoted by B(C₆F₅)₃.

Formation of a borane-silane adduct was further supported by computational studies (AM1). Kinetic investigations of hydrosilylation of acetophenone by Et₃SiH (and Et₃SiD) in the presence of B(C₆F₅)₃ revealed the primary kinetic isotope effect \(k_H/k_D = 1.40\), indicating that hydride abstraction from silane by borane is the rate-determining step in catalysis.

Because the most basic carbonyls were observed to be the most active substrates in hydrosilylation mediated by B(C₆F₅)₃, it is believed that carbonyls attack, via their nucleophilic oxygen atom, the silyl cation of the silane-borane adduct. Computational studies found that the LUMO of the Si—H—B bond lies aside and *trans* to the Si-H bond, and this orbital was considered to be a site of nucleophilic attack of carbonyls (Scheme II-41).

**Scheme II-41.** The proposed nucleophilic attack of LUMO of the R₃Si-H-B(C₆F₅)₃ adduct by carbonyl.
To simulate the formation of a hypothetical ketone-silyl cation adduct, Piers et al. prepared \([\text{Et}_3\text{Si}^+][\text{B(C}_6\text{F}_{5})_4^-]\) from \(\text{Et}_3\text{SiH}\) and \([\text{Ph}_3\text{C}^+][\text{B(C}_6\text{F}_{5})_4^-]\)^97, and treated acetophenone with this reagent. They were able to prepare and fully characterize the relatively unstable adduct (Scheme II-42) by NMR. The carbon atom of the carbonyl group shifted downfield from 196.8 ppm to 217.8 ppm, indicating the increased carbocationic character.

\[
\begin{align*}
\begin{array}{c}
\text{Ph} = \text{CH}_3 \\
\text{O}^* \\
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\end{align*}
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**Scheme II-42.** Preparations of ketone-silyl cation adduct.

This experiment showed the possibility for the formation of such species (adducts) in catalysis involving carbonyls and boron-mediated silyl cations. Interestingly, similar stable adducts of alkenes and silyl cations had been previously known.\(^98\)

When the carbonyl-silyl adduct is formed, what could be the source of hydride for the carbonyl atom? That was a question that required some additional investigations. Piers considered two possible ways: the hydride may come from another silane giving a product of hydrosilylation and a new silyl cation, or \([\text{HB(C}_6\text{F}_{5})_3^-]\) could offer its hydride converting into \(\text{B(C}_6\text{F}_{5})_3\). To examine the possibility for silane to be a source of a hydride, Piers treated the \([\text{acetophenone-SiEt}_3][\text{HB(C}_6\text{F}_{5})_3^-]\) adduct (Scheme II-42) with excess triethylsilane. He obtained a mixture of products including ethylbenzene, the expected silyl ether and hexaethyldisiloxane, where ethylbenzene was a major component of the mixture. While the presence of triethylsilyl ether could possibly indicate that the silane could be a source of hydride for this species, the product distribution was very different from that obtained in \(\text{B(C}_6\text{F}_{5})_3\)-catalyzed hydrosilylation. For example, ethylbenzene and hexaethyldisiloxane were never products of \(\text{B(C}_6\text{F}_{5})_3\)-catalyzed hydrosilylation\(^89-90\). It was concluded that silanes cannot be the donors of hydride, but, specifically \([\text{HB(C}_6\text{F}_{5})_3^-]\) offers the required hydride to complete the hydrosilylation reaction.
The following experiment was carried out to demonstrate that the silane cannot be a source of hydride. A mixture of acetophenone, Ph₃SiD and (p-Tol)₃SiH was treated with B(C₆F₃)₃. As a result, only two products formed exclusively, PhCD(OSiPh₃)CH₃ and PhCH(OSi(p-Tol)₃)CH₃. If silane were a source of hydride, that would lead to formation of all four possible products. This experiment indicates that it is not the case.

Rosenberg et al. studied B(C₆F₃)₃-catalyzed hydrosilylation of thiobenzophenone with a variety of silanes to produce silyl thioether compounds under mild conditions and low catalyst loadings (from 0.006 to 4 mol%). They pointed out that since thiocarbonyls are less basic than ketones, then, according to Piers mechanism, they are good candidates to use in hydrosilylation reactions catalyzed by B(C₆F₃)₃ (Scheme II-43).

![Scheme II-43](image)

**Scheme II-43.** Hydrosilylation of thiocarbonyls catalyzed by B(C₆F₃)₃.

It was suggested that lowered basicity of the thioketone groups may explain their high reactivity. However, the observed activities do not support directly the mechanism based on activation of the Si-H group by boranes. Hydrosilylation of benzophenone was found to proceed with comparable or even higher rates than the hydrosilylation of thiobenzophenone. Later studies of the hydrosilylation of thiosubstrates by Rosenberg et al. did not reveal any contradictions with the Piers mechanism.

Polymethylhydrosiloxane (PMHS)/B(C₆F₃)₃ combination may be used in direct and rapid conversion of aromatic and aliphatic carbonyl compounds to the corresponding alkanes in high yields. Though this system does not provide hydrosilylation, it is worth being mentioned here because the mechanism presumably includes a Si-H bond activation step. This method can provide selective reduction of carbonyl group in the presence of ester group within the same molecule. The mechanism has not been studied in details, and the proposed scheme remains speculative (Scheme II-44).
**Scheme II-44.** Proposed mechanism of reduction of carbonyl groups (C=O) to methylenes (-CH₂-) with PMHS mediated by B(C₆F₅)₃

Blackwell *et al.* focused primarily on the development of a general method of synthesis of silyl ethers from alcohols catalyzed by B(C₆F₅)₃ and have not studied dehydrogenative silylation of alcohols in detail. Nevertheless, observations and kinetic data suggest that it proceeds through an analogous mechanism, where activation of silane by B(C₆F₅)₃ is involved in the primary step of catalysis (Scheme II-45)

\[
\overset{\delta^+}{R_3SiH} \quad \overset{\delta^-}{B(C_6F_5)_3} \quad \overset{\delta^+}{R_2C=O} \quad \overset{\delta^-}{B(C_6F_5)_3} \quad \overset{-\delta^-}{R_3SiH} \quad \overset{\delta^+}{B(C_6F_5)_3} \\
\]

**Scheme II-45.** Mechanism of hydrosilylation of alcohols catalyzed by B(C₆F₅)₃

Other catalyst (B(C₆F₅)₃) derivatives, such as (perfluoroaryl)borane-functionalized carbosilane dendrimers, have been obtained and tested in hydrosilylation catalysis.

Piers *et al.* also reported that B(C₆F₅)₃ catalyzes hydrosilylation of a broad range of imines. They specified that the silyl-borane adduct [R₃Si--H--B(C₆F₅)₃] may react with imine to form the silyliminium-hydroborate ionic pair, which may either give the product or react with another equivalent of silane. The mechanism via a borane-imine complex was excluded.
Scheme II-46. Proposed mechanism of hydrosilylation of imines catalyzed by B(C₆F₅)₃.

The less basic imines, bearing electron-withdrawing groups R₂, were hydrosilylated more efficiently than their more basic analogues. In cases where imines coordinated to borane very strongly, the catalysis was inhibited. This fact shows that the imine-borane complex is not a participant in the catalytic cycle, and its dissociation is necessary for the hydrosilylation to occur.

Piers obtained the silyliminium-hydroborate ionic complex\textsuperscript{103} shown in Scheme II-46 by mixing Ph₂C=NBn, PhMe₂SiH, and B(C₆F₅)₃ in the 1:1:1 ratio in C₆D₆. Mixing reagents resulted in formation of a kind of liquid clathrate not soluble in benzene. Full NMR characterizations of the ionic complex thus obtained were performed. The formation of N-SiMe₂Ph complex rather than N-B(C₆F₅)₃ complex suggest that the silyl cation is the catalytically active species. However, in order to verify the mechanism, experiments with a stereogenic silane were needed. Two years later, Piers et al. prepared more silyliminium-hydroborate ionic complexes in solution and in solid states and studied them in detail.\textsuperscript{104}

Oestreich was intrigued by the necessity to “complete” the mechanistic investigations of hydrosilylation catalysis with the use of chiral silanes, and decided to apply the chiral silanes with stereogenic silicon center.\textsuperscript{105} When silicon-stereogenic silanes were applied, significant differences in the hydrosilylation catalysis of ketones and imines were found.\textsuperscript{106} According to the mechanism reported by Piers, the use of a silicon-stereogenic
silane in the B(C₆F₅)₃-catalyzed hydrosilylation must provide not only the inversion of the Si-atom configuration but also the enantioselectivity in the product formation. The results obtained for the catalytic hydrosilylation of ketones were indeed consistent with Piers mechanism. However, the hydrosilylation of imines proceeded without stereoinduction (ee 0%)! This result was rationalized that the hydride-transfer step is possible when [HB(C₆F₅)₃]⁻ attacks specifically the imine-borane complex rather than the imine-silyl complex. The latter is less hindered and, thus, less reactive (Scheme II-47).

Scheme II-47. Proposed mechanism for the hydride transfer step in hydrosilylation of carbonyls and imines by the silicon-stereogenic silane.
II. 11. Catalytic hydroboration of carbonyls and nitriles

Hydroboration of carbonyl compounds by diborane (B\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{6}) was first reported by Brown in 1939.\textsuperscript{107} The reaction produced alkoxyborane derivatives, which were hydrolyzed to corresponding alcohols and boric acid. Two decades later, Brown found that nitriles can be easily converted to amines by reaction with B\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{6}.\textsuperscript{108}

*Catalytic* hydroboration was discovered by Männig and Nöth in 1985.\textsuperscript{109} They reported that hydroboration of alkenes and alkynes by CatBH in the presence of Wilkinson catalyst proceeds very fast at ambient temperatures, while the same reaction without the catalyst required heating at 70-100 °C. Since then, catalytic hydroborations of alkenes, alkynes and dienes have been extensively studied.\textsuperscript{109-110} Catalytic methods of hydroboration offered selective conversion of alkene group in the presence of carbonyl group, while in non-catalyzed hydroboration diborane reacts with both the carbonyl group and the olefin moiety.\textsuperscript{109}

Many commercially available hydroborating reagents, such as catecholborane, dimesitylborane, or 9-BBN, are known to react with the carbonyl group without the presence of a catalyst.\textsuperscript{109} Possibly for this reason, catalytic hydroboration of carbonyl compound have not received a lot of attention so far.

In 2009, Clark *et al.* reported the first example of hydroboration of aldehydes, ketones and imines by pinacolborane (PinBH) catalyzed by Shvo reagent [2,3,4,5-Ph\textsubscript{4}(\eta\textsuperscript{5}-C\textsubscript{4}COH)Ru(CO)\textsubscript{2}H] (RuHOH).\textsuperscript{111} This reagent had been previously studied by Shvo to catalyze hydrogenation of carbonyls, alkenes, alkynes,\textsuperscript{112} as well as disproportionation of aldehydes to esters\textsuperscript{113} and in reduction of ketones to alcohols with formic acid\textsuperscript{114}. Casey *et al.* provided a very detailed mechanistic insight into hydrogenation of carbonyls and imines with Shvo reagent.\textsuperscript{115}

Clark *et al.* prepared a borylated analogue of Shvo catalyst, [2,5-Ph\textsubscript{2}-3,4-Tol\textsubscript{2}(\eta\textsuperscript{5}-C\textsubscript{4}COBpin)Ru(CO)\textsubscript{2}H] (RuHOBpin), by the reaction of ruthenium dimer [2,3,4,5-Ph\textsubscript{4}(\eta\textsuperscript{5}-C\textsubscript{4}CO-)Ru(CO)\textsubscript{2}]\textsubscript{2} (or (RuO)\textsubscript{2}) with pinacolborane (Scheme II-48).\textsuperscript{111}
Scheme II-48. Synthesis of $[2,5$-$\text{Ph}_2$-$3,4$-$\text{Tol}_2$(\!$^5\!$-$\text{C}_4\text{COBpin}$)$\text{Ru(CO)}_2\text{H}]$.  

Casey’s group previously showed a critical role of the acidic proton of the –OH group of Shvo catalyst (RuHOH) in carbonyl and imine hydrogenation.\textsuperscript{115a} It was believed that the empty $p$-orbital of the boron atom of the borylated analogue (RuHOBpin) would behave similarly to the –OH proton of Shvo catalyst and express catalytic activity in hydroboration of carbonyls and imines.\textsuperscript{111} For instance, the silylated derivative RuHOSiEt$_3$ did not express any reactivity toward carbonyls, because the silicon atom does not possess a reactive (empty) $p$-orbital.\textsuperscript{115a}

Stoichiometric (1:1) reaction between RuHOBpin and benzaldehyde in the presence of pyridine afforded boryl ether (product) and RuO*Py in one hour at RT (Scheme II-49).\textsuperscript{111} By this experiment, it has been demonstrated that the boryl group in RuHOBpin is sufficiently acidic to provide catalytic hydroboration of carbonyls.

Scheme II-49. Stoichiometric reaction between $[2,5$-$\text{Ph}_2$-$3,4$-$\text{Tol}_2$(\!$^5\!$-$\text{C}_4\text{COBpin}$)$\text{Ru(CO)}_2\text{H}]$ and benzaldehyde.

Catalytic hydroboration of benzaldehyde by pinacolborane in the presence of 2% (RuO)$_2$ has been successfully performed both in NMR and preparative scale experiments.
The mechanism of hydroboration of carbonyls was proposed to be analogous to the mechanism of hydrogenation of carbonyls by Shvo catalyst (Scheme II-50)\textsuperscript{111}

**Scheme II-50.** Clark-Casey’s mechanism of carbonyl hydroboration catalyzed by [2,5-Ph\textsubscript{2}-3,4-Tol\textsubscript{2}(\eta\textsuperscript{5}-C\textsubscript{4}COBpin)Ru(CO)\textsubscript{2}H].

Catalytic hydroboration of carbonyls by Shvo catalyst required several hours of heating at 50 °C in benzene, while hydrogenation of carbonyls mediated by Shvo catalyst proceeded under harsher conditions: either at temperatures >90 °C or at high pressure of hydrogen (35 atm, 60 °C).\textsuperscript{111} It has been emphasized that the borylated derivative of Shvo catalyst cannot form a complex with the bridging hydride (Scheme II-50) probably due to the bulkiness of the pinacol group. In case of hydrogenation catalysis, the analogous structure with a bridging hydride does occur, and its slow dissociation significantly limits the overall rate of catalysis.\textsuperscript{111} The formation of a bridging catecholboryl derivative was observed and characterized by \textsuperscript{1}H and \textsuperscript{11}B NMR.\textsuperscript{116}

Competition experiments of hydroboration of benzaldehyde with different para-substituted benzaldehyde have been performed to examine the influence of electronic effects of substituents on the catalysis rate. Electron-donating substituents (-OCH\textsubscript{3}, -CH\textsubscript{3}) were found to suppress the catalysis, whereas electron-withdrawing groups (-Cl, -NO\textsubscript{2}) promoted faster catalysis.\textsuperscript{111}
To identify the reversibility of hydroboration catalysis, 4-methoxybenzaldehyde (1.0 eq.) was mixed with pinacolborane (1.2 eq.) in the presence of (RuO)₂ (4 mol%) and the reaction was monitored by ¹H NMR. When all the amount of aldehyde was consumed, 4-nitrobenzaldehyde (1.0 eq.) was added to the reaction mixture. Then, the reaction mixture was heated at 50 °C during two hours, and the only reaction observed was the hydroboration of 4-nitrobenzaldehyde by the unreacted pinacolborane (0.2 eq.). When the same reaction mixture was left for 24 hours of heating at 50 °C, significant amounts of hydroborated 4-nitrobenzaldehyde and the regenerated 4-methoxybenzaldehyde were observed.¹¹¹ Thus, this experiment demonstrated the reversibility of hydroboration catalysis.

Catalytic hydroboration of acetophenone was very slow and provided only 50% conversion after 3 days of heating at 70 °C (4% cat.). 4-Nitroacetophenone showed better results, and 95% conversion was observed after 4.5 days of heating at 70 °C (4% cat.).¹¹¹

Casey and Clark also reported catalytic hydroboration of imines (N-benzilidenaniline and its para-substituted derivatives).¹¹¹ Catalysis proceeded very slowly and required up to 5 days of heating at 70 °C (4 mol% cat.) with overall yields of 74-86%. Hydroboration products were subjected to hydrolysis by silica gel chromatography to give the corresponding amines.¹¹¹

Catalytic hydroboration of nitriles has not been reported in the literature to date.
II. 12. Metal-free activation of molecular hydrogen

In the 1930s, Wirtz and Bonhoeffer studied the H/D-exchange reactions between D₂ and NH₃, D₂ and HCl, H₂ and D₂O (in the presence of strong bases). The H/D exchange between D₂ (gas) and KNH₂/NH₃(l.), and between D₂ and KOH/H₂O was later mentioned by Abe in 1941 and by Wilmarth in 1950.

In 1953, Wilmarth et al. published kinetic studies of H/D exchange for the D₂—KOH/H₂O and D₂—KNH₂/NH₃ systems. They proposed that the deuterium gas first reacts with the base (OH⁻ or NH₂⁻), playing the role of an acid (Scheme II-51). According to kinetic investigations, the first step was considered to be rate-determining.

\[
\begin{align*}
D_2 + OH^- & \rightleftharpoons D^- + DOH \\
D^- + H_2O & \rightarrow HD + OH^-
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
D_2 + NH_2^- & \rightleftharpoons D^- + DNH_2 \\
D^- + NH_3 & \rightarrow HD + NH_2^-
\end{align*}
\]

Scheme II-51. H/D-exchange in the D₂—KOH/H₂O and D₂—KNH₂/NH₃ systems.

In 1948, Ipatieff reported the effect of hydrogen on the action of AlCl₃ on n-pentane. When n-pentane was heated with AlCl₃ in the presence of H₂, no reaction was observed. The same reaction under the nitrogen atmosphere caused self-destructive alkylation. In the presence of promoters, such as water or hydrogen chloride, heating n-pentane with AlCl₃ afforded iso-pentane in excellent yields. The influence of molecular hydrogen on the system of n-heptane (and higher alkanes)/AlCl₃ was totally different. Heating n-heptane with AlCl₃ under the hydrogen atmosphere did not prevent the autodestructive alkylation. The additional presence of HCl in the reaction mixture did not cause any isomerization, but instead, the autodestructive hydrogenation was observed. The mechanism of the observed processes remains uncertain.

The first example of transition-metal-free hydrogenation of ketones was reported in 1961 by Walling and Bollyky. They found that benzophenone can be reduced to benzhydrol in 40-60% yield in the presence of t-BuOK at high temperature (~170-230 °C) and high pressure of H₂ (88.5-174.2 atm) (Scheme II-52).
Interestingly, Walling and Bollyky mentioned that a large number of reactions of oxidation of organic molecules at elevated temperatures are accompanied by evolution of molecular hydrogen. They also referred to the activation of molecular hydrogen by aqueous solutions of potassium hydroxide previously observed by Wirtz, Willmarth and Miller.

The mechanism of base-catalyzed hydrogenation of benzophenone was proposed to proceed as follows (Scheme II-53).

Scheme II-53. Walling-Bollyky mechanism of base-catalyzed hydrogenation of benzophenone.

Hydrogenation of acetone and cyclohexanone was not successful because of the extensive aldol condensations. Nitrobenzene produced aniline in 34% yield.

Acid-catalyzed hydrogenation was also mentioned as being possible. Walling and Bollyky reported that hydrogenation of cyclohexene in the presence of 15.8% AlBr₃ in cyclohexane at 150 °C and 82 atm removed all the unsaturation, providing a mixture of 4% of methylcyclopentane and a large amount of higher-boiling (black, tarry) products. The same reaction in CHCl₃ in the presence of AlCl₃ afforded only traces of saturated hydrocarbons and the unchanged starting materials.

Berkessel et al. performed mechanistic investigation of Walling-Bollyky hydrogenation of non-enolizable ketones in order to further improve the hydrogenation.
They reported that hydrogenation is irreversible and is first order in ketone, base, and molecular hydrogen. They discovered that the rate of hydrogenation also depends on the nature of the alkali ion and that it decreases in the following order: $\text{Cs}^+ > \text{Rb}^+ \approx \text{K}^+ \gg \text{Na}^+ \gg \text{Li}^+$. Several issues that could cause the influence of alkali ions have been discussed. When $\text{D}_2$ was used, the resulting alcohols were partially deuterated. The latter was explained by the very fast exchange between the gas phase and solution, resulting in formation of a large amount of H-D. The rate of reaction did not depend significantly on whether H-D or $\text{H}_2$ was used, and H-H fission could not be the rate-determining step. The mechanism of base-catalyzed hydrogenation of ketones and base-catalyzed isotope exchange was proposed (Scheme II-54). The six-membered cyclic transition state was supported by computational studies.

Scheme II-54. Berkessel’s mechanism of base-catalyzed hydrogenation of ketones and base-catalyzed isotope exchange.

Berkessel emphasized that the proposed mechanism strongly resembles Noyori mechanism of Ru-catalyzed transfer hydrogenation. The main reason of the poor
efficiency of the base-catalyzed hydrogenation can be the poor "population" of the appropriate (active) pre-orientation of the substrate (ketone) and the reactive base.\textsuperscript{125}

In 1958 Köster \textit{et al.} discovered that the boron-carbon bond in trialkylboranes can undergo hydrogenolysis with formation of dialkylboranes and saturated hydrocarbons.\textsuperscript{127} Later, in 1961, DeWitt \textit{et al.} reported a highly efficient method of hydrogenation of alkenes catalyzed by trialkylboranes.\textsuperscript{128} They found that cyclohexene or caprylene may be quantitatively hydrogenated in the presence of 3.8 mol\% of \textit{n-}Bu\textsubscript{3}B at 220 °C and 68 H\textsubscript{2} atm. Hydrogenation of olefins catalyzed by trialkylboranes and tetraalkyldiboranes at harsh conditions \textit{(T > 200 °C)} became known.\textsuperscript{128-129} DeWitt specified that hydrogenation mediated by trialkylboranes starts with hydrogenolysis of the B-R bond followed by the insertion of hydridoborane into the olefin C=C bond. The resulting \textit{–CH-CBR\textsubscript{2}} species undergo hydrogenolysis to yield the saturated hydrocarbon (product) and some form of hydridoborane (Scheme II-55).\textsuperscript{128,129e}

\[
\text{BR}_3 + \text{H}_2 \rightarrow \text{R}_2\text{BH} + \text{RH}
\]

\[
\text{R}_2\text{BH} + \text{R'CH=CH}_2 \rightarrow \text{R'CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{BR}_2
\]

\[
\text{R'CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{BR}_2 + \text{H}_2 \rightarrow \text{R'CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{BR}_2
\]

\textbf{Scheme II-55.} DeWitt's scheme of hydrogenation of olefins catalyzed by trialkylboranes.

Haenel \textit{et al.} reported that hydrogenation of olefins can also be effectively performed in the presence of \textit{n-}Pr\textsubscript{2}BI, I\textsubscript{2}, NaBH\textsubscript{4}/I\textsubscript{2} and by Bi\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{129e}

Siskin \textit{et al.}, in 1974, reported the first hydrogenation of aromatic (benzene) ring in the presence of strong Lewis acids, such as HF-TaF\textsubscript{5}, HF-SbF\textsubscript{5} and HBr-AlBr\textsubscript{3}.\textsuperscript{130} Although the mechanism was not totally understood\textsuperscript{130a}, Wrister \textit{et al.}, in 1975, published more detailed mechanistic insights and proposed that hydrogenation goes via protonation of the aromatic ring followed by hydride transfer directly from H\textsubscript{2} (Scheme II-56).\textsuperscript{131}
Enzymatic activation of molecular hydrogen is known. Thauer et al. found that *Methanobacterium thermoautotrophicum* contains a metal-free enzyme, which consists of polypeptide chain only and can utilize H₂ without the aid of metal clusters. The enzyme catalyzes the reaction of $N^5,N^{10}$-methylene tetrahydromethanopterin with H₂ (Scheme II-57).

These findings were followed by computational investigations of the hydrogen activation step by Teles and Berkessel. High-level *ab initio* calculation indicated that molecular hydrogen bond can be cleaved by 1,3-dimethylimidazolidin-2-yl cation in the presence of base (NH₃ or HCOO⁻). These theoretical studies concluded that *bifunctional catalysis* must take place in order to break the H-H bond. Berkessel suggested several transition states on how the H-H bond can be cleaved. Computational investigations support transition states in which molecular hydrogen is cooperatively activated by a Lewis base and a Brönsted base. Experimental evidence shows that two bound hydrogen atoms of the H₂ can rapidly exchange with each other and the solvent. Although, it is still unclear which of these transition states take place, the heterolysis of H-H bond *requires* the joined action of both the acid and the base (bifunctional catalysis) (Scheme II-58).
**Scheme II-58.** Possible transition states for the H-H bond cleavage by imidazolidinium cation and a base (bifunctional catalysis).\textsuperscript{135}

In 2006, Stephan et al. reported that the compound \( p-(C_6H_2Me_3)_2P-C_6F_4-B(C_6F_5)_2 \) reacts with 1 atm of \( H_2 \) at 25 °C with formation of \( p-(C_6H_2Me_3)_2PH^{(+)}-C_6F_4-BH^{(+)}(C_6F_5)_2 \).\textsuperscript{136} Remarkably, the resulting compound reversely releases \( H_2 \) when heated to 100 °C (Scheme II-59).

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{(C}_6\text{H}_2\text{Me}_3)_2\text{P} \rightarrow_\text{H}_2, 25^\circ\text{C} & \rightarrow_\text{-H}_2, 100^\circ\text{C} \\
\text{(C}_6\text{H}_2\text{Me}_3)_2\text{P} & \rightarrow_\text{C}_6\text{F}_4\text{-B}(\text{C}_6\text{F}_5)_2
\end{align*}
\]

**Scheme II-59.** Reaction between \( p-(C_6H_2Me_3)_2P-C_6F_4-B(C_6F_5)_2 \) and \( H_2 \).

Such types of compounds were called Frustrated Lewis pairs.

Xu and Li recently reported that fullerenes \( C_{60} \) and \( C_{70} \) can activate molecular hydrogen and catalyze hydrogenation of aromatic nitro compounds to aromatic amino derivatives with high yields.\textsuperscript{137} Hydrogenation was very selective, and such groups as ketone and nitrile remained unchanged (Scheme II-60). The mechanism of hydrogenation of nitroarenes in the presence of fullerene has not yet been proposed.\textsuperscript{137a}
Scheme II-60. Hydrogenation of nitroarenes to aminoarenes in the presence of fullerene (C$_{60}$/C$_{60}^-$ mixture).$^{137a}$

In 2010, Piers et al. reported the direct activation of molecular hydrogen exclusively by boron-based Lewis acid in the absence of Lewis base.$^{138}$ Perfluoropentaphenylborole was found to rapidly react with hydrogen to produce boracyclopent-3-ene products. Non-fluorinated pentaphenylborole was also found to react with H$_2$ in the same fashion, though much slower.$^{138}$ The significant driving force of this reaction was the disruption of anti-aromaticity of the initial borole.
III Results and Discussions

Hydrosilylation is an important industrial process of reduction of unsaturated organic compounds. It has several unique advantages over other reduction methods. Hydrosilylation can be performed under very mild conditions, and it offers production of protected alcohols from carbonyls in one step, whereas the use of aluminum- or borohydrides requires at least two steps: the reduction and protection. Industrial hydrosilylation usually employs late transition metals, which are toxic and expensive.\textsuperscript{1} Therefore, the development of methods of hydrosilylation using cheap and less-toxic early transition metals is an important goal.\textsuperscript{139}

Hydrosilylation is poorly understood mechanistically. Literature data does not provide detailed investigations of the hydrosilylation catalysis: most of the mechanisms of hydrosilylation are very speculative. In this regard, we devote the current research to the investigation of mechanisms of hydrosilylation catalyzed by Mo(IV) complexes. Molybdenum is not only a cheap and non-toxic early transition metal but it also the only second transition series metal that occurs in biological structures.\textsuperscript{140}

Our group has recently found that complex (ArN)Mo(H)(Cl)(PMe\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{3} catalyzes hydrosilylation of aldehydes and ketones.\textsuperscript{3} Detailed kinetic and mechanistic studies of the hydrosilylation of benzaldehyde with phenylsilane demonstrated that the catalysis begins with dissociation of a phosphine ligand (trans-H-Mo-PMe\textsubscript{3}) followed by the fast formation of the trans-\(\eta^2\)-benzaldehyde complex and its slow re-arrangement into the benzyloxy triphosphine complex (Scheme III-1).
Scheme III-1. Proposed catalytic cycle of hydrosilylation of benzaldehyde by phenylsilane catalyzed by (ArN)Mo(H)(Cl)(PMe$_3$)$_3$.\textsuperscript{5a}

The \textit{fac} ligand set (Cl, 2 PMe$_3$) is isolobal to the Cp$^-$ and Tp$^-$ ligands. Therefore, we have chosen to prepare a series of new catalysts, (Cp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe$_3$) and (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe$_3$) (Scheme III-2), and to investigate their catalytic behaviour in hydrosilylation of both ketones and aldehydes. We also decided to study the hydrosilylation of ketones catalyzed by (ArN)Mo(H)(Cl)(PMe$_3$)$_3$.
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III. 1 Hydrosilylation catalyzed by (Cp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃)

The complex (Cp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃) was prepared by the reaction of (ArN)Mo(H)(Cl)(PMe₃)₃ with CpNa in THF (Scheme III-2) and characterized by NMR and IR spectroscopy. Compared to (ArN)Mo(H)(Cl)(PMe₃)₃, complex (Cp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃) is a more sluggish catalyst of hydrosilylation (Table III-1), which most likely reflects the fact that the PMe₃ ligand that dissociates from (Cp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃) to give a catalytically potent species is now “part of” the Cp ligand (Scheme III-2).

**Table III-1.** Hydrosilylation of carbonyls with PhSiH₃ catalyzed by (Cp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Substrate</th>
<th>Conversion of org. substrate</th>
<th>Product(s)</th>
<th>Conditions</th>
<th>Yield, %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PhC(O)H</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>PhCH₂OSiH₂Ph, (PhCH₂O)₂SiHPh</td>
<td>0.5 day, RT</td>
<td>21, 79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PhC(O)Me</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5 days, 50 °C</td>
<td>0, 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyclohexanone</td>
<td>54%</td>
<td>CyOSiH₂Ph, (CyO)₂SiHPh</td>
<td>5 days, 50 °C</td>
<td>36, 18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The goal of the current research is to study the mechanism of hydrosilylation mediated by complex (Cp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃), choosing benzaldehyde and phenylsilane as model substrates (Scheme III-3).

**Scheme III-3.** Hydrosilylation of benzaldehyde with phenylsilane in the presence of (Cp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃).
Complex (Cp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃) was found to react with both benzaldehyde and phenylsilane giving some derivatives. Below we provide the detailed kinetic investigation for each individual reaction, and discuss their mechanistic features.

### III.1.1 Phosphine exchange between (Cp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃) and PMe₃

The possibility of phosphine dissociation from complex (Cp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃) requires special attention. First of all, we previously observed that the trans-PMe₃ ligand in complex (ArN)Mo(H)(Cl)(PMe₃)₃ undergoes dissociation to provide a vacancy on the metal center for further reactions with substrates. In the latter compound, the phosphine experiences strong trans-influence of the hydride ligand, which promotes the dissociation. We did not observe the dissociation of phosphine from complex (Cp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃); however, the phosphine ligand was found to be in a very fast exchange with free phosphine added to the solution. We studied this exchange by the Selective ge-1D EXSY (SELNOGP) NMR experiments and determined exchange rate constants at four temperatures: (2.89 ± 0.04) × 10¹ s⁻¹ (12 °C), (4.29 ± 0.07) × 10¹ s⁻¹ (22.0 °C), (6.22 ± 0.12) × 10¹ s⁻¹ (32 °C) and (8.56 ± 0.15) × 10¹ s⁻¹ (42.0 °C). The enthalpy and entropy of activation, \( \Delta H^\ddagger = 24.7 \pm 0.4 \text{ kJ/mol} \) and \( \Delta S^\ddagger = -(130 \pm 1) \text{ J/(K\textcdot mol)} \), determined from the Eyring plot, suggest that the phosphine exchange is an associative reaction (Scheme III-4).

![Scheme III-4](image)

**Scheme III-4.** Phosphine exchange between (Cp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃) and PMe₃.

The associative mechanism is very surprising, given the fact that complex (Cp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃) is formally a saturated, 18e compound, if one considers M-imido bond as having triple character. To shed more light on this process, DFT
calculations \(^a\) were carried out by Dr. Serge Gorelski from the University of Ottawa. Calculations found that the structure III-4, which is a minimum of the electron energy surface but a maximum of the free energy surface (due to the entropic factor). Therefore, the DFT calculations are consistent with our kinetic studies.

### III.1.2 Reaction of (Cp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe\(_3\)) with benzaldehyde

Complex (Cp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe\(_3\)) slowly reacts with benzaldehyde with the formation of the alkoxy-derivative (Cp)(ArN)Mo(OCH\(_2\)Ph)(PMe\(_3\)) (Scheme III-5).

![Scheme III-5. Reaction between (Cp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe\(_3\)) and benzaldehyde.](image)

The reaction of complex (Cp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe\(_3\)) with 1 eq. of benzaldehyde was monitored by \(^1\)H NMR. The kinetic data were linearized in the 1/C(PhCHO) vs time coordinates (See Chapter V for details), suggesting second-order kinetics. The rate constants were determined at four temperatures:

\[
k(26 ^\circ\text{C}) = (3.6 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{-3} \text{ M}^{-1} \cdot \text{s}^{-1},
\]

\[
k(40 ^\circ\text{C}) = (1.25 \pm 0.17) \times 10^{-2} \text{ M}^{-1} \cdot \text{s}^{-1},
\]

\[
k(50 ^\circ\text{C}) = (2.44 \pm 0.17) \times 10^{-2} \text{ M}^{-1} \cdot \text{s}^{-1},
\]

\[
k(60 ^\circ\text{C}) = (4.17 \pm 0.05) \times 10^{-2} \text{ M}^{-1} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}.
\]

The activation parameters, \(\Delta H^\# = 57.6 \pm 3.6 \text{ kJ/mol}\) and \(\Delta S^\# = -(99.7 \pm 11.4) \text{ J/(K} \cdot \text{mol)}\), extracted from Eyring plot, suggest that the reaction proceeds via an associative mechanism. We additionally demonstrated that the reaction rate constant does not depend on phosphine concentration, and thus, the dissociative mechanism is unlikely for this system.

\(^a\) Details of the DFT calculations will be further provided.
DFT studies of a model system (CH$_2$=O for carbonyl, SiH$_4$ for silane, and (Cp)(MeN)Mo(H)(PMe$_3$) for complex) found that the reaction proceeds via the transition state **III-6** (associative mechanism) (Scheme III-6).

![Scheme III-6](image)

**Scheme III-6.** Calculated mechanism of the reaction between (Cp)(MeN)Mo(H)(PMe$_3$) and CH$_2$=O.

Complex (Cp)(ArN)Mo(OCH$_2$Ph)(PMe$_3$) has been individually synthesized and characterized by NMR and X-ray diffraction analysis (see Chapter VI, Table VI-1 for the crystal structure determination parameters).
Figure III-1. ORTEP plot of the molecular structure of (Cp)(ArN)Mo(OCH$_2$Ph)(PMe$_3$) (one of two independent molecules is shown). Anisotropic displacement ellipsoids are plotted at 50% probability.

Table III-2. Selected bond distances (Å) and angles (°) for (Cp)(ArN)Mo(OCH$_2$Ph)(PMe$_3$)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>distances, Å</th>
<th>angles, °</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mo1-N1</td>
<td>1.7652(14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mo1-O1</td>
<td>2.0485(11)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Complex (Cp)(ArN)Mo(OCH$_2$Ph)(PMe$_3$) (Figure III-1) adopts a pseudo-octahedral geometry, if the Cp-ligand is considered as occupying three coordination sites, one axial and two equatorial positions. The atoms C19 and C18 of the Cp ring are located trans- to the imido group and are characterized by relatively longer Mo1-C19 and Mo1-C18 distances than the Mo1-C16, Mo1-C17, and Mo1-C20 bonds (Table III-2). This may be a result of the strong trans-influence of the imido group.$^{142}$ The C1-N1-Mo1 linkage is almost linear, 173.38(12)$^\circ$, which can indicate that the imido group is a six electron donor to the molybdenum stabilizing its 18$e^-$ valence shell.$^{141}$

The treatment of (Cp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe$_3$) with excess benzaldehyde (20 eq.) provides formation of an $\eta^2$-aldehyde complex (Cp)(ArN)Mo(OCH$_2$Ph)(\textit{$\eta^2$}-PhCHO) as the sole product within one hour at RT. The complex was obtained as a mixture of two isomers. The upfield shifted PhCHO signals at 5.58 and 6.08 ppm (for two isomers) indicate the $\eta^2$-coordination mode of the aldehyde.$^{143}$ Alternatively, complex (Cp)(ArN)Mo(OCH$_2$Ph)(\textit{$\eta^2$}-PhCHO) can be prepared, but at a much slower rate (one day at RT), by the treatment of (Cp)(ArN)Mo(OCH$_2$Ph)(PMe$_3$) with 20 eq. of benzaldehyde. This observation suggests that formation of the benzaldehyde $\eta^2$-complex from (Cp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe$_3$) does not proceed via the benzyloxy-phosphine complex (Cp)(ArN)Mo(OCH$_2$Ph)(PMe$_3$). Possibly, the benzaldehyde associatively substitutes the labile phosphine ligand to form a [(Cp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PhCHO)] intermediate, followed by a reaction with the second equivalent of benzaldehyde (Scheme III-7).
Scheme III-7. Proposed mechanism for the reaction between \((\text{Cp})(\text{ArN})\text{Mo}(\text{H})(\text{PMe}_3)\) and excess benzaldehyde.

Kinetic parameters for the reaction between \((\text{Cp})(\text{ArN})\text{Mo}(\text{OCH}_2\text{Ph})(\text{PMe}_3)\) and benzaldehyde (10 eq.) have been determined at three temperatures: 
\[ k(26.0 \, ^\circ\text{C}) = (3.66 \pm 0.03) \times 10^{-5} \, \text{s}^{-1}, \]
\[ k(40.0 \, ^\circ\text{C}) = (2.31 \pm 0.05) \times 10^{-4} \, \text{s}^{-1}, \]
\[ k(55.0 \, ^\circ\text{C}) = (1.08 \pm 0.03) \times 10^{-3} \, \text{s}^{-1}. \]

The activation parameters suggest that the reaction most likely proceeds via an associative mechanism: 
\[ \Delta H^\ddagger = (92.5 \pm 4.3) \, \text{kJ/mol}, \quad \Delta S^\ddagger = -(20.3 \pm 13.6) \, \text{J/(K\cdot mol)} \] (Scheme III-8).

Scheme III-8. Proposed mechanism for the reaction between \((\text{Cp})(\text{ArN})\text{Mo}(\text{OCH}_2\text{Ph})(\text{PMe}_3)\) and benzaldehyde.
Excess of phosphine cleanly substitutes the \( \eta^2 \)-coordinated benzaldehyde in (Cp)(ArN)Mo(OCH\textsubscript{2}Ph)(\( \eta^2 \)-PhCHO) to regenerate the alkoxy phosphine complex (Cp)(ArN)Mo(OCH\textsubscript{2}Ph)(PMe\textsubscript{3}) (Scheme III-8).

To check if the reaction between (Cp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe\textsubscript{3}) and benzaldehyde is reversible, the benzyloxy complex was treated with excess o-bromobenzaldehyde. No reaction was observed at RT, but heating at 60 °C resulted in the formation of free benzaldehyde in the reaction mixture (detected by \(^1\text{H} \) NMR) (Scheme III-9).

![Scheme III-9. Reaction between (Cp)(ArN)Mo(OCH\textsubscript{2}Ph)(PMe\textsubscript{3}) and o-bromobenzaldehyde.](image)

We believe that this reaction may be initiated by the substitution of the phosphine ligand by o-bromobenzaldehyde followed by intramolecular interligand hydride shift from the methylene group to the \( \eta^2 \)-coordinated o-bromobenzaldehyde.\(^{144}\) The \( \eta^2 \)-benzaldehyde thus formed may be then released by a substitution reaction with excess o-bromobenzaldehyde (Scheme III-10).
Scheme III-10. Proposed mechanism for the reaction between (Cp)(ArN)Mo(OCH₂Ph)(PMe₃) and o-bromobenzaldehyde.

As long as this reaction requires heating, we conclude that the catalytic hydrosilylation at RT involves irreversible addition of benzaldehyde to (Cp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃) to give (Cp)(ArN)Mo(OCH₂Ph)(PMe₃).

III.1.3 Reactions of (Cp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃) with ketones

Complex (Cp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃) was stable in the presence of excess acetone (up to 5 eq.) and did not react. Heating the reaction mixture resulted in decomposition of the hydride complex. The complex was stable in the presence of a large excess of acetophenone (up to 5-10 eq.) and did not react even under heating at 50 °C for several days. The hydrosilylation of either acetophenone or acetone was not observed.

III.1.4 Reactions of (Cp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃) with alcohols

The hydride complex (Cp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃) is relatively stable when dissolved in pure ethanol or iso-propanol. However, heating the alcohol solutions at 50 °C results in decomposition of the complex. Experiments with iso-propanol-d₈ did not reveal any H/D exchange between the Mo-H and O-D groups.
III.1.5 Phosphine exchange between (Cp)(ArN)Mo(OCH₂Ph)(PMe₃) and PMe₃

Benzyloxy-phosphine complex (Cp)(ArN)Mo(OCH₂Ph)(PMe₃), like (Cp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃), exchanges the bound phosphine with free phosphine in solutions (Scheme III-11).

Scheme III-11. Phosphine exchange between (Cp)(ArN)Mo(OCH₂Ph)(PMe₃) and PMe₃.

The exchange has been studied using ³¹P-³¹P EXSY NMR spectroscopy at 40, 50, 60 and 70 °C. With the mixing time of 0.300 s, cross-peaks were observed between the free and bound phosphine, indicating their exchange. At a mixing time of 0.003 s, there were no cross-peaks. The cross-peaks have been integrated by means of TOP SPIN Bruker NMR software. Exchange rates have been calculated using EXSY Calc MestRe software: $k(40 \, ^\circ\text{C}) = 0.655 \, \text{s}^{-1}$, $k(50 \, ^\circ\text{C}) = 0.805 \, \text{s}^{-1}$, $k(60 \, ^\circ\text{C}) = 0.965 \, \text{s}^{-1}$ and $k(70 \, ^\circ\text{C}) = 1.230 \, \text{s}^{-1}$. The thermodynamic parameters of activation have been extracted from the Eyring plot: $\Delta H^\ddagger = 15.8 \pm 1.0 \, \text{kJ/mol}$ and $\Delta S^\ddagger = -199 \pm 3 \, \text{J/(K·mol)}$. The negative entropy of activation indicates an associative mechanism of the reaction (Scheme III-11).

III.1.6 Reaction between (Cp)(ArN)Mo(OCH₂Ph)(PMe₃) and PhSiH₃

The benzyloxy-phosphine complex (Cp)(ArN)Mo(OCH₂Ph)(PMe₃) cleanly reacts with PhSiH₃, giving the hydrosilylation products PhCH₂0SiH₂Ph and (PhCH₂O)₂SiHPh and regenerating the starting hydrido phosphine complex (Cp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃).
Scheme III-12. Reaction between (Cp)(ArN)Mo(OCH$_2$Ph)(PMe$_3$) and PhSiH$_3$.

Kinetic studies may indicate that the reaction proceeds via an associative mechanism: $\Delta H^\ddagger = 58.9 \pm 4.9 \text{ kJ/mol}, \Delta S^\ddagger = -111 \pm 16 \text{ J/(K\cdot mol)}$. We envisioned that the possible associative reaction could proceed either via the oxidative addition of silane (Pathway A) or via the heterolytic splitting of the Si-H bond on the Mo-O bond (Pathway B) (Scheme III-13).

The oxidative addition of silane (Pathway A, Scheme III-13) is disfavoured because of the steric strain in the intermediate A1. Alternatively, the alkoxy and the imido groups may be considered as the potential sites for a silane attack as shown in Pathway B. The Mo-O bond is more preferable on the premises of charge concentration and steric accessibility. Therefore, we suggested that the complex (Cp)(ArN)Mo(OCH$_2$Ph)(PMe$_3$) reacts with silane according to Pathway B (Scheme III-13).

DFT studies of a model system (CH$_2$=O for carbonyl, SiH$_4$ for silane, and (Cp)(MeN)Mo(H)(PMe$_3$) for complex) allowed us to determine the most preferable pathway of hydrosilylation (Scheme III-14). It was found that carbonyl inserts into the Mo-H bond of 1 via the transition state TS$_{12}$ with the formation of the alkoxy complex 2. The latter reacts with silane via a very late transition state TS$_{21}$ to give the initial complex 1 and silyl ether.
Scheme III-13. Proposed pathways for the reaction between (Cp)(ArN)Mo(OCH₂Ph)(PMe₃) and PhSiH₃.

Further kinetic studies with the deuterated silane PhSiD₃ revealed that the kinetic isotope effect for the reaction between (Cp)(ArN)Mo(OCH₂Ph)(PMe₃) and PhSiH₃ or PhSiD₃ changes dramatically at different temperatures (Table III-3). Such small values of the KIE and its temperature behaviour indicate that the cleavage of the Si—H bond is not the only contribution to the activation energy barrier. The calculated KIE at 25 °C is 1.0.
Table III-3. Rate constants and kinetic isotope effect for the reaction between
(Cp)(ArN)Mo(OCH2Ph)(PMe3) and PhSiH3/PhSiD3 (10 eq.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>T, °C</th>
<th>( k_H ) (PhSiH3), s(^{-1} )</th>
<th>( k_D ) (PhSiD3), s(^{-1} )</th>
<th>KIE, ( k_H/k_D )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>(1.97 ± 0.02)\cdot10^{-4}</td>
<td>(2.7 ± 0.02)\cdot10^{-4}</td>
<td>0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26.0</td>
<td>(5.85 ± 0.03)\cdot10^{-4}</td>
<td>(7.40 ± 0.02)\cdot10^{-4}</td>
<td>0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36.0</td>
<td>(1.22 ± 0.01)\cdot10^{-3}</td>
<td>(1.09 ± 0.01)\cdot10^{-3}</td>
<td>1.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46.0</td>
<td>(2.21 ± 0.16)\cdot10^{-3}</td>
<td>(1.60 ± 0.03)\cdot10^{-3}</td>
<td>1.38</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

III.1.7 Reaction of (Cp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe3) with PhSiH3

In the experiments with the deuterated silane PhSiD3, we found that complex (Cp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe3) exchanges its hydride for deuterium. We were unable to obtain the activation parameters of the exchange because it is too slow and concurrent with the dehydrogenative addition of silane to give the silyl derivative (Cp)(ArN)Mo(SiH2Ph)(PMe3) (see below). A DFT study suggests that the reaction possibly goes via phosphine dissociation followed by oxidative addition of the silane with the formation of a dihydride silyl species (Scheme III-15).
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Formation of an isomeric mixture of dihydride silyl species (Cp)(ArN)Mo(H)2(SiH2Ph) and (Cp)(ArN)Mo(H)(SiH2Ph)(H) (1:5) was indeed observed when the reaction of (Cp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe3) with PhSiH3 was carried out in the presence of BPh3. These intermediate species further release molecular hydrogen and react with the second equivalent of PhSiH3 to give finally the bis(silyl) hydride molybdenum(VI) complex (Cp)(ArN)Mo(SiH2Ph)2(H) and (Cp)(ArN)Mo(SiH2Ph)(PMe3) in the 8:1 ratio (Scheme III-16).

Scheme III-16. Reaction of (Cp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe3) with PhSiH3 (>2 eq.) in the presence of BPh3.

The absence of significant Si-H coupling constant ($J < 10$ Hz) in the observed silyl di- and mono-hydride complexes may indicate that they have no significant Si--HMo interactions, and they are, therefore, the derivatives of Mo(VI). An example of Mo(VI) hydride ($\text{Cp}^*\text{MoH}_5$) has been reported, but to the best of our knowledge silyl hydride derivatives of Mo(VI) are not known.

In the absence of BPh3, complex (Cp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe3) slowly reacts with phenylsilane to give the silyl phosphine derivative (Cp)(ArN)Mo(SiH2Ph)(PMe3). Kinetic studies show that this reaction proceeds with a small entropy of activation, which is indicative of the absence of a well-defined rate-limiting step: $\Delta H^\ddagger = 66.1 \pm 3. \text{kJ/mol}, \Delta S^\ddagger = -110 \pm 10 \text{J/(K⋅mol)}$. The reaction was also carried out in the presence of different amounts of free PMe3. We observed no dependence of the reaction rate constant on the phosphine concentration, suggesting the absence of PMe3 dissociation. The kinetic isotope effect was found to be 1.0. These results suggest that the reaction could proceed
via a σ-bond metathesis mechanism\textsuperscript{61b, 146} to avoid the formation of an unfavourable Mo(VI) species (Scheme III-17).

\[ \text{Scheme III-17. Reaction between (Cp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe}_3\text{) and PhSiH}_3. \]

The σ-bond metathesis mechanism was originally discovered and elaborated for early transition metals in the \(d^0\) configuration\textsuperscript{147}, and there are also a few well-documented examples for late transition metals.\textsuperscript{148} DFT calculations of the transition state \(\text{TS}_{\text{MoH-SiH}}\) (Scheme III-18) showed that the Si—H (1.614 Å) and the H—H (2.149 Å) distances are characteristic for σ-bond metathesis.\textsuperscript{149} Calculations also suggested that there is no formation of a silyl σ-complex, possibly due to the weak antibonding character of the LUMO \(\pi^*(\text{Mo-N})\) orbital of (Cp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe\(_3\)).

Although the σ-bond metathesis mechanism has been reported for some \textit{late} metal systems, this is only the second case of a σ-bond metathesis mechanism for an \textit{early} transition metal complex with \(d^n\) \((n \geq 2)\) configuration\textsuperscript{150}.

\[ \text{Scheme III-18. Computed reaction pathway for formation of} \]
\[ \text{(Cp)(MeN)Mo(SiH}_3\text{)(PMe}_3\text{)} \text{ (at 298 K)} \]

Complex (Cp)(ArN)Mo(SiH\(_2\)Ph)(PMe\(_3\)) does not react with benzaldehyde at ambient and elevated temperatures and is not active as a hydrosilylation catalyst.
III.1.8  Stoichiometric reaction between (Cp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃), PhCHO and PhSiD₃

When complex (Cp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃) is mixed with stoichiometric (1:1:1) amounts of benzaldehyde and PhSiD₃, the hydrosilylation proceeds rapidly (< 5 min) to give a mixture of PhCHDOSiD₂Ph and the initial catalyst (Cp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃) (Scheme III-19).
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Scheme III-19. Stoichiometric reaction between (Cp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃), PhCHO and PhSiD₃.

The 100% retention of the hydride at the Mo centre indicates that under the stoichiometric conditions, there is no significant insertion of benzaldehyde into the Mo—H bond to form the alkoxy complex. Otherwise, the reaction would have provided the deuterated derivative of the molybdenum hydride complex, i.e. (Cp)(ArN)Mo(D)(PMe₃). This experiment demonstrated the existence of an alternative mechanism of hydrosilylation catalysis, where the molybdenum hydride complex possibly activates the substrates as a Lewis acid without the direct involvement of the Mo—H bond. All mechanisms of metal catalyzed hydrosilylation discussed so far involved the formation of a metal hydride complex and its reaction with substrates, with the hydride shift to substrate being the key reduction step, so called hydride mechanism. The hydrosilylation of carbonyls in which the M—H bond plays the role of a spectator ligand has never been reported in the literature.
III. 2 Hydrosilylation catalyzed by (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃)

To underpin the ligand effect on the course and mechanism of hydrosilylation, we decided to study the catalyst (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃), an isolobal analogue of complex Cp(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃). The new compound (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃) was prepared by the reaction of (ArN)Mo(H)(Cl)(PMe₃) with KTp in 74% yield. It was studied by spectroscopic methods and its molecular structure was characterized by X-ray diffraction analysis (See chapter VI, Table VI-2 for the crystal structure determination parameters).

![ORTEP plot of the molecular structure of (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃)](image)

*Figure III-2. ORTEP plot of the molecular structure of (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃)*

(All hydrogen atoms except BH and MoH are omitted for clarity. One of two independent molecules is shown). Anisotropic displacement parameters are plotted at 50% probability.
Table III-4. Selected bond distances (Å) and angles (°) for (Tp)(ArN)Mo(Cl)(PMe₃).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>distances, Å</th>
<th>angles, °</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mo1-H1</td>
<td>1.66(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mo1-P1</td>
<td>2.4322(6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mo1-N1</td>
<td>1.7544(19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mo1-N2</td>
<td>2.2003(19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mo1-N6</td>
<td>2.230(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mo1-N4</td>
<td>2.311(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The molecular structure of (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃) adopts an octahedral geometry, with one of the pyrazolyl group lying trans- to the imido-group, and two other pyrazolyl groups with the hydride and PMe₃ in the equatorial position (Figure III-2). The linkage C1-N1-Mo1 is almost linear (170.51(17) °) (Table III-4), which can indicate that the imido group is a six electron donor to the molybdenum stabilizing its 18e⁻ valence shell.¹⁴¹ The bonds Mo1-N2, Mo1-N6 and Mo1-N4 have different lengths, and the trans- to the imido group Mo1-N4 distance is the longest, possibly due to the strongest trans-influence of the imido group.
III.2.1 Hydrosilylation catalyzed by (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe3)

We found that complex (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe3) catalyzes the hydrosilylation of a variety of aldehydes and ketones (Table III-5).b The best results were observed when PhSiH₃ was used as a hydrosilylating agent. The hydrosilylation with PhMeSiH₂ required elevated temperatures and extended reaction time. The hydrosilylation with PhMe₂SiH, (EtO)₃SiH and Et₃SiH was not observed, possibly due to the high steric congestion.

Table III-5. Hydrosilylation of various substrates catalyzed by (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe3).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Substrate</th>
<th>Silane</th>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Reaction conditions</th>
<th>Yield, according to ¹H-NMR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PhCHO</td>
<td>PhSiH₃</td>
<td>PhCH₂OSiH₂Ph(PhCH₂O)₂SiHPh</td>
<td>0.5 d, RT</td>
<td>38%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>62%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PhCOCH₃</td>
<td>PhSiH₃</td>
<td>PhSiH₂OCH(Me)Ph</td>
<td>1.5 d, RT</td>
<td>85%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyclohexanone</td>
<td>PhSiH₃</td>
<td>CyOSiH₂Ph(CHO)₂SiHPh</td>
<td>53 min, RT</td>
<td>85%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PhCHO</td>
<td>PhMeSiH₂</td>
<td>PhCH₂OSiHMePh</td>
<td>1.5 d, 50 °C</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PhCOCH₃</td>
<td>PhMeSiH₂</td>
<td>PhMeSiHOCH(Me)MePh</td>
<td>2.5 d, 50 °C</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyclohexanone</td>
<td>PhMeSiH₂</td>
<td>CyOSiHMePh(CHO)₂SiMePh</td>
<td>1 d, RT</td>
<td>96%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyclohexanone</td>
<td>PhMe₂SiH</td>
<td>CyOSiMe₂Ph</td>
<td>1.5 d, 50 °C</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

III.2.2 Dissociation of the Tp ligand

The possibility of the dissociation of Tp ligand may play an important role in catalysis and requires special attention. We found (by EXSY NMR) that the pyrazolyl groups of the Tp ligand are in a very fast exchange: \( k(17.0 \, ^\circ C) = 0.618 \, \text{s}^{-1} \), \( k(22.0 \, ^\circ C) = 1.138 \, \text{s}^{-1} \), \( k(27.0 \, ^\circ C) = 2.077 \, \text{s}^{-1} \), \( k(32.0 \, ^\circ C) = 3.407 \, \text{s}^{-1} \), \( \Delta H^\# = 81.8 \pm 2.1 \, \text{kJ/mol} \), \( \Delta S^\# = 32.4 \pm 7.0 \, \text{J/(K\cdotmol)} \). We assume that this exchange may be caused by dissociation of the

b Also see Table V-4 for more detailed information.
pyrazolyl group lying *trans* to the imido ligand, because of its largest (Pz)N—Mo bond length (*i.e.* the weakest bond) of all three (Pz)N—Mo bonds.

### III.2.3 Phosphine exchange between (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃) and free PMe₃

Unlike (Cp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃), complex (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃) does not exchange the bound phosphine ligand with free PMe₃. Heating the solution containing molybdenum hydride complex did not result in the appearance of free PMe₃ in $^1$H NMR. The solution containing a mixture of (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃) and free PMe₃ was studied by EXSY NMR at different temperatures, and the phosphine exchange was not observed.

### III.2.4 Reaction between (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃) and carbonyls

Complex (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃) reacts with benzaldehyde with formation of an alkoxy complex (Tp)(ArN)Mo(OCH₂Ph)(PMe₃) (Scheme III-20).

We determined the reaction rate constants and the activation parameters: $k(26 \, ^\circ\text{C}) = (8.75 \pm 0.10) \times 10^{-4} \, \text{M}^{-1} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$, $k(36.0 \, ^\circ\text{C}) = (1.005 \pm 0.003) \times 10^{-2} \, \text{M}^{-1} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$, $k(46.0 \, ^\circ\text{C}) = (1.50 \pm 0.03) \times 10^{-2} \, \text{M}^{-1} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$, $k(55.6 \, ^\circ\text{C}) = (1.18 \pm 0.02) \times 10^{-1} \, \text{M}^{-1} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$, $\Delta H^\# = 103 \pm 7$ kJ/mol, $\Delta S^\# = 45.3 \pm 53.5$ J/(K·mol). The large uncertainty in determination of the entropy of activation

---

*Previously, we observed some amounts of free PMe₃ by $^1$H and $^{31}$P NMR in the solutions of (ArN)Mo(H)(Cl)(PMe₃) and (ArN)(CyO)Mo(Cl)(PMe₃) formed as a result of dissociation.*
does not allow us to differentiate between a dissociative mechanism (e.g. dissociation of the pyrazolyl ligand) and an associative step (e.g. via addition of carbonyl).

We have found that the reaction rate does not depend on the PMe$_3$ concentration (Figure III-3). We may conclude that dissociation of the phosphine in the reaction between (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe$_3$) and PhCHO does not take place.

![Figure III-3. Dependence of the rate constant $k_{\text{eff}}$ on PMe$_3$ concentration (eq.) for the reaction of (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe$_3$) with PhCHO (1eq.).](image)

Given the above data, we suggest that the reaction between (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe$_3$) and PhCHO proceeds via dissociation of the pyrazolyl ligand, providing an "open" site for the associative activation of carbonyl$^{5b}$ (Scheme III-21).
Scheme III-21. Proposed mechanism for the reaction between (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃) and PhCHO.

In the presence of excess benzaldehyde (>2 eq.), the complex (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃) slowly yields the carbonyl adduct (Tp)(ArN)Mo(OCH₂Ph)(η²-PhCHO), characterized by an upfield shift of the η²-PhCHO signal at 5.38 ppm (Scheme III-22). The formation of only one isomer was observed, possibly because of steric demands of the bulky Tp ligand.³

Scheme III-22. Reaction between (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃) and PhCHO (excess).

³ In case of (Cp)(ArN)Mo(OCH₂Ph)(η²-PhCHO), we observed two diastereomers.
When (Tp)(ArN)Mo(OCH₂Ph)(η²-PhCHO) is treated with p-bromobenzaldehyde, we observed full substitution of the η²-coordinated benzaldehyde by p-bromobenzaldehyde (Scheme III-22). Unlike the related system (Cp)(ArN)Mo(OCH₂Ph)(η²-PhCHO), the hydride transfer from the alkoxy ligand to the carbonyl does not take place.

Scheme III-23. Reaction between (Tp)(ArN)Mo(OCH₂Ph)(η²-PhCHO) and p-bromobenzaldehyde.

Complex (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃) reacts with ketones very slowly, and the reactions require intensive heating over several days. Thus, a reaction with acetophenone provided the formation of (Tp)(ArN)Mo(OC(CH₃)Ph)(PMe₃), obtained as a mixture of two diastereomers in 1.3:1 ratio, after two days at 50 °C (Scheme III-24).

Scheme III-24. Reaction between (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃) and acetophenone.

A reaction of (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃) with (+)-camphor proceeded without enantioselectivity and yielded all four possible diastereomers (Scheme III-25).

Scheme III-25. Reaction between (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃) and (+)-camphor.
A reaction with acetone did not afford the expected alkoxy complex. Instead, heating a mixture of \((\text{Tp})(\text{ArN})\text{Mo(H)(PMe}_{3}\rangle\) with acetone gave only products of acetone condensation.

### III.2.5 Reaction between \((\text{Tp})(\text{ArN})\text{Mo(H)(PMe}_{3}\rangle\) and \(\text{PhSiH}_{3}\)

The molybdenum hydride Tp-complex does not react with phenylsilane to give any derivative. The H/D scrambling between \((\text{Tp})(\text{ArN})\text{Mo(H)(PMe}_{3}\rangle\) and \(\text{PhSiD}_{3}\) was, however, observed but only at elevated temperatures: \(k_{\text{H/D}}(60.0\,^\circ\text{C}) = (1.20 \pm 0.23) \cdot 10^{-4}\,\text{s}^{-1}\) (Scheme III-26).

![Scheme III-26. Reaction between (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe3) and PhSiD3 (5 eq.).](image)

### III.2.6 Reaction between \((\text{Tp})(\text{ArN})\text{Mo(OCH}_{2}\text{Ph})(\text{PMe}_{3}\rangle\) and \(\text{PhSiH}_{3}\)

Like its Cp-analogue, the alkoxy complex \((\text{Tp})(\text{ArN})\text{Mo(OCH}_{2}\text{Ph})(\text{PMe}_{3}\rangle\) cleanly reacts with phenylsilane to give \((\text{Tp})(\text{ArN})\text{Mo(H)(PMe}_{3}\rangle\) and the silyl ether. Kinetics of this reaction has been studied at four different temperatures (Table III-6).

**Table III-6. Kinetic isotope effect for the reaction of (Tp)(ArN)Mo(OCH2Ph)(PMe3) with PhSiH3/PhSiD3**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temperature, °C</th>
<th>(k_{\text{PhSiH3}}, \text{s}^{-1})</th>
<th>(k_{\text{PhSiD3}}, \text{s}^{-1})</th>
<th>KIE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>((1.13 \pm 0.02) \cdot 10^{-4})</td>
<td>((2.10 \pm 0.02) \cdot 10^{-4})</td>
<td>0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22.0</td>
<td>((2.35 \pm 0.02) \cdot 10^{-4})</td>
<td>((2.92 \pm 0.02) \cdot 10^{-4})</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27.0</td>
<td>((4.37 \pm 0.04) \cdot 10^{-4})</td>
<td>((6.60 \pm 0.04) \cdot 10^{-4})</td>
<td>0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32.0</td>
<td>((8.67 \pm 0.01) \cdot 10^{-4})</td>
<td>((1.08 \pm 0.01) \cdot 10^{-3})</td>
<td>0.80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The corresponding activation parameters are: $\Delta H^\ddagger_{\text{PhSiH}_3} = 96.6 \pm 1.8 \text{ kJ/mol}$, $\Delta S^\ddagger_{\text{PhSiH}_3} = 12.5 \pm 6.2 \text{ J/(K\cdot mol)}$. Previously, we demonstrated that the heterolytic splitting of Si-H bond on the Mo-O bond in $(\text{Cp})(\text{ArN})\text{Mo(OCH}_2\text{Ph})(\text{PMe}_3)$ is an associative reaction characterized by large negative entropy of activation ($-111 \pm 16 \text{ J/(K\cdot mol)}$). A small positive entropy of activation found for $(\text{Tp})(\text{ArN})\text{Mo(OCH}_2\text{Ph})(\text{PMe}_3)$ may indicate the possibility of dissociation of one of the pyrazolyl substituents. Here we assume that the reaction proceeds in two sequential steps: the dissociation of the pyrazolyl ligand (to reduce the bulkiness and provide more space for the reaction) and heterolytic splitting of the Si-H bond on the Mo-O bond. The last step should proceed via a late transition state according to the observed inverse kinetic isotope effect (Table III-6 and Scheme III-27).

![Diagram](image)

**Scheme III-27.** Proposed mechanism for the reaction between $(\text{Tp})(\text{ArN})\text{Mo(H)(PMe}_3) + \text{PhCH}_2\text{OSiH}_2\text{Ph}$

**III.2.7 Reaction between $(\text{Tp})(\text{ArN})\text{Mo(H)(PMe}_3)$, PhSiD$_3$ and cyclohexanone and the mechanism of hydrosilylation**

When PhSiD$_3$ and cyclohexanone are mixed in the presence of a stoichiometric amount of $(\text{Tp})(\text{ArN})\text{Mo(H)(PMe}_3)$ (100% catalyst load), the reaction produces the silyl ether of cyclohexanol and the initial catalyst with full retention of the hydride ligand (Scheme III-28). The same was observed when the reaction was performed under the actual catalysis conditions, i.e. in the presence of 5 mol% of $(\text{Tp})(\text{ArN})\text{Mo(H)(PMe}_3)$. The fact that the Mo-D bond was not formed in any detectable amount indicates that the catalytic reaction proceeds without hydride transfer from molybdenum to the carbonyl.

We also found that variation of concentration of the cyclohexanone significantly changes the reaction rate. Variation of concentration of the silane did not show any significant response in the reaction rate. This may indicate that the activation of carbonyl is the rate-determining step in this process. The experimental data do not allow us, however, to propose any detailed mechanism of activation of substrates. Nevertheless, three notions can be made: 1) the substrates are activated by a Lewis acidic metal centre, 2) the molybdenum hydride ligand does not react with the substrates, and 3) the activation of carbonyl has an effect on the reaction rate.

III.2.8 Reactions of (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe3) with alcohols

The complex (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe3) quickly reacts with methanol with the formation of the methoxy derivative (Tp)(ArN)Mo(OCH3)(PMe3) and H2. Ethanol and iso-propanol do not react with (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe3), probably because of the insufficient acidity of the O-H proton. The complex is stable when dissolved in ethanol or isopropanol during several days at RT. However, the experiments with isopropanol-d6 revealed a slow H/D exchange between the Mo-H and O-D positions. We were able to prepare the fully deuterated derivative of the hydride complex (Tp)(ArN)Mo(D)(PMe3) by dissolving the hydride complex in neat isopropanol-d6 and evaporating the solvent when the hydride was fully substituted by deuterium.

III.2.9 Reaction of (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe3) with benzonitrile

The molybdenum hydride complex (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe3) cleanly reacts with benzonitrile, producing the vinylidenamido derivative (Tp)(ArN)Mo(-N=CHPh)(PMe3)
(Scheme III-29). The product was isolated in 97% yield and characterized by NMR, IR and elemental analysis.

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Scheme III-29. Reaction of } & \text{(Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe}_3\text{) with benzonitrile.} \\
\text{Complex } & \text{(Tp)(ArN)Mo(-N=CHPh)(PMe}_3\text{) did not react with PhSiH}_3 \text{ under heating at 50 °C. Neither was there any reaction when BPh}_3 \text{ was added to pull off the phosphine ligand.}
\end{align*}
\]

However, the excess of benzaldehyde (~5 eq.) substitutes the phosphine ligand and gives the \(\eta^2\)-benzaldehyde adduct.

\[
\text{Scheme III-30. Reaction of } \text{(Tp)(ArN)Mo(-N=CHPh)(PMe}_3\text{) with benzaldehyde.}
\]

The formulation of (Tp)(ArN)Mo(-N=CHPh)(\(\eta^2\)-PhCHO) as a \(\eta^2\)-aldehyde complex is evidenced from the observation of an upfield shifted –CHO proton at 5.37 ppm in the \(^1\)H NMR spectrum.
III. 3 Hydrosilylation catalyzed by (PPh₃)CuH

As previously discussed, we unexpectedly found that carbonyl hydrosilylation may be catalyzed by (Cp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃) and (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃) without a direct participation of the hydride ligand. The latter merely played the role of a spectator group and did not react with either carbonyl or silane. Electrophilic activation of hydrosilylation catalysis by metal hydrides has never been mentioned in the literature. It is generally believed that hydrosilylation of carbonyls catalyzed by transition metal complexes proceeds via a sequence of steps involving the reactions of a metal hydride with substrates, with the key M-H functionality being either part of the pre-catalyst or being formed in situ upon the reaction with silane. For instance, Sato³⁵, Nakano³⁶, Buchwald²ᵇ, Mimoun⁴¹, Toste¹⁰ᵃ, Abu-Omar¹⁰ᵇ, Berke⁶⁵, Lipshutz⁷⁸, Mindiola⁷ᶜ, and Guan⁷ᵇ described hydrosilylation catalysis as a reaction of a metal hydride with carbonyl to form an alkoxy complex followed by the reaction with silane to regenerate the initial metal hydride complex and yield the product of hydrosilylation. We decided to investigate if other transition metal complexes may also catalyze hydrosilylation without the direct reaction of the metal hydride with substrates.

The copper hydride complex CuH(PPh₃), known as the Stryker reagent, catalyzes hydrosilylation of carbonyls.⁷⁸ The mechanism of hydrosilylation was proposed by Lipshutz et al.⁷⁸ It was suggested that the copper hydride reacts with carbonyl to form an alkoxy complex followed by a metathesis reaction with silane (Scheme III-31) to give the initial catalyst and the hydrosilylation product. Formation of an intermediate copper alkoxy complex was proposed but it was not observed.
First of all, we decided to find out if the formation of the proposed alkoxide intermediate could be achieved in the individual reaction between (PPh₃)CuH and benzaldehyde. The Stryker's reagent has been freshly prepared by the reaction of CuCl with t-BuOK and PhMe₂SiH in the presence of triphenylphosphine in 47% yield. The Cu-H peak was clearly observed at 3.62 ppm as a broad singlet. The reaction of (PPh₃)CuH with benzaldehyde afforded formation of the alkoxy complex (PPh₃)CuOCH₂Ph in 65% NMR yield with a relatively large amount of a black precipitate (presumably metallic copper). The methylene protons of the alkoxy complex, Cu-OCH₂Ph, were observed at 5.23 ppm and their identity was proved by ¹³C DEPT(135), ¹H-¹³C HSQC and ¹H-¹³C HMBC NMR experiments. When an equimolar amount of PhMe₂SiH was added to the resulting solution, the formation of silyl ether, PhCH₂OSiMe₂Ph, was observed immediately by ¹H NMR (Scheme III-32).

Scheme III-31. Lipshutz mechanism of hydrosilylation of carbonyls catalyzed by CuH(PPh₃).

Scheme III-32. Reaction between (PPh₃)CuH and PhCHO to give (PPh₃)CuOCH₂Ph followed by the reaction with PhMe₂SiH.

In the original procedure, a suspension of CuCl, t-BuOK and PPh₃ in toluene was treated with molecular hydrogen (H₂).
The copper hydride was regenerated as a mixture of $[\text{CuH}]_x$ species characterized by the CuH signals at 2.55, 3.14, and 3.63 ppm.

Therefore, we have demonstrated that the Stryker’s reagent may individually react with benzaldehyde with the formation of the alkoxy complex $(\text{PPh}_3)\text{CuOCH}_2\text{Ph}$, which previously was not reported in the literature. The alkoxy complex was individually treated with silane to give a mixture of oligomeric $[\text{CuH}]_x$ species and the hydrosilylation product PhCH$_2$OSiMe$_2$Ph. Based on these observations alone, it could be proposed that indeed the hydrosilylation of benzaldehyde catalyzed by $(\text{PPh}_3)\text{CuH}$ could proceed via the Lipshutz mechanism (Scheme III-31).

We decided then to study the hydrosilylation catalysis with the deuterated silane PhMe$_2$SiD in order to verify the proposed hydride mechanism.

When mixed with PhMe$_2$SiD, complex $(\text{PPh}_3)\text{CuH}$ does not show any evidence of H/D scrambling or any other reaction even after several days at RT. When $(\text{PPh}_3)\text{CuH}$ was mixed with equimolar amounts of PhCHO and PhMe$_2$SiD, the formation of PhCHDOSiMe$_2$Ph as a sole product was observed within one hour at RT. The reaction was monitored by $^1$H NMR (Figure III-4, Figure III-5). At the end of the reaction, we observed the signal of CuH at 3.62 ppm integrated as one and the peak at 4.69 ppm due to the hydrosilylation product PhCHDOSiMe$_2$Ph. The $^2$H NMR spectrum of the resulting mixture showed no incorporation of deuterium into the Stryker’s reagent but presented only the peak at 4.74 ppm corresponding to PhCHDOSiMe$_2$Ph (Figure III-6). Finally, the hydrosilylation of PhCHO by PhMe$_2$SiD was carried out in the presence of 1.3 mol% of $(\text{PPh}_3)\text{CuH}$. When the reaction was 97% complete, we were still able to observe the CuH signal at 3.61 ppm (Figure III-7). We therefore conclude that the hydride mechanism of hydrosilylation catalysis proposed by Lipshutz (Scheme III-31) does not occur.

Our experiments employing the deuterated silane have provided evidence that the copper hydride CuH does not react with either of the substrates during the catalysis. We tentatively postulate that the latter were just activated at the Lewis acidic metal center. However, we think that the presence of hydride is critical for catalysis due to its very small size it provides a coordination space for the substrate (especially in such a bulky molecule as $[(\text{PPh}_3)\text{CuH}]_6$).
Hydrosilylation of other substrates

Unfortunately, copper catalyzed hydrosilylation of acetophenone, cyclohexanone and benzonitrile by either PhMe₂SiH or PhSiH₃ was not observed. Attempts to promote the catalysis by heating resulted in the catalyst decomposition (possibly due to disproportionation of Cu(I) into Cu(0) and Cu(II)).
Figure III-4. Stoichiometric reaction between CuH(PPh$_3$), PhCHO and PhMe$_2$SiD (29% conversion)
Figure III-5. Stoichiometric reaction between CuH(PPh₃), PhCHO and PhMe₂SiD (95% conversion)
**Figure III-6.** The end of reaction between CuH(PPh₃), PhCHO and PhMe₂SiD.

²H NMR spectrum (top), and ¹H NMR spectrum (bottom)
Figure III-7. Hydrosilylation of PhCHO with PhMe₂SiD in the presence of catalytic amounts (~5 mol%) of CuH(PPh₃).
III. 4 Hydrosilylation catalyzed by oxo-Re(V) complexes

We successfully demonstrated that the hydrosilylation of carbonyls catalyzed by (PPh₃)CuH does not proceed via formation of a copper alkoxy complex in contrast to what was proposed by Lipshutz et al.⁷⁸ We decided then to extend our knowledge of the hydrosilylation catalysis to other catalytic systems, specifically on the high-valent oxo-Re complexes reported by Toste et al.¹⁰ᵃ and Abu-Omar et al.¹⁰ᵇ.

Toste et al. reported that complex (PPh₃)₂(I)Re(O)₂ catalyzes the hydrosilylation of carbonyls and proposed a new reaction mechanism based on Si-H addition to the oxo-group to give a hydride catalyst (Scheme III-33).

We decided to find out if carbonyl insertion into the Re-H bond actually takes place in this catalysis.

The complex (PPh₃)₂(I)(O)Re(H)(OSiMe₂Ph) was prepared according to Toste’s procedure.¹⁰ᵃ It is characterized by the Re-H triplet \( (J = 14 \text{ Hz}) \) at 6.37 ppm in the \(^1\text{H}\) NMR spectrum taken in CD₂Cl₂ (Figure III-8). When the complex was mixed with PhCHO (1.5 eq.) and PhMe₂SiD (1.5 eq.), the formation of PhCHDOSiMe₂Ph was observed (Figure III-9). At the end of catalysis (approximately after one hour at RT), the rhenium hydride was present in the reaction mixture (Figure III-10). According to integration, 81% of hydride was retained at the Re centre, and 19% was replaced by...
deuterium. The H/D scrambling could be the cumulative result of a slow Re-H/Si-D exchange previously observed by Toste et al. and the competitive hydrosilylation by the hydride mechanism.\textsuperscript{10a} The evidence that the hydride was not fully substituted by deuterium indicates that complex (PPh\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{2}(I)(O)Re(H)(OSiMe\textsubscript{2}Ph) can catalyze the hydrosilylation of carbonyls without the involvement of the hydride (Scheme III-34). Toste and co-workers carried out a thorough mechanistic investigation of the hydrosilylation catalysis\textsuperscript{10a} but did not attempt to model the overall catalytic cycle by the proposed sequence of stoichiometric reactions.

\begin{equation}
\text{PhMe}_2\text{SiO} + \text{PhMe}_2\text{SiD} + \text{PhCHO} \rightarrow \text{PhMe}_2\text{SiO} + \text{PhCHDOSiMe}_2\text{Ph}
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
\text{PhMe}_2\text{SiO} + \text{PhMe}_2\text{SiD} \rightarrow \text{PhMe}_2\text{SiO} + \text{PhMe}_2\text{SiH}
\end{equation}

\textbf{Scheme III-34.} 1:1:1 reaction between (PPh\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{2}(I)(O)Re(H)(OSiMe\textsubscript{2}Ph), PhMe\textsubscript{2}SiD and PhCHO.

Abu-Omar et al. worked on a similar Re system, (PPh\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{2}ReOCl\textsubscript{3}, and showed that it can catalyze the hydrosilylation of aldehydes, albeit at much slower rate than Toste’s system.\textsuperscript{10b} Their mechanistic studies demonstrated that the complex (PPh\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{2}ReOCl\textsubscript{3} is a pre-catalyst and must be activated by a reaction with silane. The observable product of this reaction was the hydride (PPh\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{2}Re(H)OCl\textsubscript{2}. Despite the obvious similarity between (PPh\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{2}Re(H)OCl\textsubscript{2} and the Toste’s hydride (PPh\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{2}(I)(O)Re(H)(OSiMe\textsubscript{2}Ph), mechanistic studies by Abu-Omar et al. do not support the idea that catalysis by (PPh\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{2}Re(H)(=O)Cl\textsubscript{2} is the dominant reaction pathway. Although complex (PPh\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{2}Re(H)OCl\textsubscript{2} forms the alkoxy complex (PPh\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{2}Re(OCH\textsubscript{2}Ph)(=O)Cl\textsubscript{2} in a stoichiometric reaction with benzaldehyde, kinetic modeling of the rate of catalysis shows a significantly slower process than the actual catalysis. Abu-Omar et al. suggested an alternative mechanism of hydrosilylation.
of carbonyls, based on intermediate formation of a $\eta^2$-silane complex $(\text{PPh}_3)_2\text{Re}(\eta^2$-
$\text{HSiR}_3)(=\text{O})\text{Cl}_2$ and nucleophilic abstraction of the silylium ion by the carbonyl (ionic
hydrosilylation).

We decided to investigate if complex $(\text{PPh}_3)_2\text{Re}(\text{H})\text{OCl}_2$ can catalyze hydrosilylation
without the formation of an alkoxy complex. The rhenium(V) hydride complex
$(\text{PPh}_3)_2\text{Re}(\text{H})\text{OCl}_2$ was prepared according to the published protocol. \(^\text{10b}\) We also prepared
the deuterated analogue $(\text{PCy}_3)_2\text{Re}(\text{D})\text{OCl}_2$ (Scheme III-35).

![Diagram](image-url)

**Scheme III-35.** Preparation of $(\text{PPh}_3)_2\text{Re}(\text{H})\text{OCl}_2$ and $(\text{PCy}_3)_2\text{Re}(\text{D})\text{OCl}_2$.

When complex $(\text{PPh}_3)_2\text{Re}(\text{H})\text{OCl}_2$ was mixed with $\text{Et}_3\text{SiD}$ (1 eq.) and $\text{PhCHO}$ (1 eq.),
the formation of the alkoxy complex $(\text{PPh}_3)_2\text{Re}(\text{OCH}_2\text{Ph})\text{OCl}_2$ was observed by $^1\text{H}$ and
$^{31}\text{P}$ NMR. The silyl ether, $\text{PhCH}_2\text{OSiEt}_3$, was also present in the reaction mixture in
minor amounts. The reaction was very slow. After approximately one week at RT, the
reaction mixture mostly consisted of the alkoxy complex $(\text{PPh}_3)_2\text{Re}(\text{OCH}_2\text{D}_y\text{Ph})\text{OCl}_2$ and
the silyl ether, with even deuterium scrambling on all positions.

![Diagram](image-url)

**Scheme III-36.** Reaction between $(\text{PPh}_3)_2\text{Re}(\text{H})\text{OCl}_2$ and benzaldehyde (1 eq.) in the
presence of $\text{Et}_3\text{SiD}$ (1 eq.).
Therefore our results do not allow us to differentiate between the hydride and possible nonhydride mechanisms.

A similar result was obtained when (PCy$_3$)$_2$Re(D)OCl$_2$ was used as the catalyst. When it was added to a mixture of propional (1 eq.) and Et$_3$SiH (1 eq.), the only reaction observed was a slow formation of the alkoxy complex (PCy$_3$)$_2$Re(OCHDCH$_2$CH$_3$)OCl$_2$.

Scheme III-37. Reaction between (PCy$_3$)$_2$Re(D)OCl$_2$ and propanal (1 eq.) in the presence of Et$_3$SiH (1 eq.).

Activation of substrates without carbonyl insertion across the Re-D bond was not observed. We conclude that the only likely mechanism of carbonyl hydrosilylation catalyzed by (PCy$_3$)$_2$Re(H)OCl$_2$ and (PPh$_3$)$_2$Re(H)OCl$_2$ involves the formation of an alkoxy complex followed by the reaction with silane to give the silyl ether and the initial catalyst.$^{10b}$

In addition, we found that complex (PCy$_3$)$_2$Re(D)OCl$_2$ may undergo a slow H/D exchange with Et$_3$SiH at elevated temperatures, which was not previously reported by Abu-Omar et al. (Scheme III-38).

Scheme III-38. H/D exchange between (PCy$_3$)$_2$Re(D)OCl$_2$ and Et$_3$SiH.
Figure III-8. $^1$H NMR spectrum of (PPh$_3$)$_2$(I)(O)Re(H)(OSiMe$_2$Ph) in CDCl$_3$
**Figure III-9.** Reaction between PhCHO, PhMe₂SiD and (PPh₃)₂(I)(O)Re(H)(OSiMe₂Ph) in CDCl₃ (77% conversion)
Figure III-10. Reaction between PhCHO, PhMe₂SiD and (PPh₃)₂(O)Re(H)(OSiMe₂Ph) in CDCl₃ (100% conversion)
III. 5 Hydrosilylation catalyzed by Zn(II)

Our attention was then drawn to Zn(II) as a possible catalyst of carbonyl hydrosilylation. Mimoun previously reported that a mixture of zinc 2-ethylhexanoate and NaBH₄ mediates the hydrosilylation of a variety of aldehydes and ketones. The proposed catalytic cycle involves the *in situ* generation of zinc(II) hydride species followed by the formation of a putative pentavalent dihydrosilicate intermediate, and hydride transfer to the carbonyl group via a concerted six-membered transition state to form a zinc alkoxy complex (Scheme III-39).

All intermediates in the catalytic cycle were merely hypothetical and were never observed or characterized. We decided to extend our mechanistic studies with the isotopically labeled reagents to this system in order to obtain more information about the mechanism of hydrosilylation catalyzed by Zn(II) species.

The active catalyst species were prepared according to the Mimoun’s protocol by mixing the zinc 2-ethylhexanoate and NaBD₄ in tert-butyl methyl ether as a solvent. Benzaldehyde and PMHS were added to the resulting solution (Figure III-11).
Figure III-11. A mixture of PhCHO and PMHS in the presence of zinc 2-ethylhexanoate and NaBD₄.

Heating the reaction mixture at 70 °C for several days resulted in the formation of new products identified by peaks at 3.78-3.85 and 4.90-4.97 ppm in ¹H NMR (Figure III-12). The ²H NMR spectrum also showed the presence of signals at 3.82 and 4.79-4.98 ppm (Figure III-13). Establishing the nature of the products was not our primary goal, as we aimed to check the possibility of H/D scrambling between the reagents and the products. We believe that the signals at 4.90-4.97 ppm correspond to the products of hydrosilylation, PhCHₓDᵧOPMHS.⁶ Signals at 3.78-3.85 ppm correspond to some unidentified side-products. Hydrosilylation catalyzed by zinc salts may result in the formation of a large number of carbonyl condensation products, as previously reported by Calas.⁶ᵃ

---

⁶ The silyl benzyl ether PhCH₂OPMHS was characterized in our studies by the –CH₂- signal at ~4.9 ppm.
Figure III-12. $^1$H spectrum of the reaction mixture of PhCHO, PMHS, zinc 2-ethylhexanoate and NaBD$_4$ in tert-butyl methyl ether.

Figure III-13. $^2$H spectrum of the reaction mixture of PhCHO, PMHS, zinc 2-ethylhexanoate and NaBD$_4$ in tert-butyl methyl ether.
PMHS also exchanged its Si-H protons with the deuterium atoms of NaBD₄: see the corresponding signals at 4.78 ppm in ¹H NMR (Figure III-12) and 4.79 ppm in ²H NMR (Figure III-13).

Our studies provided evidence that deuterium incorporates into the products, consistent with Scheme III-39. However, the use of labeled reagents did not elucidate the mechanism of zinc(II) catalyzed carbonyl hydrosilylation as significant H/D scrambling was observed.
III. 6 Hydrosilylation catalyzed by (ArN)Mo(H)(Cl)(PMe₃)₃

The hydrosilylation of benzaldehyde catalyzed by (ArN)Mo(H)(Cl)(PMe₃)₃ was previously studied by Andrey Khalimon and Eric Peterson from our group. It was shown that benzaldehyde replaces the phosphine ligand trans to the hydride to give the η²-coordinate benzaldehyde derivative (ArN)Mo(H)(η²-PhCHO)(Cl)(PMe₃)₂ followed by its slow re-arrangement into the benzyloxy triphosphine complex (ArN)Mo(OCH₂Ph)(Cl)(PMe₃)₃ and then, by the reaction with silane (Scheme III-40).

![Scheme III-40. Proposed catalytic cycle of hydrosilylation of benzaldehyde by PhSiH₃ catalyzed by (ArN)Mo(H)(Cl)(PMe₃)₃.](image)

Given the possibility of new reaction pathways discussed in this Thesis, we decided to revisit the investigation of this system.

III.6.1 Reactions (ArN)Mo(H)(Cl)(PMe₃)₃ with benzaldehyde

As previously reported, complex (ArN)Mo(H)(Cl)(PMe₃)₃ reacts with a stoichiometric amount of benzaldehyde to give two isomers of (ArN)Mo(H)(η²-PhCHO)(Cl)(PMe₃)₂, formed in the 5:1 mol ratio. These isomers give rise to characteristic ¹H NMR signals at 5.41 ppm (d, \(J_{H-P} = 13.3\) Hz, C-H, CHO, PhCHO, minor isomer) and 5.77 ppm (vt, \(J_{H-P} = 2.7\) Hz, C-H, CHO, PhCHO, major isomer). The C-H
identity of the signal at 5.41 ppm was verified by a correlation with the characteristic carbon signal at 87.5 ppm in the $^1$H-$^{13}$C HSQC NMR spectrum (Figure III-14).

![Figure III-14. $^1$H-$^{13}$C HSQC spectrum of the reaction mixture containing two isomers of (ArN)Mo(H)(η²-PhCHO)(Cl)(PMe₃)₂.](image)

The two isomers presumably correspond to two different orientations of the η²-coordinated benzaldehyde: “up” and “down” isomers. In addition, we found by Selective ge-1D EXSY NMR experiments that two isomers are in a very fast exchange, and they exchange the η²-coordinated benzaldehyde with free PhCHO (Figure V-78, Figure V-79, Figure V-80).
Scheme III-41. Exchange between two isomers of (ArN)Mo(H)(η²-PhCHO)(Cl)(PMe₃)₂.

We propose that the "down" isomer is thermodynamically more stable and is the major isomer. Position of the benzene ring of PhCHO opposite to the imido group minimizes steric repulsions within the molecule.

Two PMe₃ ligands are also in a fast exchange (Figure V-81, Figure V-82). However, they do not exchange with the external phosphine on the EXSY NMR time scale. It is likely that dissociation of aldehyde forms a five-coordinate intermediate in which the exchange of phosphines may take place.

Complex (ArN)Mo(H)(η²-PhCHO)(Cl)(PMe₃)₂ is not stable and either decomposes (in the absence of the external phosphine) or re-arranges into the triphosphine benzyloxy complex (ArN)Mo(OCH₂Ph)(Cl)(PMe₃)₃. Additionally, we found that in the presence of the large excess of external aldehyde (~10 eq.) complex (ArN)Mo(H)(η²-PhCHO)(Cl)(PMe₃)₂ gives (ArN)Mo(OCH₂Ph)(η²-PhCHO)(Cl)(PMe₃) (Scheme III-42).

Scheme III-42. Formation of (ArN)Mo(OCH₂Ph)(η²-PhCHO)(Cl)(PMe₃).

We determined the rate constants of the re-arrangement of (ArN)Mo(H)(η²-PhCHO)(Cl)(PMe₃)₂ into (ArN)Mo(OCH₂Ph)(η²-PhCHO)(Cl)(PMe₃) in the presence of 10 eq. PhCHO at four temperatures: \( k(10.0 \, ^{\circ}C) = (3.77 \pm 0.02) \times 10^{-5} \, s^{-1} \), \( k(18.0 \, ^{\circ}C) = (1.57 \pm 0.03) \times 10^{-4} \, s^{-1} \), \( k(23.4 \, ^{\circ}C) = (3.02 \pm 0.02) \times 10^{-4} \, s^{-1} \), and \( k(34.0 \, ^{\circ}C) = (3.12 \pm 0.05) \times 10^{-3} \, s^{-1} \). Activation parameters were extracted from the Eyring plot (Figure...
V-76): \( \Delta H^\ddagger = 131 \pm 11 \text{ kJ/mol} \), \( \Delta S^\ddagger = 133 \pm 38 \text{ J/(K\cdot mol)} \). The large positive value of the entropy of activation suggests that the rate-determining step is dissociative. We believe that the reaction begins with hydride transfer to the coordinated benzaldehyde to give the benzyloxy group followed by dissociation of a phosphine ligand and coordination of the external benzaldehyde.

We determined that conversion of \((\text{ArN})\text{Mo}(\text{H})(\eta^2-\text{PhCHO})(\text{Cl})(\text{PMe}_3)_2\) into \((\text{ArN})\text{Mo}(\text{OCH}_2\text{Ph})(\eta^2-\text{PhCHO})(\text{Cl})(\text{PMe}_3)\) does not depend on the concentration of the external aldehyde (see Figure V-77). The reaction rate did not change when 5, 10 or 15 eq. of PhCHO were used. However, the different amounts of free PhCHO affected the ratio of the two major products, \((\text{ArN})\text{Mo}(\text{OCH}_2\text{Ph})(\text{Cl})(\text{PMe}_3)_3\) and \((\text{ArN})\text{Mo}(\text{OCH}_2\text{Ph})(\eta^2-\text{PhCHO})(\text{Cl})(\text{PMe}_3)\).

Complex \((\text{ArN})\text{Mo}(\text{OCH}_2\text{Ph})(\eta^2-\text{PhCHO})(\text{Cl})(\text{PMe}_3)\) exchanges the coordinated benzaldehyde with free PhCHO (Figure V-89, Figure V-90). However, no exchange between the phosphine ligand and the free \(\text{PMe}_3\) was observed (Figure V-88).

The dissociation of coordinated benzaldehyde results in exchange between the diastereotopic protons of the benzyloxy group (Scheme III-43), which was followed by NMR.

![Scheme III-43. Exchange of diastereotopic protons in \((\text{ArN})\text{Mo}(\text{OCH}_2\text{Ph})(\eta^2-\text{PhCHO})(\text{Cl})(\text{PMe}_3)\).](image)

The rate constants were measured at four different temperatures: \(k(18.1 \text{ °C}) = (5.60 \pm 0.08) \cdot 10^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1} \), \(k(22.0 \text{ °C}) = (1.378 \pm 0.007) \text{ s}^{-1} \), \(k(26.0 \text{ °C}) = (2.61 \pm 0.05) \text{ s}^{-1} \), and \(k(30.0 \text{ °C}) = (5.01 \pm 0.04) \text{ s}^{-1} \). The activation parameters suggest that the mechanism of the exchange is dissociative: \(\Delta H^\ddagger = 131 \pm 8 \text{ kJ/mol} \), \(\Delta S^\ddagger = 200 \pm 27 \text{ J/(K\cdot mol)} \).
III.6.2 Reaction between (ArN)Mo(OCH2Ph)(Cl)(PMe3)3 and PhSiH3: kinetic studies

Previous studies in our group showed that the reaction between (ArN)Mo(OCH2Ph)(Cl)(PMe3)3 and PhSiH3 regenerates the initial hydride (ArN)Mo(H)(Cl)(PMe3)3 and gives the silyl ether PhCH2OSiH2Ph (Scheme III-40). When PhSiD3 is used, the reaction provides the exclusive formation of (ArN)Mo(D)(Cl)(PMe3)3. The reaction rate constants were found under the pseudo-first order conditions (5 eq. of silane, 30 eq. of PMe3) at 10 °C: k(PhSiH3) = (4.98 ± 0.02)·10^-4 s^-1, kD(PhSiD3) = (5.20 ± 0.02)·10^-4 s^-1. The negligible kinetic isotope effect KIE = 0.96 may indicate that the reaction transition state does not involve a significant activation of silane Si-H bond. Most likely the reaction proceeds via a heterolytic splitting of silane on the Mo-O bond rather than via the formation of a silane σ-complex and/or the oxidative addition of silane to give a Mo(VI) species (the latter case would provide a primary KIE). The reaction rate constant is inversely proportional to the PMe3 concentration, which suggests the pre-dissociation of phosphine as depicted in Scheme III-44.

Scheme III-44. Proposed mechanism for the reaction between (ArN)Mo(OCH2Ph)(Cl)(PMe3)3 and PhSiH3.

A large excess of PMe3 in the reaction mixture was necessary to provide the clean formation of (ArN)Mo(H)(Cl)(PMe3)3.
III.6.3 Reactivity of (ArN)Mo(OCH$_2$Ph)(Cl)(PMe$_3$)$_3$ with aldehydes

Our recent results show that under actual catalytic conditions (e.g., 10 times excess of benzaldehyde) the complex (ArN)Mo(OCH$_2$Ph)(Cl)(PMe$_3$)$_3$ can be converted into the benzyloxy benzaldehyde complex (ArN)Mo($\eta^2$-PhCHO)(OCH$_2$Ph)(Cl)(PMe$_3$). The reaction is reversible. Evaporation of the excessive amount of benzaldehyde and addition of 10 eq. of PMe$_3$ regenerates the initial benzyloxy triphosphine complex (Scheme III-45).

![Scheme III-45. Reversible formation of (ArN)Mo($\eta^2$-PhCHO)(OCH$_2$Ph)(Cl)(PMe$_3$) from (ArN)Mo(OCH$_2$Ph)(Cl)(PMe$_3$)$_3$.](image)

We found that, when treated with pivalaldehyde (1.3 eq.), the complex (ArN)Mo(OCH$_2$Ph)(Cl)(PMe$_3$)$_3$ regenerates the free benzaldehyde (Figure III-15).

![Figure III-15. Formation of free benzaldehyde when complex (ArN)Mo(OCH$_2$Ph)(Cl)(PMe$_3$)$_3$ reacts with t-BuCHO. $^1$H NMR spectrum.](image)
This reaction is likely initiated by phosphine dissociation and coordination of the external aldehyde to the metal centre followed by hydride transfer from the methylene group of the alkoxy ligand to the carbonyl group. We failed to determine the exact products from this reaction due to complexity of the NMR spectra.
III.6.4 Reactivity of (ArN)Mo(H)(Cl)(PMe₃)₃ with ketones

We also investigated the reactivity of complex (ArN)Mo(H)(Cl)(PMe₃)₃ toward ketones. Cyclohexanone and benzophenone, similarly to benzaldehyde, react with (ArN)Mo(H)(Cl)(PMe₃)₃ and give the ketoxy derivatives, (ArN)Mo(OC₆)(Cl)(PMe₃)₃ and (ArN)Mo(OC(Me)Ph)(Cl)(PMe₃)₃, respectively (Scheme III-46).

The complex (ArN)Mo(OC₆)(Cl)(PMe₃)₃ was isolated in 51% yield and characterized by NMR and X-ray diffraction analysis (Table VI-3, Chapter VI). The molecular structure of (ArN)Mo(OC₆)(Cl)(PMe₃)₃ shows that the Mo atom is in the octahedral environment (Scheme III-15). The cyclohexoxy ligand is laying trans- to the imido group (O1-Mo1-N1 angle is 175.99(11)°, Table III-7). That geometry minimizes the steric repulsion in the molecule and is consistent with the strong trans-influence of the imido group.\textsuperscript{142}
Figure III-16. ORTEP plot for the molecular structure of (ArN)(CyO)Mo(Cl)(PMe₃)₃. Anisotropic displacement parameters are plotted at 50% probability.

Table III-7. Selected bond distances (Å) and angles (°) for (ArN)(CyO)Mo(Cl)(PMe₃)₃

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>distances, Å</th>
<th>angles, °</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mo1-N1</td>
<td>1.786(3)</td>
<td>Mo1-N1-Cl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mo1-O1</td>
<td>1.984(2)</td>
<td>N1-Mo1-O1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mo1-Cl1</td>
<td>2.5462(8)</td>
<td>P1-Mo1-Cl1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mo1-P1</td>
<td>2.4762(9)</td>
<td>P2-Mo1-P3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mo1-P2</td>
<td>2.5524(9)</td>
<td>N1-Mo1-Cl1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mo1-P3</td>
<td>2.5072(9)</td>
<td>N1-Mo1-P2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
We were unable to observe the possible $\eta^2$-ketone intermediate in this reaction. This fact suggests that the re-arrangement of such a $\eta^2$-ketone complex into the alkoxy derivatives proceeds faster than its formation.
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Scheme III-47. Proposed mechanism of formation of ketoxy derivatives of \((\text{ArN})\text{Mo(}\text{H}\text{)(Cl)(PMe}_3\text{)}\).

The complex \((\text{ArN})\text{Mo(}\text{OCy}\text{)(Cl)(PMe}_3\text{)})_3\) undergoes phosphine dissociation, which was observed directly in $^1\text{H}$ NMR spectrum by the appearance of a signal at 0.90 ppm and in the $^{31}\text{P}$ NMR by the peak at 61 ppm. All the bound phosphine ligands are in a very fast exchange with the free PMe$_3$, as evidenced by the 2D $^{31}\text{P}$-$^{31}\text{P}$ EXSY NMR (Figure V-69) and by Selective ge-$^{1}$D EXSY NMR (Figure V-70, Figure V-71). Judging by the peak intensities in the 2D EXSY spectrum, the cis-phosphines dissociate more easily than the trans-phosphine ligand, consistent with the stronger phosphine trans-effect as compare with chloride trans-effect.

The cyclohexoxy complex \((\text{ArN})\text{Mo(}\text{OCy}\text{)(Cl)(PMe}_3\text{)})_3\) is stable in the presence of a large excess of cyclohexanone (>20 eq.). We did not observe further formation of a $\eta^2$-cyclohexanone adduct, similar to the aldehyde complex \((\text{ArN})\text{Mo(}\text{OCH}_2\text{Ph)}\text{(}\eta^2\text{-PhCHO)}\text{(Cl)(PMe}_3\text{)})_3\). Attempts to force ketone coordination by addition of an equivalent of BPh$_3$ led to decomposition of \((\text{ArN})\text{Mo(}\text{OCy}\text{)(Cl)(PMe}_3\text{)})_3\) into a mixture of unidentifiable products and \((\text{ArN})\text{Mo(}\text{Cl}_2\text{(PMe}_3\text{)})_3\).

### III.6.5 Reaction of \((\text{ArN})\text{Mo(}\text{H}\text{)(Cl)(PMe}_3\text{)}\)_3 with PhSiH$_3$

Previous experiments with labelled silane PhSiD$_3$ revealed the exchange between Mo-H of \((\text{ArN})\text{Mo(}\text{H}\text{)(Cl)(PMe}_3\text{)}\)_3 and the Si-H protons of silane. In the presence of
PhSiD$_3$, the Mo-$H$ group is fully substituted by deuterium within one day at RT (Table III-8).

**Table III-8.** Change in the hydride intensity for (ArN)Mo(H)(Cl)(PMe$_3$)$_3$ in the presence of PhSiD$_3$ (4.2 eq.).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Mo-$H$, %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10 min</td>
<td>~91%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 hours</td>
<td>~45%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26 hours</td>
<td>~1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

When reacted with PhSiH$_3$ for more than one day at RT, the hydride (ArN)Mo(H)(Cl)(PMe$_3$)$_3$ slowly decomposes into a mixture of unidentifiable products. This reaction is accompanied by silane redistribution into Ph$_2$SiH$_2$, Ph$_3$SiH and SiH$_4$.

**III.6.6 Reaction between (ArN)Mo(H)(Cl)(PMe$_3$)$_3$, PhSiD$_3$ and PhCHO**

Mixing (ArN)Mo(H)(Cl)(PMe$_3$)$_3$, PhSiD$_3$ and PhCHO in the 1:1:1 mol ratio results in quick formation of (ArN)Mo(H)(η$^2$-PhCHO)(Cl)(PMe$_3$)$_2$ followed by its slow rearrangement into the benzyloxy complex and the reaction with the silane (Scheme III-48). The reaction produced a large amount of (ArN)Mo(D)(Cl)(PMe$_3$)$_3$ as a result of the hydride insertion into the carbonyl group, consistent with our previously suggested mechanism.
We did not observe the activation of substrates without the hydride migration to the carbonyl group in this system. The ability of benzaldehyde to form easily the \( \eta^2 \)-adduct dominates this reaction.

### III.6.7 Reactions between \((\text{ArN})\text{Mo}(\text{H})(\text{Cl})(\text{PMe}_3)_3, \text{PhSiD}_3 \) and cyclohexanone

The reaction between \((\text{ArN})\text{Mo}(\text{H})(\text{Cl})(\text{PMe}_3)_3\), cyclohexanone (1 eq.) and \( \text{PhSiD}_3 \) (1 eq.) at 50% conversion (approximately 7 minutes) provided the following distribution of the components: \((\text{ArN})\text{Mo}(\text{H})(\text{Cl})(\text{PMe}_3)_3\) (63%), \((\text{ArN})\text{Mo}(\text{D})(\text{Cl})(\text{PMe}_3)_3\) (37%), \(\text{Cy(H)OSiD}_2\text{Ph}\) (19%) and \(\text{Cy(D)OSiD}_2\text{Ph}\) (31%) (Scheme III-49). In approximately one hour, the conversion of cyclohexanone was complete, and the catalyst was present as a mixture of \((\text{ArN})\text{Mo}(\text{H})(\text{Cl})(\text{PMe}_3)_3\) (44%) and \((\text{ArN})\text{Mo}(\text{D})(\text{Cl})(\text{PMe}_3)_3\) (66%).
Scheme III-49. Stoichiometric reaction between (ArN)Mo(H)(Cl)(PMe₃)₃, PhSiD₃ and cyclohexanone.

This experiment suggests the hydrosilylation of cyclohexanone may proceed via two independent mechanisms. On one hand, a fast hydride transfer from the catalyst to cyclohexanone gives the alkoxy complex (ArN)Mo(OCy)(Cl)(PMe₃)₃, which further reacts with PhSiD₃ to give CyOSiD₂Ph and (ArN)Mo(D)(Cl)(PMe₃)₃. On the other hand, the substrates do not react with the hydride ligand and are merely activated by the Lewis acidic metal center to give CyOSiD₂Ph and (ArN)Mo(H)(Cl)(PMe₃)₃ (Scheme III-50).

Scheme III-50. Hydrosilylation of cyclohexanone with PhSiD₃ mediated by (ArN)Mo(H)(Cl)(PMe₃)₃. Two concurrent mechanisms are shown.
III.6.8 Reactions between (ArN)Mo(H)(Cl)(PMe₃)₃, PhSiD₃ and acetophenone

When (ArN)Mo(H)(Cl)(PMe₃)₃ was added to a solution of acetophenone (1 eq.) and PhSiD₃ (1 eq.), the reaction was 50% complete in 3.5 hours at RT. The reaction mixture consisted of (ArN)Mo(H)(Cl)(PMe₃)₃, PhCD(CH₃)OSiD₂Ph and acetophenone. No Mo-bound deuteride was observed in the ¹H NMR spectrum. The reaction mixture was left overnight at RT. The next day, all acetophenone was converted into PhCD(CH₃)OSiD₂Ph, and the catalyst was present as a mixture of (ArN)Mo(H)(Cl)(PMe₃)₃ (80%) and (ArN)Mo(D)(Cl)(PMe₃)₃ (20%).

Since the insertion of acetophenone across the Mo-H bond requires elevated temperatures and is not observed at ambient temperatures, the hydride/ketoxide mechanism can be ruled out. The partial substitution of hydride by deuterium was caused by the slow H/D scrambling between the Mo-H and PhSiD₃ positions. We have previously established that the H/D exchange between (ArN)Mo(H)(Cl)(PMe₃)₃ and PhSiD₃ (1:1 ratio) results in the 30% substitution of Mo-H by deuterium after one day at RT. As a result, we conclude that the hydrosilylation of acetophenone catalyzed by (ArN)Mo(H)(Cl)(PMe₃)₃ proceeds exclusively via the activation of the substrate by the Lewis acidic metal center without the involvement of the Mo-H bond (Scheme III-51).

![Scheme III-51. Stoichiometric reaction between (ArN)Mo(H)(Cl)(PMe₃)₃, PhSiD₃ and acetophenone.](image)

We believe the conventional hydride mechanism is suppressed by increased steric hindrance.
Catalysis by (ArN)Mo(H)(Cl)(PMe₃)₃.

The hydrosilylation of aldehydes with PhSiH₃ catalysed by (ArN)Mo(H)(Cl)(PMe₃)₃ was previously established in our group.⁵ In the present work, we examined other substrates. In particular, we discovered hydrosilylation of cyclohexanone with PhSiH₃, and the hydrosilylation of benzaldehyde, acetophenone and cyclohexanone with polymethylhydrosiloxane (PMHS) (Table III-9). The latter reducing reagent is highly attractive due to its low cost. The best results were observed for the hydrosilylation of ketones with PMHS (100% conversion of substrate).

The use of PMHS in hydrosilylation is very advantageous because it is a safe and inexpensive polymer co-product in the silicone industry. In contrast to other reducing hydride agents, PMHS is air- and water-stable, and soluble in most organic solvents.

Table III-9. Hydrosilylation of organic substrates using (ArN)Mo(H)(Cl)(PMe₃)₃ as catalyst

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Substrate</th>
<th>Silane</th>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Reaction conditions</th>
<th>Yield, according to ¹H-NMR</th>
<th>Catalyst mol%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cy=O</td>
<td>PhSiH₃</td>
<td>CyOSiH₂Ph (CyO)₂SiHPh</td>
<td>35 min, RT</td>
<td>79%</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cy=O</td>
<td>PhSiH₃</td>
<td>CyOSiH₂Ph (CyO)₂SiHPh</td>
<td>3 h, RT</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PhCHO</td>
<td>PMHS</td>
<td>(PhCH₂O)ₓ(PMHS)</td>
<td>2 days, 50 °C</td>
<td>~50%</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PhCOCH₃</td>
<td>PMHS</td>
<td>(Ph(Me)CHO)ₓ(PMHS)</td>
<td>2 days, 50 °C</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cy=O</td>
<td>PMHS</td>
<td>(CyO)ₓ(PMHS)</td>
<td>3 hours, RT</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
III.6.10 Silyl derivatives of (ArN)Mo(H)(Cl)(PMe₃)₃: preparation and reactivity

Although the reaction (ArN)Mo(H)(Cl)(PMe₃)₃ with PhSiH₃ described above does not yield any silyl product, the reaction goes in a different direction in the presence of borane. Thus, when complex (ArN)Mo(H)(Cl)(PMe₃)₃ is mixed with equimolar amounts of PhSiH₃ and BPh₃, the dehydrogenative silylation is observed, (Scheme III-52).
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\text{Scheme III-52. Reaction of (ArN)Mo(H)(Cl)(PMe₃)₃ with PhSiH₃ and BPh₃.}

This reaction proceeds only in an open vial under the inert atmosphere of the glovebox. Under these conditions, the molecular hydrogen evolved can freely escape from the reaction mixture. In a sealed NMR tube, H₂ reversely adds with (ArN)Mo(SiH₂Ph)(Cl)(PMe₃)₂ regenerating the initial hydride complex.

The silyl complex (ArN)Mo(SiH₂Ph)(Cl)(PMe₃)₂ is a unique example of an unsaturated molybdenum(IV) complex (16 electrons in the valence electron shell of Mo). It was fully characterized by NMR. We expected it to be highly reactive towards organic compounds and briefly examined its reactivity.

In the presence of PMe₃, (ArN)Mo(SiH₂Ph)(Cl)(PMe₃)₂ forms the triphosphine derivative (ArN)Mo(SiH₂Ph)(Cl)(PMe₃)₃. Addition of one equivalent of BPh₃ reverses the reaction.

We found that the triphosphine silyl complex (ArN)Mo(SiH₂Ph)(Cl)(PMe₃)₃ can be alternatively generated from (ArN)Mo(OCy)(Cl)(PMe₃)₃ by a reaction with excess PhSiH₃ (Scheme III-53). When an equimolar amount of PhSiH₃ is used, the reaction produces a mixture of (ArN)Mo(SiH₂Ph)(Cl)(PMe₃)₃ and (ArN)Mo(H)(Cl)(PMe₃)₃.

In order to obtain the hydride complex as the sole product by this reaction, the cyclohexoxy complex must be reacted with PhSiH₃ in the presence of a large excess of PMe₃ (>10 eq.).
Scheme III-53. Preparation of (ArN)Mo(SiH₂Ph)(Cl)(PMe₃)₃ from (ArN)Mo(OCy)(Cl)(PMe₃)₃.

Both (ArN)Mo(SiH₂Ph)(Cl)(PMe₃)₂ and (ArN)Mo(SiH₂Ph)(Cl)(PMe₃)₃ are relatively unstable compounds. They can be prepared in microscale amount in a separate vial in the glovebox or generated in situ in an NMR tube. Attempts to prepare these complexes in a large-scale amount were unsuccessful due to their instability.
III. 7 Hydroboration catalyzed by (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃)

As demonstrated above, the complexes (Cp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃) and (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃) catalyze the hydrosilylation of carbonyls. The mechanistic studies suggested that at least in some cases the hydrosilylation may proceed via the conventional hydride mechanism, in which one of the key steps is the reaction with silane occurring in the Si-H heterolytic fashion. We reckoned that the more Lewis acidic boranes will facilitate this step, which may at least in some systems have a beneficial effect on the course of catalysis. To our surprise, a literature survey showed that catalytic hydroboration of carbonyls and related substrates, such as nitriles, has never been reported.

To test this hypothesis, we reacted the alkoxy complexes (Cp)(ArN)Mo(OCH₂Ph)(PMe₃) and (Tp)(ArN)Mo(OCH₂Ph)(PMe₃) with one equivalent of catecholborane. Rewardingly, the reactions cleanly generated the corresponding hydride complexes and provided the boryl ether PhCH₂OBCat. Similarly, when the complex (Tp)(ArN)Mo(η¹-N=CHPh)(PMe₃) was treated with two equivalents of catecholborane, the molybdenum hydride complex was cleanly regenerated, and the reaction yielded PhCH₂N(BCat)₂ in ~100% NMR yield. We rationalized that the hydroboration of carbonyls and nitriles could be catalyzed by (Cp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃) and (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃) by means of hydride mechanism.

Complex (Cp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃) quickly decomposes in the presence of catecholborane, and, unfortunately, the hydroboration is not observed. However, the complex (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃) indeed catalyzes the hydroboration of both carbonyls and nitriles and is perfectly stable in the presence of catecholborane. Below are given some results of our catalytic studies.

---

 Addition of an equivalent of CatBH to (Tp)(ArN)Mo(-N=CHPh)(PMe₃) provides only 50% conversion. The partially reduced product PhCH=N-BCat has never been observed.
III.7.1 Catalytic hydroboration of carbonyls

Hydroboration of carbonyls by catecholborane in the presence of 1 mol% of (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃) in benzene at ambient temperature was observed as an "immediate" reaction in most cases (Table III-10).¹

Table III-10. Hydroboration of carbonyls with catecholborane catalyzed by (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Substrate</th>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Conditions</th>
<th>NMR yield, %</th>
<th>Catalyst load, mol%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PhCHO</td>
<td>PhOBCat</td>
<td>RT, &lt; 5 min</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CyCHO</td>
<td>CyOBCat</td>
<td>RT, &lt; 5 min</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i-PrCO</td>
<td>i-PrOBCat</td>
<td>RT, &lt; 5 min</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PhCO</td>
<td>PhOBCat</td>
<td>RT, 1 d</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-MeOC₆H₄</td>
<td>p-MeOC₆H₄</td>
<td>RT, &lt; 5 min</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-NO₂C₆H₄</td>
<td>p-NO₂C₆H₄</td>
<td>RT, &lt; 5 min</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PhCO</td>
<td>PhOBCat</td>
<td>RT, 5 hr</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ Uncatalyzed hydroboration of benzaldehyde and cyclohexanone by catecholborane took approximately ~40 min. Other substrates did not react with CatBH in benzene under the mentioned conditions.
The hydroboration by pinacolborane was slower but still clean, with ~ 100% conversions for most substrates (Table III-11). We noticed that the hydroboration of \( p \)-nitroacetophenone provided low yields (Table III-10, Table III-11) due to catalyst decomposition presumably caused by the presence of the nitro group.

**Table III-11.** Hydroboration of carbonyls with pinacolborane catalyzed by \((\text{Tp})(\text{ArN})\text{Mo}(\text{H})(\text{PMe}_3)\).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Substrate</th>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Conditions</th>
<th>NMR yield, %</th>
<th>Catalyst load, mol%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ph(\equiv\text{O})</td>
<td>Ph(\equiv\text{OBPin})</td>
<td>RT, 2.5 hrs</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ph(\equiv\text{O})</td>
<td>Ph(\equiv\text{OBPin})</td>
<td>RT, &lt; 1 hr</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ph(\equiv\text{O})</td>
<td>Ph(\equiv\text{OBPin})</td>
<td>RT, &lt; 1 hr</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cy(\equiv\text{OBPin})</td>
<td>Cy(\equiv\text{OBPin})</td>
<td>RT, &lt; 1 hr</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ph(\equiv\text{O})</td>
<td>Ph(\equiv\text{OBPin})</td>
<td>RT, 0.5 d</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(p\text{-MeO-C}_6\text{H}_4)(\equiv\text{O})</td>
<td>(p\text{-MeO-C}_6\text{H}_4)(\equiv\text{OBPin})</td>
<td>RT, 0.5 d</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(p\text{-NO}_2\text{-C}_6\text{H}_4)(\equiv\text{O})</td>
<td>(p\text{-NO}_2\text{-C}_6\text{H}_4)(\equiv\text{OBPin})</td>
<td>RT, 0.5 d</td>
<td>~50%</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ph(\equiv\text{Ph})</td>
<td>Ph(\equiv\text{Ph})</td>
<td>RT, 1 hr</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**III.7.2 Catalytic hydroboration of nitriles**

Given the fact that catalytic hydroboration of carbonyls proceeds very easily, we decided to study the hydroboration of more challenging substrates, such as nitriles. We found that the complex \((\text{Tp})(\text{ArN})\text{Mo}(\text{H})(\text{PMe}_3)\) catalyzes the hydroboration of aromatic
and aliphatic nitriles with catecholborane under relatively mild conditions (Table III-12).
The catalysis provides the exclusive formation of N,N-bis(borylamines) in high yields
(by NMR).

Table III-12. Hydroboration of nitriles with catecholborane catalyzed by
(Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Substrate</th>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Conditions</th>
<th>NMR yield</th>
<th>Catalyst load, mol%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PhCN</td>
<td>PhCH₂N(BCat)₂</td>
<td>0.5 d, RT</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH₃CN</td>
<td>CH₃CH₂N(BCat)₂</td>
<td>0.5 d, 60 °C</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(CH₃)₂CHCN</td>
<td>(CH₃)₂CHCH₂N(BCat)₂</td>
<td>0.5 d, 60 °C</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(CH₃)₃CCN</td>
<td>(CH₃)₃CCH₂N(BCat)₂</td>
<td>0.5 d, 60 °C</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CN</td>
<td>14 d, RT</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CN</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A 1:1:1 reaction between the benzonitrile, catecholborane and
(Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃) was studied by low temperature (VT) NMR in attempts to
intercept any possible intermediates or half-products especially the monoborylated nitrile
PhCH=NBCat. However, only PhCH₂N(BCat)₂ was seen in spectra.

Pinacolborane was found to be inactive in the hydroboration of nitriles, possibly
because of its lower Lewis acidity.
III.7.3 Hydroboration of amides

Primary amides, such as acetamide and benzamide, were not well soluble in benzene, and we could not identify the products of hydroboration. Secondary amides reacted with catecholborane via dehydrogenative borylation (Scheme III-54).

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\text{Ph-CONHPh} + \text{CatBH} \xrightarrow{5\% \text{ cat}, H_2} \text{Ph-CONHPh}\text{Cat}
\end{array}
\]

Scheme III-54. Dehydrogenative borylation of N-benzylbenzamide.

Hydroboration of tertiary amides was not observed.

III.7.4 Hydroboration of alkynes

Catalytic hydroboration of phenylacetylene by catecholborane results in the formation of trans-vinyl product, although competitive polymerization was also observed.

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\text{Ph-C≡CH} + \text{CatBH} \xrightarrow{5\% \text{ cat}, H_2} \text{Ph-CH=CH} + \text{polymerization}
\end{array}
\]

Scheme III-55. Catalytic hydroboration of phenylacetylene with catecholborane.

3-Hexyne (non-terminal alkyne) did not react with catecholborane in the presence of the catalyst over the extended period of time up to one month at RT.

III.7.5 Competitive hydroboration

In order to underpin any potential chemoselectivity of catalytic hydroboration, competitive experiments were undertaken.

Carbonyls vs nitriles

---

\(^j\) Solvents other than benzene or toluene (CDCl\(_3\), CD\(_2\)Cl\(_2\), THF-\(d_8\), acetone-\(d_6\)) could not be used because of the catalyst instability.
We found that carbonyls may be reduced in the presence of nitriles in high yields and chemoselectivities, keeping the nitrile groups unreacted (Table III-13).

Table III-13. Competitive hydroboration of carbonyls and nitriles by catecholborane catalyzed by (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Substrates</th>
<th>Products</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>PhCHO, PhCN</td>
<td>PhCH₂OBCat, PhCN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>p-NCC₆H₄COCH₃</td>
<td>p-NCC₆H₄CH(OBCat)CH₃</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>PhCOCH₃, PhCN</td>
<td>PhCH(OBCat)CH₃, PhCN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>PhCOCH₃, (CH₃)₂CHCN</td>
<td>PhCH(OBCat)CH₃, (CH₃)₂CHCN</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Though the hydroboration was almost 100% selective, we noticed that the reactions required longer time to proceed than the same reactions without the presence of nitrile groups. The mechanistic considerations are discussed in the subsequent paragraphs.

Alkenes vs nitriles

Catalytic hydroboration of acrylonitrile was not observed. However, we found that (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃) cleanly reacts with acrylonitrile giving the derivative (Tp)(ArN)Mo(CHMeCN)(PMe₃) (Scheme III-56). The product was fully characterized by NMR.

![Scheme III-56. Reaction of (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃) with acrylonitrile.](image)

The reaction is regio- and chemo-specific. Acrylonitrile is an α,β-conjugated system, thus, the hydride transfer proceeds to the terminal (β-) carbon atom, as expected.

The hydroboration of 3-pentenenitrile resulted in slow polymerization of the substrate. Additionally, treatment of a mixture of acetonitrile and styrene with
catecholborane in the presence of the catalyst also resulted in slow alkene polymerization and partial hydroboration of the nitrile.

**Nitriles vs alkynes**

The hydroboration of 1-hexynenitrile resulted in reduction of both the nitrile group and the triple bond. Overall, the reaction was slow and took up to two weeks to proceed. A significant polymerization of the substrate was also observed.

**Nitriles vs esters**

The hydroboration of ethyl 4-cyanobenzoate provided the reduction of the nitrile group with ~70% selectivity (Scheme III-57). The other products related to the reduction of the ester group.

![Scheme III-57. Hydroboration of ethyl 4-cyanobenzoate.](image)

**III.7.6 Mechanistic considerations**

In the presence of catecholborane, the complex \((\text{Tp})(\text{ArN})\text{Mo}(\text{H})(\text{PMe}_3)\) exchanges its hydride with the B-H protons (Scheme III-58).

![Scheme III-58. Exchange between (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe3) and CatBH.](image)
The $^1$H NMR spectrum of a solution containing catecholborane and (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe$_3$) showed the coalescence of the Mo-H doublet (3.66 ppm) with the B-H quartet into one broad singlet at 4.06 ppm at room temperature (Figure III-17). The $^{11}$B NMR spectrum showed the loss of B-H coupling (Figure III-18).

Figure III-17. A. $^1$H NMR spectrum of (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe$_3$). B. $^1$H NMR spectrum of (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe$_3$) in the presence of catecholborane.
Addition of PinBH to (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃) does not result in any visible changes in the ¹H and ¹¹B NMR spectra. However, when the deuterated derivative of the molybdenum hydride complex was mixed with PinBH (1 eq), a fast H/D scrambling occurred (Scheme III-59).
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**Scheme III-59.** Reaction between (Tp)(ArN)Mo(D)(PMe₃) and PinBH.

The ¹¹B NMR spectrum showed the presence of both PinBH and PinBD in the reaction mixture (Figure III-19).
Addition of an equivalent of a carbonyl to a mixture of (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃) and CatBH (or PinBH) provides formation of the corresponding boryl ether and (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃) (Scheme III-60).

Scheme III-60. Reaction of carbonyl with a mixture of (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃) and CatBH.

The same result is obtained when (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃) is mixed with 1 equivalent of carbonyl followed by the addition of CatBH (or PinBH).

Similarly, alkoxy derivatives of (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃) produce boryl ethers when reacting with CatBH or PinBH (Scheme III-61).
However, there is no experimental evidence that carbonyls may react quickly with \((\text{Tp})(\text{ArN})\text{Mo(H)}(\text{PMe}_3)\) at ambient temperatures to produce the alkoxy derivatives. Also, when catalytic reactions were monitored by NMR, only \((\text{Tp})(\text{ArN})\text{Mo(H)}(\text{PMe}_3)\) was present in the reaction mixture. A mechanism that involves the formation of alkoxy derivatives can be ruled out. The catalysis most likely proceeds via a nonhydride mechanism, akin to what has been discussed in the previous sections for catalytic hydrosilylation. The activation of substrates does not appear to involve hydride transfer to the carbonyl group. As one of the options, we suggested the mechanism may include the formation of a molybdenum borate complex followed by its reaction with a carbonyl in a concerted way, via a six-membered transition state (Scheme III-62).

However, we were unable to probe experimentally the existence of a concerted mechanism. The reaction of \((\text{Tp})(\text{ArN})\text{Mo(D)}(\text{PMe}_3)\) with PinBH resulted in an “immediate” H/D scrambling, which made it impossible to keep track of the original Mo-H hydride in the further reaction with the carbonyl.
The hydroboration of nitriles appears to follow the hydride mechanism. A catalytic reaction between the benzonitrile and catecholborane showed quick conversion of the initial hydride complex to the methylenamide derivative \((Tp)(ArN)Mo(-N=CHPh)(PMe_3)\), which was observed in the reaction mixture throughout the whole catalysis. Complex \((Tp)(ArN)Mo(-N=CHPh)(PMe_3)\) was individually prepared and characterized. We demonstrated that this complex reacts with two equivalents of CatBH resulting in full regeneration of the starting hydride complex and clean formation of PhCH\(_2\)N(BCat)\(_2\).

It is interesting to note that the carbonyl hydroboration is inhibited to some extent by the presence of nitriles. We found that the molybdenum hydride complex was converted to the methylenamide derivative in the catalytic mixture containing benzonitrile, acetophenone and catecholborane. A conclusion can be made that the hydroboration of acetophenone can still proceed via the Lewis acid activation of substrates by the metal centre of the methylenamide derivative. The latter is expected to be less active in carbonyl hydroboration due to its increased bulkiness and the diminished electrophilic properties of the molybdenum because of donation of the lone pair of the methyleneamide nitrogen atom to molybdenum.
III. 8 H₂/PhSiH₃ exchange mediated by metal complexes and boranes

III.8.1 Metal complexes

Metal catalyzed H/D exchange reactions can be used in the production of isotopically labeled organic compounds, which is a prospective area of research.¹⁵²

We found that a reaction between (ArN)Mo(H)(Cl)(PMe₃)₃ and PhSiH₃ in the presence of BPh₃ is reversible and leads to the formation of the molybdenum silyl complex (ArN)Mo(SiH₂Ph)(Cl)(PMe₃)₂ and molecular hydrogen H₂. Surprisingly, low-temperature (VT) EXSY NMR studies revealed a very fast proton exchange between H₂ and PhSiH₃ in the presence of (ArN)Mo(SiH₂Ph)(Cl)(PMe₃)₂. The corresponding peaks of H₂ and the silane in ¹H NMR were broad as a result of the exchange.

\[
\text{PhSiH₃} + \text{H₂} \xrightarrow{\text{fast}} \text{PhSiH₃} + \text{H₂} \quad \text{EXSY NMR}
\]
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Scheme III-63. H/Si-H exchange between H₂ and (ArN)Mo(SiH₂Ph)(Cl)(PMe₃)₂ observed by EXSY NMR.

The activation parameters extracted from kinetic measurements indicate the exchange proceeds via an associative mechanism: \(\Delta H^\ddagger = 10.7 \text{ J/mol}, \Delta S^\ddagger = -197.8 \text{ J/(K·mol)}\). Although, the detailed mechanistic picture is still unclear, the H/H exchange does not involve the hydrogenation of the Mo-Si bond, i.e. via the silane re-generation/ addition to the complex. EXSY NMR experiments showed that the proton exchange involves only H₂ and the free silane. We studied this reaction in the presence of external phenylsilane,
under conditions when there was no observable exchange in EXSY NMR between the protons of the silane and the silyl ligand.

The silane/hydrogen exchange appears to be a general phenomenon. The solutions of various deuterium-labeled silanes were studied in the presence of catalytic amounts of metal complexes under the hydrogen atmosphere. The H/D exchange was observed by the appearance of H-D and Si-H characteristic peaks in $^1$H NMR spectra. The following metal complexes were tested and demonstrated to mediate H$_2$/Si-D exchange: ZnCl$_2$ (Figure VI-1), (PPh$_3$)CuH (Figure VI-2), (ArN)Mo(H)(Cl)(PMe)$_3$ (Figure VI-3), (Cp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe$_3$) (Figure VI-4), (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe$_3$) (Figure VI-5, Figure VI-6), (ArN)Mo(Cl)$_2$(PMe)$_3$, (ArN)$_2$MoCl$_2$*DME, (PPh$_3$)$_2$(I)ReO$_2$, (PPh$_3$)$_3$ReOCl$_3$.

### III.8.2 Boranes

Given the generality of the silane/hydrogen exchange discussed above, we reckoned that such a simple Lewis acid as borane can also catalyze this unusual reaction. For instance, triphenylborane is a relatively weak Lewis acid. Nevertheless, we were able to observe a slow formation of protosilane PhSiHD$_2$ and H-D in the solution of PhSiD$_3$ under hydrogen atmosphere after one month at RT (compare Figure VI-7 and Figure VI-8). This clearly indicates that the H$_2$/Si-H exchange can be initiated in a metal-free medium by boranes. The tris(pentafluorophenyl)borane activates the exchange more effectively, and the appearance of protosilane Si-H and H-D in $^1$H NMR was observed immediately after mixing the reagents (Figure VI-9, Figure VI-10, Figure VI-11). Unfortunately, it is still unclear how this exchange proceeds. The presence of the aryl groups in silanes may not play a key role in the exchange because the exchange was observed with Et$_3$SiD as well. We considered an opportunity that dihydrogen is first being activated by aryl boranes with the formation of [C$_6$F$_5$H$^+$—BH$^-$] intermediates$^{138}$ followed by a H/H exchange between B-H and Si-H. The other option is the formation of (C$_6$F$_5$)$_2$BH$^{153}$ in catalytic amounts in the reaction mixture to initiate the exchange. The exchange may also be a result of an intermolecular bifunctional activation (Scheme III-64).
Scheme 111-64. Possible activation of the H/D exchange between silane and dihydrogen.

We intended to prove the activation of molecular hydrogen and/or silane by \((\text{C}_6\text{F}_5)_2\text{BD}\). The generation of \((\text{C}_6\text{F}_5)_2\text{BD}\) by the reaction between \((\text{C}_6\text{F}_5)_3\text{B}\) and \(\text{Et}_3\text{SiD}\) unexpectedly gives a broad peak at \(~4.0\) ppm in \(^1\text{H}\) NMR presumably due to C-H bond activation in ethyl groups of \(\text{Et}_3\text{SiD}\) since they are the only source of protons. The reaction is not clean overall and it is still under investigation. However, we found a fast H/D scrambling in the mixture of catecholborane and triethylsilane-\(d_1\) (Scheme 111-65). That could also be observed between \((\text{C}_6\text{F}_5)_2\text{BD}\) and \(\text{Et}_3\text{SiH}\). Such hydrogen exchange between boranes (BH) and silanes (SiH) has not been previously known.

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{R}_3\text{SiD} + \text{H}_2 + \text{B}(\text{C}_6\text{F}_5)_3 \\
\begin{array}{c}
\uparrow \\
\delta^+ \\
\text{R}_3\text{Si}--\text{D}--\text{H}--\text{H}--\text{B}(\text{C}_6\text{F}_5)_3
\end{array} \\
\downarrow \\
\text{R}_3\text{Si}--\text{H}--\text{B}(\text{C}_6\text{F}_5)_3 + \text{HD}
\end{align*}
\]

Scheme III-64. H/D exchange between catecholborane and triethylsilane-\(d_1\).

Piers \textit{et al.} reported that H/D exchange between different silanes is mediated by \(\text{B}(\text{C}_6\text{F}_5)_3\).\(^\text{90}\) We hereby provide evidence that such an exchange can also be observed in the presence of significantly cheaper and more affordable triphenylborane (Figure VI-12).

\[
\text{cat. BPH}_3
\begin{align*}
\text{PhSiD}_3 + \text{Et}_3\text{SiH} & \rightleftharpoons \text{PhSiD}_x\text{H}_y + \text{Et}_3\text{SiH}
\end{align*}
\]

Scheme III-66. H/D exchange between PhSiD\(_3\) and Et\(_3\)SiH.
IV Conclusions and Future Work

In the course of our studies of hydrosilylation and hydroboration catalyses, we developed a synthetic approach to the novel molybdenum (IV) imido complexes, \((\text{Cp})(\text{ArN})\text{Mo(H)(PMe}_3\text{)}\) and \((\text{Tp})(\text{ArN})\text{Mo(H)(PMe}_3\text{)}\), investigated their structural features and carried out detailed mechanistic studies of stoichiometric reactions of these complexes with various substrates. Some further work will be focused on screening of a broader variety of substrates in the hydrosilylation catalysis.

Our initial kinetic and DFT studies indicated that, unlike its isolobal precursor \((\text{ArN})\text{Mo(H)(Cl)(PMe}_3\text{)}_3\)\(^{5a}\), the complex \((\text{Cp})(\text{ArN})\text{Mo(H)(PMe}_3\text{)}\) catalyzes the hydrosilylation via an unexpected associative mechanism\(^{5b}\). The initial step of the hydrosilylation catalysis involves the insertion of benzaldehyde into the Mo—H bond to give the alkoxy derivative \((\text{Cp})(\text{ArN})\text{Mo(OC}_2\text{Ph)(PMe}_3\text{)}\). The latter was characterized by X-ray diffraction analysis. The last step in the proposed mechanism is the reaction of the alkoxy complex with phenylsilane to give the starting hydride complex and the silyl ether. We also showed that, in spite of the \(d^2\) electronic configuration of Mo in complex \((\text{Cp})(\text{ArN})\text{Mo(H)(PMe}_3\text{)}\), its reaction with PhSiH\(_3\) proceeds via an unexpected \(\sigma\)-bond metathesis mechanism that avoids the formation of an unfavourable Mo(VI) species. We, however, managed to observe the first hydrido silyl Mo(VI) derivatives when the reaction of \((\text{Cp})(\text{ArN})\text{Mo(H)(PMe}_3\text{)}\) with PhSiH\(_3\) was carried out in the presence of BPh\(_3\). A later experiment employing stoichiometric amounts of \((\text{Cp})(\text{ArN})\text{Mo(H)(PMe}_3\text{)}\), benzaldehyde and D\(_3\)-labeled phenylsilane (1:1:1 ratio) showed that the hydrosilylation actually does not involve the hydride transfer molybdenum to the carbonyl.

Analogous 1:1:1 reaction between \((\text{Tp})(\text{ArN})\text{Mo(H)(PMe}_3\text{)}\), PhSiD\(_3\) and carbonyl substrate established that the hydrosilylation is not accompanied by deuterium incorporation into the hydride position of the catalyst. Likewise, the hydrosilylation of carbonyl substrates by deuterated silane in the presence of catalytic amounts of \((\text{Tp})(\text{ArN})\text{Mo(H)(PMe}_3\text{)}\) (5 mol\%) does not result in deuterium incorporation into the hydride position. Thus, the conventional hydride mechanism based on carbonyl insertion
into the M-H bond can be reliably ruled out. As (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe3) is nonreactive to both the silane and ketone, the only mechanistic alternative we are left with is that the metal center activates the carbonyl as a Lewis acid.

The nonhydride mechanism was also established for the catalyzes by (ArN)Mo(H)(Cl)(PMe3), (Ph3P)2(I)(O)Re(H)(O$i$Me$_2$Ph) and (PPh$_3$CuH)$_6$. This work clearly demonstrated that the generally accepted hydride mechanism does not work as the major catalysis pathway in these systems. Understanding the mechanism of hydrosilylation catalysis is important for the rational design of new catalysts. Further work must be aimed at the detailed investigation of the nonhydride mechanism to reveal the features of how substrates are activated by the metal centre. For example, replacement of the hydrogen ligand with fluorine may provide related complexes, (Tp)(ArN)Mo(F)(PMe3) and (Cp)(ArN)Mo(F)(PMe3), where the fluorine atom is small enough to offer space for electrophilic activation of substrates but should be unreactive toward carbonyls, thus eliminating the possibility of side reactions such as the formation of alkoxide. The fluorine atom is a strong electron-withdrawing element, and therefore we may anticipate a significant increase in the electrophilic character of Mo. On the other hand, the possible donation of the lone pair of electrons from fluorine to metal also should be considered.

The other major objective of the present Thesis was to investigate the catalytic activity of (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe3) in hydroboration reactions. This complex effectively catalyzes hydroboration at room temperature of a wide variety of aldehydes and ketones and with the catalyst load of < 1mol%. For the first time, we reported the catalytic hydroboration of nitriles with catecholborane. Hydroboration of nitriles produces N,N-bis(borylated) amines, a very rare class of organic compounds. We intend to prepare, isolate and characterize these compounds, and study their reactivity and properties.

In terms of chemoselectivity of hydroboration, we demonstrated that aldehydes and ketones may be reduced in the presence of nitrile groups, keeping the latter unreacted. The further work will be focused on the investigation of hydroboration of a variety of other functional groups such as alkenes, alkynes, imines, imides, esters and studying the selectivity of these reaction.
The hydroboration catalysed by early transition metals is extremely rare. Little is known about the mechanism of the catalysis. We found that the mechanism of hydroboration of carbonyls and nitriles is apparently different. We propose that the hydroboration of carbonyls apparently proceeds via the Lewis-acid activation, while nitriles insert into the MoH bond. We intend to search for the possible intermediates in hydroboration reactions as well as to study their kinetics and obtain the activation parameters.

The silyl complex (ArN)Mo(SiH₂Ph)(Cl)(PMe₃)₂, an unusual example of an unsaturated molybdenum(IV) complex (16 electrons in the valence electron shell of Mo), was prepared and fully characterized by NMR. We briefly examined its reactivity. This complex is expected to be highly reactive toward organic substrates. Its reactivity towards alkenes, alkynes, carbonyls, nitriles, etc. should be examined.

Also, for the first time, we reported an unusual activation of dihydrogen by a wide range of metal complexes and boranes, which results in the exchange between H₂ and silane Si-H bonds. Our on-going investigations (stoichiometric reactions, DFT studies) are aimed at understanding the mechanistic features of this exchange. It is still unclear to us if the hydrogen exchange is a result of an intermolecular bifunctional catalysis, and if B(C₆F₅)₃ itself can mediate the hydrogen exchange between CatBH and H₂. In order to verify the Lewis acid activation of H₂ by borane, the deuterated catecholborane CatBD, or other derivatives, such as (C₆F₅)₂BD, should be prepared and their reaction with hydrogen should be studied.
V Experimental

General methods and instrumentation

All manipulations were carried out using conventional inert atmosphere glove-box (MBraun) and Schlenk techniques. Dry ether, THF, benzene, toluene and hexanes were obtained, using Grubbs-type purification columns from Innovative Technology. NMR spectra were obtained with a Bruker AV-300 and Bruker AV-600 instruments ($^1$H: 300 and 600 MHz; $^2$H: 92.1 MHz; $^{13}$C: 75.5 and 151 MHz; $^{29}$Si: 59.6 and 119.2 MHz; $^{31}$P: 121.5 and 243 MHz, $^{11}$B: 96.3 and 192.6 MHz). IR spectra were measured on a Perkin-Elmer 1600 FT-IR spectrometer. Elemental analyses were performed in "ANALEST" laboratories (University of Toronto). (ArN)Mo(H)(Cl)(PMe$_3$)$_3$ ($Ar = 2,6$-(iPr)$_2$C$_6$H$_3$) was prepared by literature procedure. PhSiH$_3$ was prepared from PhSiCl$_3$ by reaction with LiAlH$_4$. PhSiD$_3$, PhMeSiD$_2$, PhMe$_2$SiD and Et$_3$SiD were prepared from PhSiCl$_3$, PhMeSiCl$_2$, PhMe$_2$SiCl and Et$_3$SiCl, respectively, by reaction with LiAlD$_4$. Organic substrates (benzaldehyde, o-bromobenzaldehyde, acetone, acetonophenone, p-bromobenzaldehyde, p-nitroacetophenone, p-methoxyacetophenone, 2,4-dimethyl-3-pentanone, 2-methylcycloexanone, 2,6-dimethylcyclohexanone, cyclohexanone, acetone, butanone, benzophenone, (+)-camphor, benzonitrile, acetonitrile, isobutyronitrile, pivalonitrile, malonitrile, 1-hexynenitrile, acrylonitrile, 3-hexyne, triphenylphosphine, trimethylphosphine, triphenylborane, tris(pentafluorophenyl)borane, phenylacetylene, phenyl(trimethyl)silane, phenylmethylsilane, triethylsilane, poly(methylhydrosiloxane), catecholborane, pinacolborane) were purchased from Aldrich and used without further purification. All catalytic, kinetic and NMR reactions were done under nitrogen atmosphere using "Young" type NMR tubes (from NewEra) equipped with Teflon valves. The structures and the yields of all hydrosilylated products were determined by NMR analysis using tetramethylsilane as an internal standard.
V. 1. Hydrosilylation catalyzed by (Cp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PM3)

Synthesis of (Cp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PM3)
The THF solutions of (ArN)Mo(Cl)(H)(PM3)$_3$ (0.30 g, 0.56 mmol) and CpNa (0.12 g, 1.4 mmol) were mixed at RT, and the reaction mixture was stirred overnight. The solvent was removed under vacuum, and the product was extracted with hexanes giving 0.22 g of (Cp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PM3) as a dark-green solid. Yield: 95%. $^1$H-NMR (300 MHz; C$_6$D$_6$; 298K; $\delta$, ppm): -5.81 (d, $^2$J$_{H-P}$ = 33.9 Hz, 1H, Mo-H), 1.06 (d, $^2$J$_{H-P}$ = 9.0 Hz, 9H, PMe$_3$), 1.30 (d, $^3$J$_{H-H}$ = 6.9 Hz, 6H, iPr), 1.37 (d, $^3$J$_{H-H}$ = 6.9 Hz, 6H, iPr), 4.54 (sept, $^3$J$_{H-H}$ = 6.9 Hz, 2H, iPr), 4.80 (s, 5H, Cp), 7.10 (m, 3H, ArN). $^{13}$C-NMR (75.5 MHz; C$_6$D$_6$; 298 K; $\delta$, ppm): 23.7 (CH$_3$, iPr), 23.7 (d, $^2$J$_{C-P}$ = 27.5 Hz, PMe$_3$), 24.0 (CH$_3$, iPr), 28.3 (CH, iPr), 87.0 (Cp), 123.0 (Ar), 124.5 (Ar), 144.2 (Ar). $^{31}$P-NMR (121.5 MHz; C$_6$D$_6$; 298 K; $\delta$, ppm): 20.5 (s, 1P, PMe$_3$). IR (nujol, cm$^{-1}$): 1778 (Mo-H).

Hydrosilylation of benzaldehyde with phenylsilane

\[
\text{PhCHO} + \text{PhSiH}_3 \xrightarrow{5\% \text{(Cp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PM3)}} \text{(PhCH}_2\text{O)}_2\text{SiHPh} + \text{PhCH}_2\text{OSiH}_2\text{Ph} \\
\text{RT, C}_6\text{D}_6, 7\text{ h} \\
72\% \quad 28\%
\]

(Cp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PM3) (5.0 mg, 5 mol%) was added to a solution of benzaldehyde (26.0 mg, 0.242 mmol) and phenylsilane (26.2 mg, 0.242 mmol) in C$_6$D$_6$ (0.60 ml). The reaction was complete in approximately 7 hours giving (PhCH$_2$O)$_2$SiHPh (72%) and PhCH$_2$OSiH$_2$Ph (28%) as the final products.

Hydrosilylation of cyclohexanone

\[
\text{O} + \text{PhSiH}_3 \xrightarrow{5\% \text{CpMoH}} \text{(Cyclohexyl-O)$_2$SiHPh} + \text{Cyclohexyl-OSiH}_2\text{Ph} \\
50^\circ\text{C}, \text{C}_6\text{D}_6, 5\text{ d} \\
18\% \quad 36\%
\]

(Cp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PM3) (5.0 mg, 5 mol%) was added to a solution of cyclohexanone (35.0 mg, 0.356 mmol) and phenylsilane (38.6 mg, 0.356 mmol) in C$_6$D$_6$ (0.60 ml). The reaction mixture was being heated at 50 °C for five days. The reaction provided
formation of (CyO)₂SiHPh (18%) and CyOSiH₂Ph (36%) as the final products.

**Hydrosilylation of acetophenone**

\[
\text{Ph} + \text{PhSiH}_3 \xrightarrow{\text{1 Day, 50°C}} \text{C}_6\text{D}_6 \text{ no hydrosilylation}
\]

(Cp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃) (7.4 mg, 5 mol%) was added to a solution of acetophenone (42.4 mg, 0.356 mmol) and phenylsilane (38.6 mg, 0.356 mmol). The reaction mixture was heated at 50 °C for one day. Hydrosilylation of acetophenone was not observed. The catalyst reacted with the phenylsilane giving (Cp)(ArN)Mo(SiH₂Ph)(PMe₃).

**Hydrosilylation of benzaldehyde with phenylsilane catalyzed by (Cp)(ArN)Mo(OCH₂Ph)(PMe₃)**

\[
\text{PhCHO} + \text{PhSiH}_3 \xrightarrow{\text{RT, C}_6\text{D}_6, 7 \text{ h}} \text{(PhCH₂O)₂SiHPh} + \text{PhCH}_2\text{OSiH₂Ph}
\]

62% 38%

(Cp)(ArN)Mo(OCH₂Ph)(PMe₃) (6.0 mg, 5 mol%) was added to a solution of benzaldehyde (26.0 mg, 0.242 mmol) and phenylsilane (26.2 mg, 0.242 mmol) in C₆D₆ (0.60 ml). The reaction was complete in approximately 7 hours giving (PhCH₂O)₂SiHPh (62%) and PhCH₂OSiH₂Ph (38%) as the final products.

**Hydrosilylation of benzaldehyde with phenylsilane catalyzed by (Cp)(ArN)Mo(SiH₂Ph)(PMe₃)**

\[
\text{PhCHO} + \text{PhSiH}_3 \xrightarrow{\text{RT, C}_6\text{D}_6, 3 \text{ days}} \text{(PhCH₂O)₂SiHPh} + \text{PhCH}_2\text{OSiH₂Ph}
\]

32% 22%

(Cp)(ArN)Mo(SiH₂Ph)(PMe₃) (6.0 mg, 5 mol%) was added to a solution of benzaldehyde (26.0 mg, 0.242 mmol) and phenylsilane (26.2 mg, 0.242 mmol) in C₆D₆ (0.60 ml). The reaction provided formation of (PhCH₂O)₂SiHPh (32%) and PhCH₂OSiH₂Ph (22%) after three days at RT. The overall yield is 54%.
Hydrosilylation of benzaldehyde with phenylsilane catalyzed by 
(Cp)(ArN)Mo(OCH2Ph)(PhCHO)

\[ \text{PhCHO} + \text{PhSiH}_3 \xrightarrow{5\% \text{ (Cp)(ArN)Mo(OCH2Ph)(PhCHO)} \ RT, 12 \text{ h}} \rightarrow (\text{PhCH}_2\text{O})_2\text{SiHPh} + \text{PhCH}_2\text{OSiH}_2\text{Ph} \]

77% 23%

(Cp)(ArN)Mo(OCH2Ph)(PhCHO) (5.0 mg, 5 mol%) was added to a solution of benzaldehyde (26.0 mg, 0.242 mmol) and phenylsilane (26.2 mg, 0.242 mmol) in C₆D₆ (0.60 ml). Reaction was complete in approximately 12 hours giving (PhCH₂O)₂SiHPh (77%) and PhCH₂OSiH₂Ph (23%) as the final products.

The diagram below (Figure V-1) shows the change of benzaldehyde concentration in its reaction with phenylsilane in the presence of (Cp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃), (Cp)(ArN)Mo(OCH₂Ph)(PMe₃), (Cp)(ArN)Mo(OCH₂Ph)(PhCHO), and (Cp)(ArN)Mo(SiH₂Ph)(PMe₃):

**Figure V-1.** C(PhCHO)/time plot for hydrosilylation of benzaldehyde with phenylsilane in the presence of different catalytic species.
Activation parameters for phosphine exchange: \((\text{Cp})(\text{ArN})\text{Mo(H)(PMe}_3) + \text{PMe}_3\)

Trimethylphosphine (1.7 mg, 0.022 mmol) was added to a solution of \((\text{Cp})(\text{ArN})\text{Mo(H)(PMe}_3)\) (5.0 g, 0.012 mmol). Selective ge-1D EXSY NMR experiments were performed to determine the exchange rate constants at four temperatures: 

\[ k(12.0 \, ^{\circ}\text{C}) = (2.89 \pm 0.04) \times 10^1 \, \text{s}^{-1}, \]
\[ k(22.0 \, ^{\circ}\text{C}) = (4.29 \pm 0.07) \times 10^1 \, \text{s}^{-1}, \]
\[ k(32.0 \, ^{\circ}\text{C}) = (6.22 \pm 0.12) \times 10^1 \, \text{s}^{-1}, \]
\[ k(42.0 \, ^{\circ}\text{C}) = (8.56 \pm 0.15) \times 10^1 \, \text{s}^{-1}. \]

**Figure V-2.** Eyring plot for phosphine exchange between \((\text{Cp})(\text{ArN})\text{Mo(H)(PMe}_3)\) and free \(\text{PMe}_3\).

Activation parameters have been extracted from the Eyring plot (Figure V-2): 

\[ \Delta H^\ddagger = (2.47 \pm 0.04) \times 10^1 \, \text{kJ/mol}, \]
\[ \Delta S^\ddagger = -(1.30 \pm 0.01) \times 10^2 \, \text{J/(K\cdot mol)}. \]
Synthesis of (ArN)Mo(Cp)(OCH$_2$Ph)(PMe$_3$)

![Chemical structure](image)

Benzaldehyde (53.0 mg, 0.501 mmol) was added to a solution of (Cp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe$_3$) (207.0 mg, 0.501 mmol) in hexane. The reaction mixture was stirred at RT for several days until the reaction was complete. The product, (Cp)(ArN)Mo(OCH$_2$Ph)(PMe$_3$), was filtered off, washed with hexane (2 x 10 ml) and dried in vacuum. Yield: 135 mg, 52%. $^1$H-NMR (300 MHz; C$_6$D$_6$; 298K; $\delta$, ppm): 1.01 (d, $^2$J$_{H-P}$ = 9.3 Hz, 9H, PMe$_3$), 1.12 (d, $^3$J$_{H-H}$ = 6.8 Hz, 6H, iPr), 1.26 (d, $^3$J$_{H-H}$ = 6.8 Hz, 6H, iPr), 4.08 (sept, $^3$J$_{H-H}$ = 6.8 Hz, 2H, iPr), 5.06 (s, 5H, Cp), 5.22 (dd, $^2$J$_{H-H}$ = 180.3 Hz, $^3$J$_{H-P}$ = 14.3 Hz, 2H, OCH$_2$Ph), 7.02-7.20 (m, 4H, Ar), 7.30-7.39 (m, 2H, Ar), 7.46-7.53 (m, 2H, Ar). $^{31}$P-NMR (121.5 MHz; C$_6$D$_6$; 298 K; $\delta$, ppm): 16.1 (s, 1P, PMe$_3$). $^{13}$C-NMR (75.5 MHz; C$_6$D$_6$; 298 K; $\delta$, ppm): 17.1 (d, $^2$J$_{C-P}$ = 25.2 Hz, PMe$_3$), 24.1 (Me, iPr), 24.2 (Me, iPr), 28.3 (CH, iPr), 86.0 (d, $^3$J$_{C-P}$ = 5.3 Hz, OCH$_2$Ph), 94.7 (Cp), 123.4 (CH, Ar), 125.2 (CH, Ar), 126.1 (CH, Ar), 126.4 (CH, Ar), 128.5 (CH, Ar, the position of this carbon was determined by HSQC NMR), 145.1 (ipso-C, Ar), 148.7 (ipso-C, Ar), 153.9 (ipso-C, Ar).

Kinetic study of the formation of (Cp)(ArN)Mo(OCH$_2$Ph)(PMe$_3$)

![Chemical structure](image)

Benzaldehyde (2.7 mg, 0.026 mmol) was added to a solution of (Cp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe$_3$) (10.7 g, 0.026 mmol) in the presence of PMe$_3$ (19.7 mg, 0.259 mmol) in C$_6$D$_6$ (0.60 ml). The formation of the product was monitored by $^1$H NMR at 26 °C (Figure V-3), 40 °C (Figure V-4), 50 °C (Figure V-5) and 60 °C (Figure V-6).
Figure V-3. (1/C)/time plot for the reaction of (Cp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe3) with PhCHO (1eq.) in the presence of PMe3 (10 eq.) at 26.0 °C

\[ k(26.0 \, ^\circ\text{C}) = (2.157 \pm 0.027) \times 10^{-1} \, \text{M}^{-1} \cdot \text{min}^{-1} = (3.60 \pm 0.05) \times 10^{-3} \, \text{M}^{-1} \cdot \text{s}^{-1} \]

Figure V-4. (1/C)/time plot for the reaction of (Cp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe3) with PhCHO (1eq.) in the presence of PMe3 (10 eq.) at 40.0 °C

\[ k(40.0 \, ^\circ\text{C}) = (7.487 \pm 0.104) \times 10^{-1} \, \text{M}^{-1} \cdot \text{min}^{-1} = (1.25 \pm 0.17) \times 10^{-2} \, \text{M}^{-1} \cdot \text{s}^{-1} \]
Figure V-5. (1/C)/time plot for the reaction of (Cp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃) with PhCHO (1eq.) in the presence of PMe₃ (10 eq.) at +50.0 °C

\[ k(50.0 \, ^\circ \text{C}) = (1.464 \pm 0.013) \, \text{M}^{-1} \cdot \text{min}^{-1} = (2.44 \pm 0.17) \times 10^{-2} \, \text{M}^{-1} \cdot \text{s}^{-1} \]

Figure V-6. (1/C)/time plot for the reaction of (Cp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃) with PhCHO (1eq.) in the presence of PMe₃ (10 eq.) at 60.0 °C

\[ k(60.0 \, ^\circ \text{C}) = (2.505 \pm 0.028) \, \text{M}^{-1} \cdot \text{min}^{-1} = (4.17 \pm 0.05) \times 10^{-2} \, \text{M}^{-1} \cdot \text{s}^{-1} \]
Activation parameters have been extracted from the Eyring plot (Figure V-7): $\Delta H^\ddagger = (5.76 \pm 0.36) \times 10^1$ kJ/mol, $\Delta S^\ddagger = -(9.97 \pm 1.14) \times 10^1$ J/(K·mol)

Kinetics of reaction between (Cp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃) and PhCHO was studied in the presence of different amounts of PMe₃ (8, 9, 10, 11, and 15 eq.) (Figure V-8).
Formation of (Cp)(ArN)Mo(OCH₂Ph)(PMe₃)

Benzaldehyde (2.7 mg, 0.026 mmol) was added to a solution of (Cp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃) (10.7 mg, 0.026 mmol) in the presence of different amounts of PMe₃ (8 eq. (15.8 mg, 0.207 mmol), 9 eq. (17.7 mg, 0.233 mmol), 10 eq. (19.7 mg, 0.259 mmol), 11 eq. (21.7 mg, 0.285 mmol), 15 eq. (29.6 mg, 0.388 mmol)) in C₆D₆ (0.60 ml). The reaction was monitored by ¹H NMR at 22.0 °C. The reaction rate constant did not depend on the PMe₃ concentration.

Formation of (Cp)(ArN)Mo(OCH₂Ph)(PhCHO)

Benzaldehyde (25.7 mg, 0.242 mmol) was added to a solution of (Cp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃) (5.3 mg, 0.010 mmol) in C₆D₆ (0.60 ml). Two isomers of (Cp)(ArN)Mo(OCH₂Ph)(PhCHO) (A, 71% and B, 29%) formed within an hour at RT.

**Isomer A:**

¹H-NMR (300 MHz; C₆D₆; 298K; δ, ppm): 0.87 (d, ³JH-H = 6.85 Hz, 6H, 2CH₃, iPr), 1.09 (d, ³JH-H = 6.85 Hz, 6H, 2CH₃, iPr), 3.39 (sept, ³JH-H = 6.85 Hz, 2H, iPr), 5.58 (s, 1H, PhCHO), 5.65 (s, 5H, Cp), 5.84 (d, ²JH-H = 13.8 Hz, CH₆H-O), 6.25 (d, ²JH-H = 13.8 Hz, CH₆H-O), 6.77-6.81 (m, 3H, Ar), 6.87-7.42 (mm, 8H, 2Ph), 7.72-7.77 (m, 2H, Ph, PhCHO).

**Isomer B:**

¹H-NMR (300 MHz; C₆D₆; 298K; δ, ppm): 1.16 (d, ³JH-H = 6.92 Hz, 6H, 2CH₃, iPr), 1.21 (d, ³JH-H = 6.92 Hz, 6H, 2CH₃, iPr), 3.94 (sept, ³JH-H = 6.85 Hz, 2H, iPr), 5.38 (s, 5H, Cp), 5.92 (d, ²JH-H = 13.8 Hz, CH₆H-O), 6.08 (s, 1H, PhCHO), 6.15 (d, ²JH-H = 13.8 Hz,
Kinetic study of reaction between (Cp)(ArN)Mo(OCH₂Ph)(PMe₃) and PhSiH₃ (10 eq.)

Phenylsialne (11.7 mg, 0.108 mmol) was added to a solution of (Cp)(ArN)Mo(OCH₂Ph)(PMe₃) (5.6 mg, 0.011 mmol) in C₆D₆ (0.60 mL). The reaction was monitored by NMR at 16 °C (Figure V-9), 26 °C (Figure V-10), 36 °C (Figure V-11) and 46 °C (Figure V-12).
Figure V-9. \( \ln(C)/\text{time} \) plot for the reaction of (Cp)(ArN)Mo(OCH\(_2\)Ph)(PMe\(_3\)) with PhSiH\(_3\) (10 eq.) at 16.0 °C

\[
k_{H}(16.0 \, ^\circ\text{C}) = (1.18 \pm 0.01) \cdot 10^{-2} \, \text{min}^{-1} = (1.97 \pm 0.02) \cdot 10^{-4} \, \text{s}^{-1}
\]

Figure V-10. \( \ln(C)/\text{time} \) plot for the reaction of (Cp)(ArN)Mo(OCH\(_2\)Ph)(PMe\(_3\)) with PhSiH\(_3\) (10 eq.) at 26.0 °C

\[
k_{H}(26.0 \, ^\circ\text{C}) = (3.51 \pm 0.02) \cdot 10^{-2} \, \text{min}^{-1} = (5.85 \pm 0.03) \cdot 10^{-4} \, \text{s}^{-1}
\]
**Figure V-11.** ln(C)/time plot for the reaction of (Cp)(ArN)Mo(OCH₂Ph)(PMe₃) with PhSiH₃ (10 eq.) at 36.0 °C

\[ k_H(36.0 \, ^{\circ}C) = (7.31 \pm 0.06) \cdot 10^{-2} \, \text{min}^{-1} = (1.22 \pm 0.01) \cdot 10^{-3} \, \text{s}^{-1} \]

**Figure V-12.** ln(C)/time plot for the reaction of (Cp)(ArN)Mo(OCH₂Ph)(PMe₃) with PhSiH₃ (10 eq.) at 46.0 °C

\[ k_H(46.0 \, ^{\circ}C) = (1.32 \pm 0.09) \cdot 10^{-1} \, \text{min}^{-1} = (2.21 \pm 0.16) \cdot 10^{-3} \, \text{s}^{-1} \]
Figure V-13. Eyring plot for the reaction of \((\text{Cp})(\text{ArN})\text{Mo}(\text{OCH}_2\text{Ph})(\text{PMe}_3)\) with \(\text{PhSiH}_3\) (10 eq.).

Activation parameters have been extracted from the Eyring plot (Figure V-13): \(\Delta H^\ddagger = (5.89 \pm 0.49) \cdot 10^1\) kJ/mol, \(\Delta S^\ddagger = -(1.11 \pm 1.6) \cdot 10^2\) J/(K·mol)

**Kinetic study of the reaction of \((\text{Cp})(\text{ArN})\text{Mo}(\text{OCH}_2\text{Ph})(\text{PMe}_3)\) with excess \(\text{PhSiD}_3\) under pseudo-first order conditions**

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{ArN} & \quad \text{Mo} \quad \text{O} \quad \text{Ph} & \quad + 10 \text{PhSiD}_3 & \quad \rightarrow & \quad \text{ArN} & \quad \text{Mo} \quad \text{D} & \quad + & \quad (\text{PhCH}_2\text{O})_2\text{SiDPh}
\end{align*}
\]

\(\text{PhSiD}_3\) (12.0 mg, 0.108 mmol) was added to a solution of \((\text{Cp})(\text{ArN})\text{Mo}(\text{OCH}_2\text{Ph})(\text{PMe}_3)\) (5.6 mg, 0.011 mmol) in \(\text{C}_6\text{D}_6\) (0.60 mL). The reaction was monitored by \(^1\text{H}\) NMR at 16 °C (Figure V-14), 26 °C (Figure V-15), 36 °C (Figure V-16) and 46 °C (Figure V-17).
Figure V-14. $\ln(C)/\text{time}$ plot the reaction of $(\text{Cp})(\text{ArN})\text{Mo(OCH}_2\text{Ph)(PMe}_3\text{)}$ with PhSiD$_3$ (10 eq.) 16.0 °C.

\[
k_D(16 \, ^\circ\text{C}) = (1.60 \pm 0.01) \cdot 10^{-2} \, \text{min}^{-1} = (2.7 \pm 0.02) \cdot 10^{-4} \, \text{s}^{-1}
\]

\[
\text{KIE}(16 \, ^\circ\text{C}) = \frac{k_H(16 \, ^\circ\text{C})}{k_D(16 \, ^\circ\text{C})} = \frac{0.0118}{0.0160} \approx 0.7
\]

Figure V-15. $\ln(C)/\text{time}$ plot the reaction of $(\text{Cp})(\text{ArN})\text{Mo(OCH}_2\text{Ph)(PMe}_3\text{)}$ with PhSiD$_3$ (10 eq.) at 26.0 °C.
\[ k_D(26.0 \, ^\circ C) = (4.44 \pm 0.01) \cdot 10^{-2} \text{ min}^{-1} = (7.40 \pm 0.02) \cdot 10^{-4} \text{ s}^{-1} \]

\[ \text{KIE}(26\,^\circ C) = \frac{k_H(26\,^\circ C)}{k_D(26\,^\circ C)} = \frac{0.0351}{0.0444} \approx 0.8 \]

**Figure V-16.** ln(C)/time plot the reaction of (Cp)(ArN)Mo(OCH\text{2}Ph)(PMe\text{3}) with PhSiD\text{3} (10 eq.) at 36.0 °C.

\[ k_D(36 \, ^\circ C) = (6.53 \pm 0.03) \cdot 10^{-2} \text{ min}^{-1} = (1.09 \pm 0.01) \cdot 10^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1} \]

\[ \text{KIE}(36 \, ^\circ C) = \frac{k_H(36 \, ^\circ C)}{k_D(36 \, ^\circ C)} = \frac{0.0731}{0.0653} \approx 1.1 \]
Figure V-17. ln(C)/time plot the reaction of (Cp)(ArN)Mo(OCH$_2$Ph)(PMe$_3$) with PhSiD$_3$ (10 eq.) at 46.0 °C.

\[ k_D(46.0 \, ^\circ\text{C}) = (9.63 \pm 0.16) \times 10^{-2} \text{ min}^{-1} = (1.60 \pm 0.03) \times 10^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1} \]

\[ \text{KIE}(46^\circ \text{C}) = \frac{k_H(46^\circ \text{C})}{k_D(46^\circ \text{C})} = \frac{0.1323}{0.0963} \approx 1.4 \]

Figure V-18. Eyring plot for the reaction of (Cp)(ArN)Mo(OCH$_2$Ph)(PMe$_3$) with PhSiD$_3$ (10 eq.).
Activation parameters have been extracted from the Eyring plot (Figure V-21): \(\Delta H^\ddagger = (4.20 \pm 0.76) \times 10^1 \text{kJ/mol}, \Delta S^\ddagger = -(1.67 \pm 0.25) \times 10^2 \text{J/(K mol)}\)

**Synthesis of (Cp)(ArN)Mo(SiH$_2$Ph)(PMe$_3$)**

Phenylsilane (0.140 g, 0.970 mmol) was added to a solution of (Cp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe$_3$) (0.270 g, 0.480 mmol) in Et$_2$O. The reaction mixture was left for one week at RT until the reaction was complete. Evaporation of all volatiles afforded (Cp)(ArN)Mo(SiH$_2$Ph)(PMe$_3$) (0.326 mg, 96% yield) as a brown-green oil.

$^1$H-NMR (300 MHz; C$_6$D$_6$; 298K; \(\delta\), ppm): 1.04 (d, \(^2J_{H,P} = 9.0\) Hz, 9H, PMe$_3$), 1.16 (d, \(^3J_{H-H} = 6.9\) Hz, 6H, iPr), 1.19 (d, \(^3J_{H-H} = 6.9\) Hz, 6H, iPr), 4.30 (sept, \(^3J_{H-H} = 6.9\) Hz, 2H, iPr), 4.70 (s, 5H, Cp), 5.18 (d, \(^3J_{H-P} = 1.5\) Hz, 1H, SiH$_2$Ph), 5.56 (d, \(^3J_{H-P} = 4.2\) Hz, 1H, SiH$_2$Ph), 6.89 (t, \(^3J_{H-H} = 7.5\) Hz, 1H, p-H, ArN), 7.05 (m, 2H, p-H, ArN), 7.25 (m, 1H, p-H, SiPh), 7.34 (t, \(^3J_{H-H} = 7.5\) Hz, 2H, m-H, SiPh), 8.12 (d, \(^3J_{H-H} = 6.5\) Hz, 2H, o-H, SiPh).

$^{13}$C-NMR (75.5 MHz; C$_6$D$_6$; 298 K; \(\delta\), ppm): 21.5 (d, \(^2J_{C-P} = 25.7\) Hz, PMe$_3$), 23.8 (s, CH$_3$), 24.8 (s, CH$_3$), 28.2 (s, CH), 90.0 (s, Cp), 119.3, 123.4, 125.3, 127.8, 130.5, 136.4, 137.3, 145.0 (s, aromatic).

$^{31}$P-NMR (121.5 MHz; C$_6$D$_6$; 298 K; \(\delta\), ppm): 18.60 (s, 1P, PMe$_3$).

$^{29}$Si-NMR (119.24 MHz; C$_6$D$_6$; 298 K; \(\delta\), ppm): -4.0 (dt, \(^1J_{Si-P} = 29.8\) Hz, \(^1J_{Si-H} = 156.8\) Hz, SiH$_2$Ph).

The reaction of (Cp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe$_3$) with PhSiH$_3$ (5 eq.) in the presence of different amounts of PMe$_3$

Phenylsilane (13.6 mg, 0.125 mmol) was added to a solution (Cp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe$_3$)
(10.5 mg, 0.025 mmol) in C₆D₆ (0.60 ml). The reaction was monitored by ¹H NMR. The experiment repeated in the presence of 10 eq. PMe₃ (19.3 mg, 0.250 mmol) and 20 eq. PMe₃ (38.6 mg, 0.508 mmol) (Figure V-19).

**Figure V-19.** Ln(C)/time plot for the reaction of (Cp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃) with PhSiH₃ (5 eq.) in the presence of 0, 10, and 20 eq. of PMe₃

\[ k(\text{no PMe₃}) = (1.27 \pm 0.03) \cdot 10^{-1} \text{ h}^{-1}, \quad k(10 \text{ PMe₃}) = (1.28 \pm 0.02) \cdot 10^{-1} \text{ h}^{-1}, \quad k(20 \text{ PMe₃}) = (1.30 \pm 0.02) \cdot 10^{-1} \text{ h}^{-1} \]

**Reaction between (Cp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃) and PhSiH₃ (5 eq.)**

Phenylsilane (13.1 mg, 0.121 mmol) was added to a solution (Cp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃) (10.5 mg, 0.025 mmol) in C₆D₆ (0.60 ml). The reaction was monitored by ¹H NMR at 25.0 °C (Figure V-20), 35.0 °C (Figure V-21), 45.0 °C (Figure V-22), and 55.0 °C (Figure V-23).
Figure V-20. Ln(C)/time plot for the reaction of (Cp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃) with PhSiH₃ (10 eq.) at 25.0 °C.

\[ \frac{d[C]}{dt} = -k \cdot C \]

\[ k_{H}(25.0 \, ^{\circ}C) = (1.70 \pm 0.01) \cdot 10^{-3} \, \text{min}^{-1} = (2.83 \pm 0.02) \cdot 10^{-5} \, \text{s}^{-1} \]

Figure V-21. Ln(C)/time plot for the reaction of (Cp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃) with PhSiH₃ (10 eq.) at 35.0 °C.

\[ \frac{d[C]}{dt} = -k \cdot C \]

\[ k_{H}(35.0 \, ^{\circ}C) = (4.9 \pm 0.1) \cdot 10^{-3} \, \text{min}^{-1} = (8.17 \pm 0.02) \cdot 10^{-5} \, \text{s}^{-1} \]
Figure V-22. \( \ln(C)/ \text{time} \) plot for the reaction of \((\text{Cp})(\text{ArN})\text{Mo(H)(PMe}_3)\) with \(\text{PhSiH}_3\) (10 eq.) at 45.0 °C.

\[
k_H(45.0 \, ^\circ\text{C}) = (1.04 \pm 0.02) \cdot 10^{-2} \, \text{min}^{-1} = (1.73 \pm 0.03) \cdot 10^{-4} \, \text{s}^{-1}
\]

Figure V-23. \( \ln(C)/ \text{time} \) plot for the reaction of \((\text{Cp})(\text{ArN})\text{Mo(H)(PMe}_3)\) with \(\text{PhSiH}_3\) (10 eq.) at 55.0 °C.

\[
k_H(55.0 \, ^\circ\text{C}) = (2.2 \pm 0.1) \cdot 10^{-2} \, \text{min}^{-1} = (3.67 \pm 0.17) \cdot 10^{-4} \, \text{s}^{-1}
\]
Figure V-24. Eyring plot for the reaction of (Cp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃) with PhSiH₃ (10 eq.).

Activation parameters have been extracted from the Eyring plot (Figure V-24): $\Delta H^\dagger = (6.61 \pm 0.31) \times 10^1$ kJ/mol, $\Delta S^\dagger = -(1.10 \pm 0.10) \times 10^2$ J/(K·mol)

Reaction between (Cp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃) and PhSiD₃ (5 eq.)

PhSiD₃ (0.0136 g, 0.121 mmol) was added to a solution (Cp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃) (10.5 mg, 0.025 mmol) in C₆D₆ (0.60 ml). The reaction was monitored by $^1$H NMR at 25 °C (Figure V-25).
Figure V-25. Ln(C)/time plot for reaction between (Cp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃) and PhSiD₃ (5 eq) at 25.0 °C.

\[ k_D(25.0 \, ^\circ C) = (1.068 \pm 0.007) \cdot 10^{-1} \, h^{-1} = (2.97 \pm 0.02) \cdot 10^{-5} \, s^{-1}. \]

\[ \text{KIE}(25^\circ \text{C}) = \frac{k_H(25^\circ \text{C})}{k_D(25^\circ \text{C})} = \frac{2.83 \times 10^{-5}}{2.97 \times 10^{-5}} \approx 1.0 \]

The chart below illustrates the time profile (relative integral intensity vs. time) of two concurrent reactions: 1) formation of (Cp)(ArN)Mo(D)(PMe₃) from (Cp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃) and PhSiD₃, 2) formation of (Cp)(ArN)Mo(SiD₂Ph)(PMe₃) as a result of the dehydrogenative addition of PhSiD₃ to (Cp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃) (Figure V-26).
Figure V-26. Relative integral intensity vs. time plot for concurrent formation of 
(Cp)(ArN)Mo(D)(PMe3) and (Cp)(ArN)Mo(SiH2Ph)(PMe3) from 
(Cp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe3) and PhSiD3 (5 eq.) at 25 °C.

Figure V-27. Ln(C)/time plot for the reaction of (Cp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe3) with PhSiD3 (5 eq) at 25 °C.
Rate constant for reaction between \((\text{Cp})(\text{ArN})\text{Mo(H)(PMe}_3\text{)}\) and \(\text{PhSiD}_3\) (5 eq.) to produce \((\text{Cp})(\text{ArN})\text{Mo(D)(PMe}_3\text{)}\) have been extracted from Figure V-27.

\[
{k_{\text{H/D}}} = [(0.7297 \pm 0.0214) - (0.1068 \pm 0.0007)] \text{ hours}^{-1} = (0.6230 \pm 0.0207) \text{ hours}^{-1} = (1.73 \pm 0.06) \cdot 10^{-4} \text{ s}^{-1}
\]

**Reaction between \((\text{Cp})(\text{ArN})\text{Mo(OCH}_2\text{Ph)(PMe}_3\text{)}\) with \text{PhCHO} (10 eq.)**

Benzaldehyde (10.8 mg, 0.102 mmol) was added to a solution of \((\text{Cp})(\text{ArN})\text{Mo(OCH}_2\text{Ph)(PMe}_3\text{)}\) (5.3 g, 0.010 mmol) in \(\text{C}_6\text{D}_6\) (0.60 mL). The reaction was monitored by \(^1\text{H} \text{NMR}\) at 26.0 °C (Figure V-28), 40.0 °C (Figure V-29), and 55.0 °C (Figure V-30).

*Figure V-28. Ln(C)/time plot for reaction between \((\text{Cp})(\text{ArN})\text{Mo(OCH}_2\text{Ph)(PMe}_3\text{)}\) and \text{PhCHO} (10 eq.) at 26.0 °C.*

\[
k(26.0 \degree \text{C}) = (0.132 \pm 0.001) \text{ h}^{-1} = (3.66 \pm 0.03) \cdot 10^{-5} \text{ s}^{-1}
\]
Figure V-29. Ln(C)/time plot for reaction between (Cp)(ArN)Mo(OCH$_2$Ph)(PMe$_3$) and PhCHO (10 eq.) at 40.0 °C.

\[ k(40.0 \, ^\circ\text{C}) = (1.39 \pm 0.03) \cdot 10^{-2} \, \text{min}^{-1} = (2.31 \pm 0.05) \cdot 10^{-4} \, \text{s}^{-1} \]

Figure V-30. Ln(C)/time plot for the reaction between (Cp)(ArN)Mo(OCH$_2$Ph)(PMe$_3$) and PhCHO (10 eq.) at 55.0 °C.

\[ k(55.0 \, ^\circ\text{C}) = (6.48 \pm 0.16) \cdot 10^{-2} \, \text{min}^{-1} = (1.08 \pm 0.03) \cdot 10^{-3} \, \text{s}^{-1} \]
Figure V-31. Eyring plot for the reaction of (Cp)(ArN)Mo(OCH₂Ph)(PMe₃) with PhCHO (10 eq.)

Activation parameters have been extracted from the Eyring plot (Figure V-31): $\Delta H^\ddagger = (9.25 \pm 0.43) \times 10^1$ kJ/mol, $\Delta S^\ddagger = -(2.03 \pm 1.36) \times 10^1$ J/(K·mol).

Reaction between (Cp)(ArN)Mo(OCH₂Ph)(η²-PhCHO) and PhSiH₃ (excess)
Benzaldehyde (25.7 mg, 0.242 mmol) was added to a solution of (Cp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃) (5.3 mg, 0.010 mmol) in C₆D₆ (0.60 ml) to generate complex (Cp)(ArN)Mo(OCH₂Ph)(η²-PhCHO) in situ. The complex was formed within one hour at RT. All volatiles were evaporated, and the residue was re-dissolved in C₆D₆ (0.60 mL). Phenylsilane (13.0 mg, 0.121 mmol) was added, and the reaction was monitored by $^1$H NMR (Figure V-32). The reaction provided formation of (Cp)(ArN)Mo(H)₂(SiH₂Ph), (Cp)(ArN)Mo(H)(SiH₂Ph)(H) and other unidentified products.
Figure V-32. Ln(C)/time plot for the reaction of (Cp)(ArN)Mo(OCH\textsubscript{2}Ph)(PhCHO) with PhSiH\textsubscript{3} (12 eq.)

$y = -0.0353x - 4.1746$

$R^2 = 0.9885$

$k(26.0 \degree C) = (3.53 \pm 0.10) \cdot 10^{-2} \text{ h}^{-1} = (9.8 \pm 0.3) \cdot 10^{-5} \text{ s}^{-1}$

**Reaction of (Cp)(ArN)Mo(SiH\textsubscript{2}Ph)(PMe\textsubscript{3}) with excess of PhCHO**

Benzaldehyde (14.3 g, 0.135 mmol) was added to a solution of (Cp)(ArN)Mo(SiH\textsubscript{2}Ph)(PMe\textsubscript{3}) (5.0 mg, 0.010 mmol) in C\textsubscript{6}D\textsubscript{6} (0.60 mL). No reaction was observed after one day at RT.

**Reaction between (Cp)(ArN)Mo(OCH\textsubscript{2}Ph)(PMe\textsubscript{3}) and o-bromobenzaldehyde**

o-Bromobenzaldehyde (16.0 mg, 0.0863 mmol) was added to a solution of (Cp)(ArN)Mo(OCH\textsubscript{2}Ph)(PMe\textsubscript{3}) (12.4 mg, 0.0242 mmol) in C\textsubscript{6}D\textsubscript{6} (0.60 mL). The reaction mixture was heated for 20 minutes at 60 \degree C. Formation of free benzaldehyde was observed by \textsuperscript{1}H NMR.

**Reaction between (Cp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe\textsubscript{3}), PhSiH\textsubscript{3} (1 eq.) and Ph\textsubscript{3}B (1 eq.)**

Phenylsilane (6.23 mg, 0.057 mmol) and Ph\textsubscript{3}B (13.9 g, 0.057 mmol) were added to a
solution of \((\text{Cp})(\text{ArN})\text{Mo(H)(PMe}_3)\) \((23.8 \text{ mg, 0.057 mmol})\) in \(\text{C}_6\text{D}_6\) at RT. The formation of isomers \((\text{Cp})(\text{ArN})\text{Mo(H)(SiH}_2\text{Ph}(\text{H})\) \(\text{(A)}\) and \((\text{Cp})(\text{ArN})\text{Mo(H)}_2\text{(SiH}_2\text{Ph})\) \(\text{(B)}\) was detected by \(^{29}\text{Si INEPT+ NMR}\) (Figure V-33).

The detected intermediates \(\text{A}\) and \(\text{B}\) were unstable and decomposed within day.

\((\text{ArN})(\text{ArN})(\text{Cp})\text{Mo(H)(SiH}_2\text{Ph}(\text{H}), \text{A})\)

\(^1\text{H-NMR (300 MHz; C}_6\text{D}_6; 298K; \delta, ppm): -1.30 (s, 2H, Mo(H)(SiH}_2(\text{H})), 5.82 (s, 2H, SiH}_2\text{Ph}).\) \(^{29}\text{Si-NMR INEPT+ (300 MHz; C}_6\text{D}_6; 298K; \delta, ppm): -24.32 (tt, }^{1}\text{J}_{\text{Si-H}} = 188.2 \text{ Hz, }^{2}\text{J}_{\text{Si-H}} = 6.9 \text{ Hz, }1\text{Si}).\)

\((\text{ArN})(\text{Cp})\text{Mo(H)(H)(SiH}_2\text{Ph}), \text{B})\)

\(^1\text{H-NMR (300 MHz; C}_6\text{D}_6; 298K; \delta, ppm): -0.52 (d, }^{2}\text{J}_{\text{H-H}} = 4.9 \text{ Hz, 1H, Mo-H}_a), 0.58 \text{ (d, }^{2}\text{J}_{\text{H-H}} = 4.9 \text{ Hz, 1H, Mo-H}_b).\) \(^{29}\text{Si-NMR INEPT+ (300 MHz; C}_6\text{D}_6; 298K; \delta, ppm): -4.33 (vt, }^{1}\text{J}_{\text{Si-H}} = 185.6 \text{ Hz, }1\text{Si}).\)

**Reaction between \((\text{Cp})(\text{ArN})\text{Mo(H)(PMe}_3)\), PhSiH\(_3\) (2 eq.) and Ph\(_3\)B (1 eq.)**

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{ArN} \quad \text{Mo} \quad \text{H} &+ 2\text{PhSiH}_3 + \text{BPH}_3 \quad \rightarrow \quad \text{ArN} \quad \text{SiH}_2\text{Ph} \\
\text{Cp} \quad \text{PMe}_3 &\text{- Me}_3\text{P-BPH}_3 \quad \text{Cp} \quad \text{SiH}_2\text{Ph}
\end{align*}
\]

Phenylsilane \((0.400 \text{ g, 3.70 mmol})\) and Ph\(_3\)B \((0.176 \text{ g, 0.726 mmol})\) were added to a
solution of (Cp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃) (0.300 g, 0.726 mmol) in Et₂O. The reaction mixture was stirred for a week at RT. All volatiles were removed under vacuum to give 0.420 g of an amorphic black crude residue containing (Cp)(ArN)Mo(H)(SiH₂Ph)₂ (~85%), (Cp)(ArN)Mo(SiH₂Ph)(PMe₃) (~10%) and Ph₂SiH₂ (~5%).

(Cp)(ArN)Mo(SiH₂Ph)₂(H)

¹H-NMR (300 MHz; C₆D₆; 298K; δ, ppm): 0.28 (m, 1H, Mo-H), 4.84 (s, 5H, Cp), 5.61 (dd, ²J₉-H = 4.5 Hz, ³J₉-H = 2.1 Hz, 2H, 2SiH²Ph), 5.80 (dd, ²J₉-H = 4.5 Hz, ³J₉-H = 2.1 Hz, 2H, 2SiH²Ph). ²⁹Si-NMR INEPT⁺ (300 MHz; C₆D₆; 298K; δ, ppm): -5.90 (t, ¹J₆Si-H = 189.7 Hz, 2Si) (Figure V-34).

![Figure V-34. ²⁹Si INEPT⁺ NMR spectrum of (Cp)(ArN)Mo(SiH₂Ph)₂(H), C.](image-url)
Table V-1. Hydrosilylation of carbonyls with PhSiH$_3$ catalyzed by (Cp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe$_3$).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Substrate</th>
<th>Conversion of org. substrate</th>
<th>Product(s)</th>
<th>Conditions</th>
<th>Yield, %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>PhC(O)H</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>PhCH$_2$OSiH$_2$Ph, (PhCH$_2$O)$_2$SiHPh</td>
<td>0.5 day, RT</td>
<td>21, 79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>PhC(O)Me</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5 days, 50 °C</td>
<td>0, 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Cyclohexanone</td>
<td>54%</td>
<td>CyOSiH$_2$Ph$_2$(CyO)$_2$SiHPh</td>
<td>5 days, 50 °C</td>
<td>36, 18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>PhCN</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>PhCH=NSiH$_2$Ph</td>
<td>3 days, 50 °C</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table V-2. Hydrosilylation of PhCHO with PhSiH$_3$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CATALYST</th>
<th>Products</th>
<th>Reactions conditions</th>
<th>Yield, according to $^1$H-NMR</th>
<th>Catalyst mol, %</th>
<th>Turnover number (TON)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(Cp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe$_3$)</td>
<td>PhCH$_2$OSiH$_2$Ph</td>
<td>7 h</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(PhCH$_2$O)$_2$SiHPh</td>
<td></td>
<td>79%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Cp)(ArN)Mo(OCH$_2$Ph)(PMe$_3$)</td>
<td>PhCH$_2$OSiH$_2$Ph</td>
<td>7 h</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(PhCH$_2$O)$_2$SiHPh</td>
<td></td>
<td>62%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Cp)(ArN)Mo(OCH$_2$Ph)(PhCHO)</td>
<td>PhCH$_2$OSiH$_2$Ph</td>
<td>12 h, RT</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(PhCH$_2$O)$_2$SiHPh</td>
<td></td>
<td>77%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Cp)(ArN)Mo(SiH$_2$Ph)(PMe$_3$)</td>
<td>PhCH$_2$OSiH$_2$Ph</td>
<td>3 d, RT</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(PhCH$_2$O)$_2$SiHPh</td>
<td></td>
<td>32%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table V-3. Hydrosilylation of PhCHO with PhSiH₃ (and PhSiD₃) catalyzed by (Cp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃): reaction rate constants of individual steps and activation parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>REACTION</th>
<th>Rate constant, $k$</th>
<th>$\Delta H^\ddagger$, kJ/mol</th>
<th>$\Delta S^\ddagger$, J/(K·mol)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$(\text{Cp})(\text{ArN})\text{Mo}(\text{H})(\text{PMe}_3) + \text{PMe}_3$, phosphine exchange</td>
<td>$(2.89 \pm 0.04) \times 10^1$ s⁻¹, $(4.29 \pm 0.07) \times 10^1$ s⁻¹, $(6.22 \pm 0.12) \times 10^1$ s⁻¹, $(8.56 \pm 0.15) \times 10^1$ s⁻¹</td>
<td>$(12.0 \°C)$, $(22.0 \°C)$, $(32.0 \°C)$, $(42.0 \°C)$</td>
<td>$(2.47 \pm 0.04) \times 10^1$, $-(1.30 \pm 0.01) \times 10^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$(\text{Cp})(\text{ArN})\text{Mo}(\text{H})(\text{PMe}_3) + \text{PhCHO}$ (1 eq) + $\text{PMe}_3$ (10 eq) =&gt; $(\text{Cp})(\text{ArN})\text{Mo}(\text{OCH}_2\text{Ph})(\text{PMe}_3)$</td>
<td>$(3.6 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{-3}$ M⁻¹·s⁻¹, $(1.25 \pm 0.17) \times 10^{-2}$ M⁻¹·s⁻¹, $(2.44 \pm 0.17) \times 10^{-2}$ M⁻¹·s⁻¹, $(4.17 \pm 0.05) \times 10^{-2}$ M⁻¹·s⁻¹</td>
<td>$(26.0 \°C)$, $(40.0 \°C)$, $(50.0 \°C)$, $(60.0 \°C)$</td>
<td>$(5.76 \pm 0.36) \times 10^1$, $-(9.97 \pm 1.14) \times 10^1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$(\text{Cp})(\text{ArN})\text{Mo}(\text{OCH}_2\text{Ph})(\text{PMe}_3) + \text{PhSiH}_3$ (10 eq) =&gt; $(\text{Cp})(\text{ArN})\text{Mo}(\text{H})(\text{PMe}_3) + (\text{PhCH}_2\text{O})_2\text{SiHPh}$</td>
<td>$(1.97 \pm 0.02) \times 10^{-4}$ s⁻¹, $(5.85 \pm 0.03) \times 10^{-4}$ s⁻¹, $(1.22 \pm 0.01) \times 10^{-3}$ s⁻¹, $(2.21 \pm 0.16) \times 10^{-3}$ s⁻¹</td>
<td>$(16.0 \°C)$, $(26.0 \°C)$, $(36.0 \°C)$, $(46.0 \°C)$</td>
<td>$(5.89 \pm 0.49) \times 10^1$, $-(1.11 \pm 0.16) \times 10^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$(\text{Cp})(\text{ArN})\text{Mo}(\text{OCH}_2\text{Ph})(\text{PMe}_3) + \text{PhSiD}_3$ (10 eq) =&gt; $(\text{Cp})(\text{ArN})\text{Mo}(\text{D})(\text{PMe}_3) + (\text{PhCH}_2\text{O})_2\text{SiDPh}$</td>
<td>$(2.7 \pm 0.02) \times 10^{-5}$ s⁻¹, $(7.40 \pm 0.02) \times 10^{-4}$ s⁻¹, $(1.09 \pm 0.01) \times 10^{-3}$ s⁻¹, $(1.60 \pm 0.03) \times 10^{-3}$ s⁻¹</td>
<td>$(16.0 \°C)$, $(26.0 \°C)$, $(36.0 \°C)$, $(46.0 \°C)$</td>
<td>$(4.20 \pm 0.76) \times 10^1$, $-(1.67 \pm 0.25) \times 10^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$(\text{Cp})(\text{ArN})\text{Mo}(\text{H})(\text{PMe}_3) + \text{PhSiH}_3$ (10 eq) =&gt; $(\text{Cp})(\text{ArN})\text{Mo}(\text{SiH}_2\text{Ph})(\text{PMe}_3) + \text{H}_2$</td>
<td>$(1.57 \pm 0.01) \times 10^{-4}$ M⁻¹·s⁻¹, $(4.54 \pm 0.01) \times 10^{-4}$ M⁻¹·s⁻¹, $(9.61 \pm 0.17) \times 10^{-3}$ M⁻¹·s⁻¹, $(2.04 \pm 0.09) \times 10^{-3}$ M⁻¹·s⁻¹</td>
<td>$(25.0 \°C)$, $(35.0 \°C)$, $(45.0 \°C)$, $(55.0 \°C)$</td>
<td>$(6.61 \pm 0.31) \times 10^1$, $-(9.58 \pm 1.00) \times 10^1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$(\text{Cp})(\text{ArN})\text{Mo}(\text{H})(\text{PMe}_3) + \text{PhSiD}_3$ (5 eq) =&gt; $(\text{Cp})(\text{ArN})\text{Mo}(\text{SiD}_2\text{Ph})(\text{PMe}_3) + \text{HD}$</td>
<td>$(1.65 \pm 0.01) \times 10^{-4}$ M⁻¹·s⁻¹</td>
<td>$(26.0 \°C)$</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$(\text{Cp})(\text{ArN})\text{Mo}(\text{OCH}_2\text{Ph})(\text{PMe}_3) + \text{PhCHO}$ (10 eq) =&gt; $(\text{Cp})(\text{ArN})\text{Mo}(\text{OCH}_2\text{Ph})(\text{PhCHO})$</td>
<td>$(2.15 \pm 0.02) \times 10^{-4}$ M⁻¹·s⁻¹, $(1.36 \pm 0.03) \times 10^{-3}$ M⁻¹·s⁻¹, $(6.35 \pm 0.02) \times 10^{-3}$ M⁻¹·s⁻¹</td>
<td>$(26.0 \°C)$, $(40.0 \°C)$, $(55.0 \°C)$</td>
<td>$(9.25 \pm 0.43) \times 10^1$, $-(5.6 \pm 13.6)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$(\text{Cp})(\text{ArN})\text{Mo}(\text{OCH}_2\text{Ph})(\text{PhCHO}) + \text{PhSiH}_3$ =&gt; N/A</td>
<td>$(1.08 \pm 0.03) \times 10^{-4}$ M⁻¹·s⁻¹</td>
<td>$(26.0 \°C)$</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Computational studies
Density Functional Theory (DFT) calculations have been performed using the Gaussian 03 program. In all calculations, the spin-restricted method was employed. Wave function stability calculations were performed to confirm that the calculated wave functions corresponded to the electronic ground state. The structures of all species were optimized using the B3LYP exchange-correlation (XC) functional with the all-electron, mixed basis set (DZVP on Mo and TZVP on all other atoms). Tight SCF convergence criteria (10^-8 a.u.) were used for all calculations. Harmonic frequency calculations with the analytic evaluation of force gradients (OPT=CalcAll) were used to determine the nature of the stationary points. Intrinsic reaction coordinate (IRC) calculations were used to confirm the reaction pathways through transition states (TSs) for all reactions. Free energies of species were evaluated at 298K and 1 atm.
Figure V-35. Computed reaction pathways for the formation of 
\[(\text{Cp})(\text{MeN})\text{Mo(OCH}_3\text{)(PMe}_3\text{)}\]

Figure V-36. Computed reaction pathways for the addition of SiH\textsubscript{4} to 
\[(\text{Cp})(\text{MeN})\text{Mo(OCH}_3\text{)(PMe}_3\text{)}\]
Figure V-37. Energy profile for the addition of SiH₄ to CH₂=O mediated by (Cp)(MeN)Mo(H)(PMe₃) (at 298 K).

Figure V-38. Computed reaction pathways for the formation of (Cp)(MeN)Mo(H)₂(SiH₃) (at 298 K).
Figure V-39. Computed reaction pathway for the formation of 
(Cp)(MeN)Mo(SiH₃)(PMe₃) (at 298 K).

Figure V-40. Energy profile for the reactions of SiH₄ with Cp(MeN)Mo(PMe₃)(H) (at 298 K)
Figure V-41. The lowest unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO) of 
(Cp)(MeN)Mo(H)(PMe₃).
Crystallographic study

A crystal of \((\text{Cp})(\text{ArN})\text{Mo(OCH}_2\text{Ph})(\text{PMe}_3)\) was coated with polyperfluoro oil and mounted on the Bruker Smart three-circle diffractometer with CCD area detector at 123 K.\(^{k}\) The crystallographic data and the characteristics of structure solution and refinement are given in Table VI-1. The structure factor amplitudes for all independent reflections were obtained after the Lorentz and polarization corrections. The Bruker SAINT program\(^{1}\) was used for data reduction. An absorption correction based on measurements of equivalent reflections was applied (SADABS).\(^{m}\) The structures were solved by direct methods\(^{n}\) and refined by full-matrix least squares procedures, using \(\omega (|F_o|^2 - |F_c|^2)^2\) as the refined function. All non-hydrogen atoms were found from the difference electron density map and refined with anisotropic thermal parameters, whereas all hydrogen atoms were refined using the "riding" model.


V. 2. Hydrosilylation catalyzed by (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe3)

Synthesis of (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe3)

(ArN)Mo(H)(Cl)(PMe3)₃ (2.2 g, 4.11 mmol) and KTp (1.6 g, 6.16 mmol) were dissolved in THF at RT, and the solution was heated for 2 days at 50 °C. The solvent was evaporated, and the residue was recrystallized from hexane giving (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe3) (1.7 g, 74%) as a dark-brown solid. ¹H-NMR (300 MHz; C₆D₆; 298K; δ, ppm): 1.04 (m, 6H, 2CH₃, iPr), 1.34 (d, ²JH-H = 6.6 Hz, 6H, 2CH₃, iPr), 1.36 (d, ²JH-P = 7.4 Hz, 9H, PMe3), 3.67 (d, ²JH-P = 21.4 Hz, Mo-H), 4.35 (m, 2H, iPr), 5.68 (t, J = 2.1 Hz, 1H a, Pz), 5.90 (t, J = 2.1 Hz, 1H b, Pz), 6.09 (t, J = 2.1 Hz, 1H c, Pz), 7.24 (d, ²JH-H = 2.1 Hz, 1H a, Pz), 7.45 (d, ²JH-H = 2.1 Hz, 1H b, Pz), 7.52 (d, ²JH-H = 2.1 Hz, 1H c, Pz), 7.56 (d, ²JH-H = 2.1 Hz, 1H a, Pz), 7.76 (d, ²JH-H = 2.1 Hz, 1H b, Pz), 7.88 (d, ²JH-H = 2.1 Hz, 1H c, Pz), 13C-NMR (150.92 MHz; C₆D₆; 298 K; δ, ppm): 22.2 (d, ²JP-H = 22.0 Hz, PMe3), 23.2 (bs, CH₃, iPr), 27.3 (bs, CH, iPr), 104.0 (Pz a), 105.0 (Pz b), 105.5 (Pz c), 123.2 (m-C, 2CH, Ar), 123.8 (p-C, CH, Ar), 133.6 (Pz a), 134.8 (Pz b), 134.9 (Pz c), 141.9 (Pz a), 142.5 (Pz b), 143.7 (Pz c), 152.7 (ipso-C, C-N, Ar). ³¹P-NMR (121.5 MHz; C₆D₆; 298 K; δ, ppm): 15.6 (s, 1P, PMe3). ¹¹B-NMR (96.3 MHz; C₆D₆; 298 K; δ, ppm): 15.6 (s, 1P, PMe3). Elem. Anal. (%): calc. for C₄₃H₇₇BMoN₇P (561.33): C 51.35, H 6.64, N 17.47; found (±0.3%) C 51.09, H 6.92, N 16.28. IR (nujol, cm⁻¹): 1683.56 (Mo-H), 2472.31 (B-H).
Hydrosilylation catalyzed by (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃)

**Hydrosilylation of benzaldehyde with PhSiH₃**

\[
\text{PhCHO} + \text{PhSiH₃} \xrightarrow{10\% \ (\text{Tp})(\text{ArN})\text{Mo(H)}(\text{PMe₃})} \ (\text{PhCH₂O})₂\text{SiHPh} 59\%
\]

(Benzaldehyde (19.0 mg, 0.177 mmol) and phenylsilane (19.3 mg, 0.177 mmol) were added to a solution of (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃) (10.0 mg, 10 mol% in 0.60 ml C₆D₆) at RT. The reaction was complete in 4 hours giving (PhCH₂O)₂SiHPh (59%) and PhCH₂OSiH₂Ph (41%) as the final products.

**Hydrosilylation of acetophenone with PhSiH₃**

\[
\text{Ph} = \text{O} + \text{PhSiH₃} \xrightarrow{5\% \ (\text{Tp})(\text{ArN})\text{Mo(H)}(\text{PMe₃})} \text{PhCH(OSiH₂Ph)CH₃} 85\% \quad \text{and} \quad (\text{PhCH(CH₃)O})₂\text{SiHPh} 15\%
\]

(Acetophenone (42.8 mg, 0.3563 mmol) and phenylsilane (38.6 mg, 0.356 mmol) were added to a solution of (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃) (10.0 mg, 5 mol%) in C₆D₆ (0.60 ml). The reaction was complete in 1.5 days at RT giving PhCH(OSiH₂Ph)CH₃ (85%) and (PhCH(CH₃)O-)₂SiHPh (15%) as the final products.

**Hydrosilylation of cyclohexanone with PhSiH₃**

\[
\text{Cy} = \text{O} + \text{PhSiH₃} \xrightarrow{5\% \ (\text{Tp})(\text{ArN})\text{Mo(H)}(\text{PMe₃})} \text{CyOSiH₂Ph} + \ (\text{CyO})₂\text{SiHPh} (85\%) \quad (15\%)
\]

(Cyclohexanone (35.0 mg, 0.356 mmol) and phenylsilane (38.6 mg, 0.356 mmol) were added to a solution of (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃) (10.0 mg, 5 mol%) in C₆D₆ (0.60 ml). The reaction was complete in ~50 min at RT giving (CyO)₂SiHPh (15%) and CyOSiH₂Ph (85%) as the final products.)
Hydrosilylation of cyclohexanone with PhSiD₃

\[
\text{Cy}=\text{O} + \text{PhSiD}_3 \xrightarrow{5\% (\text{Tp})(\text{ArN})\text{Mo}(\text{H})(\text{PMe}_3)} \text{RT, C}_6\text{D}_6, 53 \text{ min} \rightarrow \text{Cy(D)OSiD}_2\text{Ph} + (\text{Cy(D)-O})_2\text{SiDPh}
\]

Cyclohexanone (17.5 mg, 0.174 mmol) and PhSiD₃ (19.3 mg, 0.174 mmol) were added to a solution of (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃) (5.0 mg, 5 mol%) in C₆D₆ (0.60 ml). The reaction was complete in ~50 min at RT giving (CyO)₂SiDPh (15%) and CyOSiH₂Ph (85%) as the final products. Substitution of the molybdenum hydride Mo-H by deuterium was not observed.

Hydrosilylation of benzaldehyde with PhMeSiH₂

\[
\text{PhCHO} + \text{PhMeSiH}_2 \xrightarrow{5\% (\text{Tp})(\text{ArN})\text{Mo}(\text{H})(\text{PMe}_3)} \text{50°C, C}_6\text{D}_6, 1.6 \text{ days} \rightarrow \text{PhCH}_2\text{OsiHMePh}
\]

Benzaldehyde (37.8 mg, 0.356 mmol) and methylphenylsilane (43.6 mg, 0.356 mmol) were added to a solution of (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃) (10.0 mg, 5 mol%) in C₆D₆ (0.60 ml). The reaction mixture was heated at 50 °C for 1.6 days giving PhCH₂OSiHMePh (30%) as the only product. The catalysis was terminated.

Hydrosilylation of acetophenone with PhMeSiH₂

\[
\text{Ph} - \text{C} - \text{O} + \text{PhMeSiH}_2 \xrightarrow{5\% (\text{Tp})(\text{ArN})\text{Mo}(\text{H})(\text{PMe}_3)} \text{50°C, C}_6\text{D}_6, 2.5 \text{ days} \rightarrow \text{Ph} - \text{C} - \text{OSiHMePh}
\]

Acetophenone (42.8 mg, 0.356 mmol) and methylphenylsilane (38.6 mg, 0.356 mmol) were added to a solution of (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃) (10.0 mg, 5 mol%) in C₆D₆ (0.60 ml). The reaction was complete in 2.5 days at 50 °C giving PhCH(OSiHCH₃Ph)CH₃ (100%) as the only product.

Hydrosilylation of cyclohexanone with PhMeSiH₂

\[
\text{Cy}=\text{O} + \text{PhMeSiH}_2 \xrightarrow{5\% (\text{Tp})(\text{ArN})\text{Mo}(\text{H})(\text{PMe}_3)} \text{RT, C}_6\text{D}_6, 1 \text{ day} \rightarrow \text{CyO-SiHMePh} + (\text{CyO})_2\text{SiMePh}
\]

(96%) + (4%)
Cyclohexanone (35.0 mg, 0.356 mmol) and methylphenylsilane (38.6 mg, 0.356 mmol) were added to a solution of (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃) (10.0 mg, 5 mol%) in C₆D₆ (0.60 ml). The reaction was complete in 1 day at RT giving (CyO)₂SiMePh (4%) and CyOSiHMePh (96%).

Hydrosilylation of benzaldehyde with PhMe₂SiH

Benzaldehyde (37.8 mg, 0.356 mmol) and dimethylphenylsilane (48.6 mg, 0.356 mmol) were added to a solution of (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃) (10.0 mg, 5 mol%) in C₆D₆ (0.60 ml). The reaction mixture was heated for 1 day at 50 °C. Hydrosilylation was not observed.

Hydrosilylation of acetophenone with PhMe₂SiH

Acetophenone (42.8 mg, 0.356 mmol) and dimethylphenylsilane (48.6 mg, 0.356 mmol) were added to a solution of (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃) (10.0 mg, 5 mol%) in C₆D₆ (0.60 ml). The reaction mixture was heated for 1 day at 50 °C. Hydrosilylation was not observed.

Hydrosilylation of cyclohexanone with PhMe₂SiH

\[
\text{Cy} = \text{O} + \text{PhMe}_2\text{SiH} \xrightarrow{5\% (\text{Tp})(\text{ArN})\text{Mo(H)(PMe}_3)} \text{RT, C}_6\text{D}_6, 1 \text{ day} \xrightarrow{} \text{CyOSiMe}_2\text{Ph}
\]

Cyclohexanone (35.0 mg, 0.356 mmol) and dimethylphenylsilane (48.6 mg, 0.356 mmol) were added to a solution of (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃) (10.0 mg, 5 mol%) in C₆D₆ (0.60 ml). The reaction was heated at 50 °C for 1.5 days. CyO-SiMe₂Ph was formed in 11% yield as the only product. The catalysis was terminated.

Hydrosilylation of benzaldehyde with (EtO)₃SiH

Benzaldehyde (37.8 mg, 0.356 mmol) and triethoxysilane (58.5 mg, 0.356 mmol) were added to a solution of (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃) (10.0 mg, 5 mol%) in C₆D₆ (0.60 ml). The reaction mixture was heated for 1 day at 50 °C. Hydrosilylation was not observed.
Hydrosilylation of acetophenone with (EtO)$_3$SiH

Acetophenone (42.8 mg, 0.356 mmol) and triethoxysilane (58.5 mg, 0.356 mmol) were added to a solution of (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe$_3$) (10.0 mg, 5 mol%) in C$_6$D$_6$ (0.60 ml). The reaction mixture was heated for 1 day at 50 °C. Hydrosilylation was not observed.

Hydrosilylation of benzonitrile with phenylsilane

\[
\text{Ph—CN} + \text{PhSiH}_3 \xrightarrow{5\% (\text{Tp})(\text{ArN})\text{Mo(H)}(\text{PMe}_3)} \xrightarrow{50 \degree C, C_6D_6, 3 \text{ days}} \text{Ph—CH=N—SiH}_2\text{Ph} (17\%) + (\text{Ph—CH=N—})_2\text{SiHPh} (3\%)
\]

Phenylsilane (61.7 mg, 0.570 mmol) and benzonitrile (29.4 mg, 0.285 mmol) were added to a solution of (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe$_3$) (8.0 mg, 5 mol%) in C$_6$D$_6$ (0.60 ml). The reaction mixture was heated for 3 days at 50 °C affording Ph-CH=N-SiH$_2$Ph (17%) and (Ph-CH=N-)$_2$SiHPh (3%) in 20% overall yield. The catalysis was terminated at this step. For Ph-CH=N-SiH$_2$Ph: $^1$H-NMR (300 MHz; C$_6$D$_6$; 298K; δ, ppm): 5.43 (s, 2H, Si-H), 8.91 (s, 1H, -CH=N-). For (Ph-CH=N-)$_2$SiHPh: $^1$H-NMR (300 MHz; C$_6$D$_6$; 298K; δ, ppm): 6.04 (s, 1H, Si-H), 9.21 (s, 2H, -CH=N-).

Hydrosilylation of benzaldehyde with phenylsilane catalyzed by (Tp)(ArN)Mo(OCH$_2$Ph)(PMe$_3$) in the presence of PMe$_3$.

\[
\text{PhCHO} + \text{PhSiH}_3 \xrightarrow{10\% (\text{Tp})(\text{ArN})\text{Mo(OCH}_2\text{Ph)}(\text{PMe}_3)} \xrightarrow{\sim 6 \text{ eq. PMe}_3; \text{RT, C}_6\text{D}_6, 2h 45 \text{ min}} (\text{PhCH}_2\text{O})_2\text{SiHPh} 72\%
\]

Benzaldehyde (19.0 mg, 0.177 mmol) and phenylsilane (19.3 mg, 0.177 mmol) were added to a solution of (Tp)(ArN)Mo(OCH$_2$Ph)(PMe$_3$) (11.9 mg, 10 mol%) in the presence of PMe$_3$ (8.1 mg, 0.106 mmol) in C$_6$D$_6$ (0.60 ml) at RT. The reaction was complete in 2 hours and 45 min giving (PhCH$_2$O)$_2$SiHPh (82%), (PhCH$_2$O)$_3$SiPh (12%), PhCH$_2$OSiH$_2$Ph (6%).
Hydrosilylation of benzaldehyde with phenylsilane catalyzed by (Tp)(ArN)Mo(OCH₂Ph)(PMe₃).

\[
\text{PhCHO} + \text{PhSiH}_3 \xrightarrow{10\% (\text{Tp})(\text{ArN})\text{Mo(OCH₂Ph)(PMe₃)}} \text{(PhCH₂O)₂SiHPh} \quad 90\%
\]

Benzaldehyde (19.0 mg, 0.177 mmol) and phenylsilane (19.3 mg, 0.177 mmol) were added to a solution of (Tp)(ArN)Mo(OCH₂Ph)(PMe₃) (11.9 mg, 10 mol%) in C₆D₆ (0.60 ml) at RT. The reaction was complete in 2 hours and 45 min giving (PhCH₂O)₂SiHPh (90%), (PhCH₂O)₃SiPh (7%), PhCH₂OSiH₂Ph (3%) as the final products.

Stoichiometric reactions with (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃)

Phosphine exchange between (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃) and PMe₃

Trimethylphosphine (1.8 mg, 0.023 mmol) was added to a solution of (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃) (13 mg, 0.023 mmol). The reaction mixture was studied by \(^{31}\text{P} - ^{31}\text{P}\) EXSY NMR (\(d_8 = 0.300\) s, \(T = 22^\circ\text{C}\)). Exchange between the free and the bound phosphines was not observed.

Phosphine exchange between (Tp)(ArN)Mo(OCH₂Ph)(PMe₃) and PMe₃

Trimethylphosphine (6.8 mg, 0.089 mmol) was added to a solution of (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃) (11.8 mg, 0.018 mmol). The reaction mixture was studied by \(^{31}\text{P} - ^{31}\text{P}\) EXSY NMR (\(d_8 = 0.300\) s, \(T = 22^\circ\text{C}\)). Exchange between the free and the bound phosphines was not observed.

(Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃): exchange of pyrazolyl ligands

Exchange between three Pz groups in (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃) was observed by \(^1\text{H} - ^1\text{H}\) EXSY experiment at 22.0 °C (\(d_8 = 0.300\) s) (Figure V-42). At -50.0 °C (\(d_8 = 0.300\) s) the exchange was not observed (Figure V-43).
Figure V-42. $^1$H-$^1$H EXSY NMR spectrum of (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe$_3$) at 22.0 °C ($d_8 = 0.300$ s).
Figure V-43. $^1H$-$^1H$ EXSY NMR spectrum of (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe$_3$) at -50.0 °C (d8 = 0.300 s).

(Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe$_3$): kinetic studies of Pz ligand dissociation

SELNOGP ge-1D EXSY NMR (Bruker 600 MHz machine) experiments were used to determine the exchange rate constants at 17.0 °C (Figure V-44), 22.0 °C (Figure V-45), 27.0 °C (Figure V-46) and 32.0 °C (Figure V-47).
Figure V-44. ln[1-I(d8)/I(EQ)]/d8 plot for Pz-ring dissociation in 
(Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe3) at 17.0 °C.

\[ k(17.0 \, ^{\circ}C) = 0.618 \, s^{-1} \]

Figure V-45. ln[1-I(d8)/I(EQ)]/d8 plot for Pz-ring dissociation in 
(Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe3) at 22.0 °C.

\[ k(22.0 \, ^{\circ}C) = 1.138 \, s^{-1} \]
Figure V-46. ln[1 - I(d8)/I(EQ)]/d8 plot for Pz-ring dissociation in (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe3) at 27.0 °C.

$k(27.0 \, ^\circ\mathrm{C}) = 2.077 \, \text{s}^{-1}$

Figure V-47. ln[1 - I(d8)/I(EQ)]/d8 plot for Pz-ring dissociation in (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe3) at 32.0 °C.

$k(32.0 \, ^\circ\mathrm{C}) = 3.407 \, \text{s}^{-1}$
Activation parameters were extracted from the Eyring plot (Figure V-48): $\Delta H^\circ = (8.18 \pm 0.21) \times 10^1 \text{ kJ/mol}$, $\Delta S^\circ = (3.24 \pm 0.70) \times 10^1 \text{ J/(K\cdot mol)}$

**(Tp)(ArN)Mo(OCH\textsubscript{2}Ph)(PMe\textsubscript{3})** dissociation of Pz ligands

A solution of (Tp)(ArN)Mo(OCH\textsubscript{2}Ph)(PMe\textsubscript{3}) (12.1 mg, 0.018 mmol) in C\textsubscript{6}D\textsubscript{6} (0.60 ml) was studied by SELNOGP ge-1D EXSY NMR at 17.0, 22.0, 27.0 and 32.0 °C. Dissociation of the Pz ligands was not observed.

**Synthesis of (Tp)(ArN)Mo(OCH\textsubscript{2}Ph)(PMe\textsubscript{3})**

Benzaldehyde (56.7 mg, 0.535 mmol) was added to a solution of (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe\textsubscript{3}) (300.0 mg, 0.535 mmol) in Et\textsubscript{2}O at RT. The reaction mixture was left for one week until the reaction was complete. All volatiles were evaporated in vacuum. The product, (Tp)(ArN)Mo(OCH\textsubscript{2}Ph)(PMe\textsubscript{3}), was a green oil, and could not be individually isolated or purified because of its instability. $^1$H-NMR (300 MHz; C\textsubscript{6}D\textsubscript{6}; 298K; δ, ppm): 0.91 (m, 6H, 2CH\textsubscript{3}, iPr), 1.19 (d, $^3J_{H-H} = 6.8$ Hz, 6H, 2CH\textsubscript{3}, iPr), 1.21 (d,
$^2J_{P,H} = 7.1$ Hz, 9H, PMe$_3$, 3.86 (m, 2H, 2CH, iPr), 4.94 (dd, $^2J_{H-H} = 244.5$ Hz, $^3J_{H-P} = 14.0$ Hz, 2H, OCH$_2$Ph), 5.76 (m, 3H, Pz), 5.96 (m, 3H, Pz), 5.98 (m, 3H, Pz), 6.96-7.02 (m, 2H), 7.10-7.20 (m, 2H), 7.21-7.25 (m, 1H), 7.30-7.38 (m, 2H), 7.39-7.43 (m, 1H), 7.47-7.58 (m, 4H), 7.63-7.68 (m, 2H). $^{31}$P-NMR (121.5 MHz; C$_6$D$_6$; 298 K; δ, ppm): 9.9 (s, 1P, PMe$_3$). $^{13}$C-NMR (75.5 MHz; C$_6$D$_6$; 298 K; δ, ppm): 16.4 (d, $^2J_{C-P}=21.9$ Hz, PMe$_3$), 23.9 (Me, iPr), 24.2 (Me, iPr), 28.1 (CH, iPr), 79.2 (d, $^3J_{C-P} = 5.5$ Hz, OCH$_2$Ph), 105.1 (Pz), 105.6 (Pz), 106.4 (Pz), 124.3, 124.4, 125.9, 127.2, 128.3, 133.5, 136.0, 136.3, 142.2, 143.4, 145.9, 146.5, 150.0, 155.2.

**Reaction between (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe$_3$) and benzaldehyde**

Benzaldehyde (1.9 mg, 0.0178 mmol) was added to a solution of (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe$_3$) (10.0 mg, 0.0178 mmol) in C$_6$D$_6$ (0.60 ml). The reaction was monitored by $^1$H NMR (Figure V-49). The experiment has been repeated in the presence of 5 eq. PMe$_3$ (6.8 mg, 0.089 mmol) (Figure V-50), 10 eq. PMe$_3$ (13.6 mg, 0.178 mmol) (Figure V-51), and 20 eq. eq. PMe$_3$ (27.2 mg, 0.356 mmol) (Figure V-52).

![Graph](image)

**Figure V-49.** (1/C)/time plot for the reaction of (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe$_3$) with PhCHO (1eq.).

$k$(no PMe$_3$) = (3.19 ± 0.04) M$^{-1}$·h$^{-1}$ = (8.85 ± 0.10)·10$^{-4}$ M$^{-1}$·s$^{-1}$
Figure V-50. (1/C)/time plot for the reaction of \((\text{Tp})(\text{ArN})\text{Mo(H)(PMe}_3\)) with PhCHO (1 eq.) in the presence of 5 eq. of PMe₃.

\[k(5 \text{ PMe}_3) = (3.91 \pm 0.02) \text{ M}^{-1} \cdot \text{h}^{-1} = (1.09 \pm 0.01) \times 10^{-3} \text{ M}^{-1} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}\]

Figure V-51. (1/C)/time plot for the reaction of \((\text{Tp})(\text{ArN})\text{Mo(H)(PMe}_3\)) with PhCHO (1 eq.) in the presence of 10 eq. of PMe₃.

\[k(10 \text{ PMe}_3) = (3.15 \pm 0.02) \text{ M}^{-1} \cdot \text{h}^{-1} = (8.75 \pm 0.10) \times 10^{-4} \text{ M}^{-1} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}\]
Figure V-52. (1/C)/time plot for the reaction of (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃) with PhCHO (1 eq.) in the presence of 20 eq. of PMe₃.

\[ k(20 \text{ PMe₃}) = (3.83 \pm 0.03) \text{ M}^{-1} \cdot \text{h}^{-1} = (1.06 \pm 0.01) \cdot 10^{-3} \text{ M}^{-1} \cdot \text{s}^{-1} \]

The rate constants for reaction between (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃) and PhCHO (1:1) have been determined at 36.0 °C (Figure V-53), 46.0 °C (Figure V-54) and 55.6 °C (Figure V-55).

Figure V-53. (1/C)/time plot for the reaction of (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃) with PhCHO (1 eq.) in the presence of 10 eq. of PMe₃ at 36.0 °C.

\[ k(36.0 \text{ °C}) = (6.03 \pm 0.02) \cdot 10^{-1} \text{ M}^{-1} \cdot \text{min}^{-1} = (1.005 \pm 0.003) \cdot 10^{-2} \text{ M}^{-1} \cdot \text{s}^{-1} \]
Figure V-54. (1/C)/time plot for the reaction of (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃) with PhCHO (1eq.) in the presence of 10 eq. of PMe₃ at 46.0 °C.

\[ k(46.0 ^\circ C) = (8.99 \pm 0.17) \cdot 10^{-1} \text{ M}^{-1} \cdot \text{min}^{-1} = (1.50 \pm 0.03) \cdot 10^{-2} \text{ M}^{-1} \cdot \text{s}^{-1} \]

Figure V-55. (1/C)/time plot for the reaction of (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃) with PhCHO (1eq.) in the presence of 10 eq. of PMe₃ at 55.6 °C.

\[ k(55.6 ^\circ C) = (7.103 \pm 0.112) \text{ M}^{-1} \cdot \text{min}^{-1} = (1.18 \pm 0.02) \cdot 10^{-1} \text{ M}^{-1} \cdot \text{s}^{-1} \]
Activation parameters were extracted from the Eyring plot (Figure V-56): \( \Delta H^\ddagger = (1.03 \pm 0.07) \times 10^2 \text{kJ/mol} \), \( \Delta S^\ddagger = (4.53 \pm 5.35) \times 10^1 \text{J/(K\cdot mol)} \).

**Synthesis of (Tp)(ArN)Mo(OCH\textsubscript{2}Ph)(\eta^2-PhCHO)**

Solution of (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe\textsubscript{3}) (300.0 mg, 0.534 mmol) and benzaldehyde (113.42 mg, 1.069 mmol) in Et\textsubscript{2}O was stirred for one week at RT. The pale-yellow precipitate, (Tp)(ArN)Mo(OCH\textsubscript{2}Ph)(\eta^2-PhCHO), was filtered and washed with Et\textsubscript{2}O. Yield: 187 mg, 50%.

**\(^1\)H-NMR** (300 MHz; C\textsubscript{6}D\textsubscript{6}; 298K; \( \delta \), ppm): 0.13-1.84 (bm, 12H, CH\textsubscript{3}, iPr), 5.38 (s, CHO, PhCHO), 5.56 (m, 1H, Pz\textsuperscript{a}), 5.84 (m, 1H, Pz\textsuperscript{b}), 5.93 (m, 1H, Pz\textsuperscript{c}), 6.52 (d, \( ^2J_{H-H} = 15.4\text{Hz}, \text{CH}^a\text{H}^b\text{Ph} \)), 6.63 (d, \( ^2J_{H-H} = 15.4\text{Hz}, \text{CH}^a\text{H}^b\text{Ph} \)), 6.70-6.97 (bm, 1H), 7.00-7.05 (3H), 7.12 (m, 1H), 7.16 (pt, 3H), 7.30 (1H), 7.37-7.41 (m, 3H), 7.43-7.64 (2H), 7.62 (1H), 8.14 (m, 2H).

**\(^{13}\)C-NMR** (75.5 MHz; C\textsubscript{6}D\textsubscript{6}; 298 K; \( \delta \), ppm): 24.3 (iPr), 27.9 (iPr), 69.8 (-OCH\textsubscript{2}Ph), 105.2 (Mo-PhCHO), 105.5 (Pz\textsuperscript{a}), 105.6 (Pz\textsuperscript{b}), 106.3 (Pz\textsuperscript{b}), 123.7 (bs), 126.1, 126.8, 127.3, 127.9, 128.3, 134.5, 134.7, 136.0, 140.1, 142.8, 143.8, 145.1, 147.3, 150.9. **\(^{11}\)B-NMR** (96.3 MHz; C\textsubscript{6}D\textsubscript{6}; 298 K; \( \delta \), ppm): -4.3 (bs). IR (nujol, cm\textsuperscript{-1}): 1597 (C-H, -CH=O), 2520 (B-H).
Reaction of (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe3) with PhSiH₃

Phenylsilane (5.4 mg, 0.050 mmol) was added to a solution of (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe3) (28.0 mg, 0.050 mmol) in C₆D₆ (0.60 ml). No reaction was observed.

Reaction of (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe3) with PhSiH₃ and BPh₃

Phenylsilane (19.3 mg, 0.178 mmol) and triphenylborane (10.8 mg, 0.045 mmol) were added to a solution of (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe3) (25.0 mg, 0.045 mmol) in C₆D₆ (0.60 ml). The reaction mixture was left for one day at RT, and then heated for one day at 50 °C. No reaction was observed.

Reaction of (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe3) with PhSiD₃

\[
\text{Tp} \quad \text{H} \quad \text{PhSiD₃ (excess)} \quad 60°C \quad \text{Tp} \quad \text{D} \\
\text{ArN} \quad \text{Mo} \quad \text{PMe₃} \\
\text{ArN} \quad \text{Mo} \quad \text{PMe₃}
\]

PhSiD₃ (9.9 mg, 0.089 mol) was added to a solution of (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃) (10.0 mg, 0.018 mmol) in C₆D₆ (0.60 ml). The reaction was monitored at 60.0 °C by \(^1\)H NMR (Figure V-57).

![Figure V-57](image)

\[\text{y} = -0.0072x - 3.5228 \quad R^2 = 0.9959\]

Figure V-57. In(C)/time plot for the reaction of (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃) with PhSiD₃ (5 eq.) at 60.0 °C.

\[k_{\text{H/D}}(60.0 \, ^{\circ}\text{C}) = (7.22 \pm 0.14) \cdot 10^{-3} \, \text{min}^{-1} = (1.20 \pm 0.23) \cdot 10^{-4} \, \text{s}^{-1}\]
Reaction of (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃) with PhSiD₃

Et₃SiD (14.0 µl, 0.100 mol) was added to a solution of (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃) (11.3 mg, 0.020 mmol) in C₆D₆ (0.60 ml). The reaction was heated at 50.0 °C during two days. H/D exchange was not observed.

Reaction of (Tp)(ArN)Mo(OCH₂Ph)(PMe₃) with PhSiH₃

Phenylsilane (13.5 mg, 0.125 mmol) was added to a solution of (Tp)(ArN)Mo(OCH₂Ph)(PMe₃) (8.3 mg, 0.013 mmol) in C₆D₆ (0.60 ml). The reaction was monitored by ¹H NMR at 17.0 °C (Figure V-58), 22.0 °C (Figure V-59), 27.0 °C (Figure V-60) and 32.0 °C (Figure V-61).

![Figure V-58. ln(C)/time plot for the reaction between (Tp)(ArN)Mo(OCH₂Ph)(PMe₃) and PhSiH₃ (10 eq.) at 17.0 °C.](image)

\[ y = -0.0065x - 4.9162 \]
\[ R^2 = 0.9923 \]

\[ k(17.0 \, ^\circ C) = (6.78 \pm 0.09) \times 10^{-3} \, \text{min}^{-1} = (1.13 \pm 0.02) \times 10^{-4} \, \text{s}^{-1} \]
**Figure V-59.** ln(C)/time plot for the reaction between (Tp)(ArN)Mo(OCH2Ph)(PMe3) and PhSiH3 (10 eq.) at 22.0 °C.

\[ k(22.0 \, ^\circ C) = (1.41 \pm 0.01) \cdot 10^{-2} \text{ min}^{-1} = (2.35 \pm 0.02) \cdot 10^{-4} \text{ s}^{-1} \]

**Figure V-60.** ln(C)/time plot for the reaction between (Tp)(ArN)Mo(OCH2Ph)(PMe3) and PhSiH3 (10 eq.) at 27.0 °C.

\[ k(27.0 \, ^\circ C) = (2.62 \pm 0.02) \cdot 10^{-2} \text{ min}^{-1} = (4.37 \pm 0.04) \cdot 10^{-4} \text{ s}^{-1} \]
\[ y = -0.052x - 4.3006 \]
\[ R^2 = 0.9982 \]

**Figure V-61.** ln(C)/time plot for the reaction between (Tp)(ArN)Mo(OCH₂Ph)(PMe₃) and PhSiH₃ (10 eq.) at 32.0 °C.

\[ k(32.0 \, ^\circ C) = (5.20 \pm 0.05) \times 10^{-2} \, \text{min}^{-1} = (8.67 \pm 0.01) \times 10^{-4} \, \text{s}^{-1} \]

**Figure V-62.** Eyring plot for the reaction of (Tp)(ArN)Mo(OCH₂Ph)(PMe₃) with PhSiH₃ (10 eq.).

Activation parameters were extracted from the Eyring plot (Figure V-62): \[ \Delta H^\ddagger = (96.6 \pm 1.8) \, \text{kJ/mol}, \quad \Delta S^\ddagger = (12.5 \pm 6.2) \, \text{J/(K·mol)} \]
Reaction of (Tp)(ArN)Mo(OCH₂Ph)(PMe₃) with PhSiD₃

PhSiD₃ (13.9 mg, 0.125 mmol) was added to a solution of (Tp)(ArN)Mo(OCH₂Ph)(PMe₃) (8.3 mg, 0.013 mmol) in C₆D₆ (0.60 ml). The reaction was monitored by ¹H NMR at 17.0 °C (Figure V-63), 22.0 °C (Figure V-64), 27.0 °C (Figure V-65) and 32.0 °C (Figure V-66).

![Figure V-63](image)

**Figure V-63.** ln(C)/time plot for reaction between (Tp)(ArN)Mo(OCH₂Ph)(PMe₃) and PhSiD₃ (10 eq.) at 17.0 °C.

\[ k(17.0 \, ^{\circ}\text{C}) = (1.26 \pm 0.01) \cdot 10^{-2} \, \text{min}^{-1} = (2.10 \pm 0.02) \cdot 10^{-4} \, \text{s}^{-1} \]
Figure V-64. ln(C)/time plot for reaction between (Tp)(ArN)Mo(OCH₂Ph)(PMe₃) and PhSiD₃ (10 eq.) at 22.0 °C.

\[ k(22.0 \, ^°C) = (1.75 \pm 0.01) \cdot 10^{-2} \, \text{min}^{-1} = (2.92 \pm 0.02) \cdot 10^{-4} \, \text{s}^{-1} \]

Figure V-65. ln(C)/time plot for reaction between (Tp)(ArN)Mo(OCH₂Ph)(PMe₃) and PhSiD₃ (10 eq.) at 27.0 °C.

\[ k(27.0 \, ^°C) = (3.99 \pm 0.02) \cdot 10^{-2} \, \text{min}^{-1} = (6.60 \pm 0.04) \cdot 10^{-4} \, \text{s}^{-1} \]
Figure V-66. ln(C)/time plot for reaction between (Tp)(ArN)Mo(OCH2Ph)(PMe3) and PhSiD3 (10 eq.) at 32.0 °C.

\[ k(32.0 \, ^\circ C) = (6.46 \pm 0.05) \times 10^{-2} \, \text{min}^{-1} = (1.08 \pm 0.01) \times 10^{-3} \, \text{s}^{-1} \]

Figure V-67. Eyring plot for the reaction of (Tp)(ArN)Mo(OCH2Ph)(PMe3) with PhSiD3 (10 eq.).
Activation parameters for reaction between (Tp)(ArN)Mo(OCH₂Ph)(PMe₃) and PhSiD₃ (10 eq.) were extracted from the Eyring plot (Figure V-67): \( \Delta H^\ddagger = (8.17 \pm 0.98) \times 10^1 \text{ kJ/mol}, \Delta S^\ddagger = -(3.44 \pm 3.29) \times 10^1 \text{ J/(K·mol)}. \)

**Reaction of (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃) with PhSiD₃ and cyclohexane**

Experiment 1. Cyclohexanone (1.9 mg, 0.018 mmol) and PhSiD₃ (2.0 mg, 0.018 mmol) were added to a solution of (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃) (10.0 mg, 0.018 mmol) in C₆D₆ (0.60 ml). The reaction was monitored by \(^1\)H NMR (Figure V-68, green). Substitution of the hydride by deuterium was not observed.

Experiment 2. Cyclohexanone (3.4 mg, 0.036 mmol) and PhSiD₃ (2.0 mg, 0.018 mmol) were added to a solution of (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃) (10.0 mg, 0.018 mmol) in C₆D₆ (0.60 ml). The reaction was monitored by \(^1\)H NMR (Figure V-68, red).

Experiment 3. Cyclohexanone (1.9 mg, 0.018 mmol) and PhSiD₃ (4.0 mg, 0.018 mmol) were added to a solution of (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃) (10.0 mg, 0.018 mmol) in C₆D₆ (0.60 ml). The reaction was monitored by \(^1\)H NMR (Figure V-68, blue).
Figure V-68. (1/C)/time plot for the reactions

(Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃) + cyclohexanol + PhSiD₃ (1:1:1) (green),
(Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃) + 2 cyclohexanol + PhSiD₃ (1:2:1) (red), and
(Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃) + cyclohexanol + 2 PhSiD₃ (1:1:2) (blue).

Reaction of (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃) with PhSiD₃ and PhCHO

Benzaldehyde (1.9 mg, 0.018 mmol) and PhSiD₃ (2.0 mg, 0.018 mmol) were added to a solution of (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃) (10.0 mg, 0.018 mmol) in C₆D₆ (0.60 ml). The reaction provided formation of PhCHDOSiD₂Ph and (PhCHDO)₂SiDPh. The catalyst (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃) did not form derivatives and remained unchanged during the reaction.

Reaction of (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃) with acetophenone

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Tp} & \quad \text{H} & \quad \text{Ph} & \quad \rightarrow & \quad \text{Tp} & \quad \text{O} & \quad \text{Ph} \\
\text{ArN} & \quad \text{Mo} & \quad \text{PMe₃} & \quad & \quad \text{ArN} & \quad \text{Mo} & \quad \text{PMe₃}
\end{align*}
\]

202
Acetophenone (10.2 mg, 0.083 mmol) was added to a solution of (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃) (10.0 mg, 0.018 mmol) in C₆D₆ (0.60 ml). The reaction mixture was heated at 50 °C for two days. The reaction afforded formation of two diastereomers of (Tp)(ArN)Mo(OCH(Me)Ph)(PMe₃) with 1.38 (A) : 1 (B) ratio and 70% total yield. ¹H-NMR (300 MHz; C₆D₆; 298K; δ, ppm): 0.93-1.02 (m, 12H, 4CH₃, iPr, A), 1.18 (d, J = 7.35, 9H, PMe₃, A), 1.20 (d, J = 6.2 Hz, 6H, 2CH₃, iPr, B), 1.23 (d, J = 7.35, 9H, PMe₃, B), 1.49 (d, J = 6.2 Hz, 6H, 2CH₃, iPr, B), 3.67 (sept, J = 6.85 Hz, 2H, iPr, B), 3.78 (bm, 2H, iPr, A), 4.60 (q, J = 6.1 Hz, 1H, -OCHMePh, A), 5.34 (q, J = 6.2 Hz, 1H, -OCHMePh, B), 5.58 (m, 1H, Pz, A), 5.81 (m, 1H, Pz, B), 5.92 (m, 1H, Pz, A), 5.95 (m, 1H, Pz, B), 6.03 (m, 1H, Pz, A), 6.04 (m, 1H, Pz, B), 6.92 (m, 1H, Pz), 6.95 (m, 1H, Pz), 6.99-7.40 (mm, 20H, Pz+Ar+Ph, A+B), 7.45 (m, 1H, Pz, A), 7.47 (m, 1H, Pz, B), 7.59 (m, 1H, Pz), 7.61 (m, 1H, Pz), 7.64 (m, 1H, Pz), 7.66 (m, 1H, Pz). ³¹P-NMR (121.5 MHz; C₆D₆; 298 K; δ, ppm): 9.2 (s, PMe₃, B), 9.3 (s, PMe₃, A).

**Reaction of (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃) with acetone**

Acetone (1.0 mg, 0.018 mmol) was added to a solution of (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃) (10.0 mg, 0.018 mmol) in C₆D₆ (0.60 ml). No reaction was observed during one day at RT. An additional amount of acetone (5.2 mg, 0.065 mmol) was added, and the reaction mixture was heated at 50 °C during two days. The acetone condensation and formation of unknown products were observed in ¹H NMR:

**Formation of (Tp)(ArN)Mo(OCH₃)(PMe₃)**

(Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃) (10.0 mg, 0.018 mmol) was dissolved in MeOH (0.60 ml). The color of the solution gradually changed from dark brown to green within 30 min. The solvent was evaporated, and the residue was re-dissolved in C₆D₆ (0.60 ml). Reaction provided formation of (Tp)(ArN)Mo(OCH₃)(PMe₃) as the only product. ¹H-NMR (300 MHz; CDCl₃; 298K; δ, ppm): 0.83-0.99 (bm, 6H, 2CH₃, iPr), 1.20 (d, J = 7.28 Hz, 9H, 203
PMe₃), 1.25-1.31 (bm, 6H, iPr), 3.83 (bm, 2H, iPr), 4.09 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 5.89 (m, 1H, Pz), 5.94 (m, 1H, Pz), 5.97 (m, 1H, Pz), 6.99-7.04 (m, 2H, Ar), 7.14-7.20 (m, 1H, Ar), 7.26 (m, 1H, Pz), 7.41 (m, 1H, Pz), 7.50 (m, 1H, Pz), 7.53 (m, 1H, Pz), 7.61 (m, 1H, Pz), 7.85 (m, 1H, Pz). ³¹P-NMR (121.5 MHz; C₆D₆; 298 K; δ, ppm): 9.3 (1P, PMe₃)

**Reaction of (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃) with (+)-camphor**

(+)-Camphor (5.8 mg, 0.038 mmol) was added to a solution of (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃) (42.8 mg, 0.076 mmol) in C₆D₆ (0.60 ml). The reaction mixture was heated at 50 °C for 15 days. The reaction afforded a mixture of four diastereomers in 100% NMR yield. ³¹P-NMR (121.5 MHz; C₆D₆; 298 K; δ, ppm): 7.8 (s, PMe₃, isomer-I, 27%), 8.3 (s, PMe₃, isomer-II, 16%), 8.5 (s, PMe₃, isomer-III, 5%), 9.0 (s, PMe₃, isomer-IV, 52%).

**Reaction of (Tp)(ArN)Mo(OCH₂Ph)(PhCHO) with p-bromobenzaldehyde**

p-Bromobenzaldehyde (2.6 mg, 0.0143 mmol) was added to a solution of (Tp)(ArN)Mo(OCH₂Ph)(PhCHO) (5.0 mg, 0.0072 mmol) in C₆D₆ (0.60 ml). The reaction mixture was heated at 60 °C for one hour. The coordinated benzaldehyde was replaced by p-bromobenzaldehyde. No further reaction was observed. ¹H-NMR (300 MHz; CDCl₃; 298K; δ, ppm): 0.14-1.88 (bm, 12H, 4CH₃, i-Pr, Ar), 5.19 (s, 1H, Mo-ArCHO), 5.58 (m,
1H, Pz), 5.84 (m, 1H, Pz), 5.93 (m, 1H, Pz), 6.45 (d, J = 15.9 Hz, -OCH\textsuperscript{3}H\textsuperscript{3}Ph), 6.56 (d, J = 15.9 Hz, -OCH\textsuperscript{3}H\textsuperscript{3}Ph), 6.76-6.87 (m, 3H, Ar), 6.93-7.00 (m, 3H, Ph), 7.02 (m, 1H), 7.05 (m, 1H), 7.12 (m, 1H, Pz), 7.33-7.38 (m, 2H, aromatic C-H, p-BrC\textsubscript{6}H\textsubscript{4}CHO), 7.49-7.54 (m, 2H, aromatic C-H, p-BrC\textsubscript{6}H\textsubscript{4}CHO), 7.60 (m, 1H, Pz), 7.30 (m, 1H, Pz), 7.98 (m, 1H, Pz), 8.10 (m, 1H, Pz).

**Synthesis of (Tp)(ArN)Mo(-N=CHPh)(PMe\textsubscript{3})**

The solution of (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe\textsubscript{3}) (304 mg, 0.542 mmol) and benzonitrile (55.8 mg, 0.542 mmol) in C\textsubscript{6}D\textsubscript{6} (0.60 ml) was heated at 50 °C for 4 days. Then, the solvent was evaporated in vacuum. The reaction provided formation of (Tp)(ArN)Mo(-N=CHPh)(PMe\textsubscript{3}). Yield: 349 mg (97%). \textsuperscript{1}H-NMR (300 MHz; C\textsubscript{6}D\textsubscript{6}; 298K; δ, ppm): 0.96 (d, \textsuperscript{3}J\textsubscript{H-H} = 6.8 Hz, 6H, 2CH\textsubscript{3}, iPr), 1.07 (d, \textsuperscript{2}J\textsubscript{P-H} = 7.7 Hz, 9H, PMe\textsubscript{3}), 1.31 (bd, \textsuperscript{3}J\textsubscript{H-H} = 6.7 Hz, 6H, 2CH\textsubscript{3}, iPr), 3.92 (bsept, \textsuperscript{3}J\textsubscript{H-H} = 6.8 Hz, 2H, iPr), 5.78 (m, 1H, Pz), 5.87 (m, 1H, Pz), 6.01 (m, 1H, Pz), 6.90 (bm, 1H, Ar), 7.07 (m, 3H, Ar), 7.19-7.53 (bm, 5H), 7.30 (m, 1H, Pz), 7.46 (m, 1H, Pz), 7.49 (m, 1H, Pz), 7.54 (m, 1H, Pz), 7.57 (m, 1H, Pz), 7.68 (m, 1H, Pz), 8.07 (d, \textsuperscript{4}J\textsubscript{H-P} = 2.2 Hz, CH=N). \textsuperscript{31}P-NMR (121.5 MHz; C\textsubscript{6}D\textsubscript{6}; 298 K; δ, ppm): -0.1 (1P, PMe\textsubscript{3}). \textsuperscript{13}C-NMR (75.5 MHz; C\textsubscript{6}D\textsubscript{6}; 298 K; δ, ppm): 16.4 (d, \textsuperscript{3}J\textsubscript{C-P} = 23.7 Hz, PMe\textsubscript{3}), 24.7, 25.6, 27.5, 104.6, 105.7, 106.7, 123.7, 125.0, 125.4, 125.6, 128.2, 133.8, 135.4, 135.9, 141.3, 143.0, 143.5, 144.3, 145.8, 148.4, 154.3. IR (nujol, cm\textsuperscript{-1}): 1592 (-N=C-H), 2476 (B-H). Elem. Anal. (%): calc. for C\textsubscript{3}H\textsubscript{42}BMoN\textsubscript{3}P (664.45): C 56.04, H 6.37, N 16.86; found (±0.3%) C 54.96, H 6.54, N 15.64.

**Reaction of (Tp)(ArN)Mo(-N=CHPh)(PMe\textsubscript{3}) with PhCHO**

The excess of benzaldehyde was added to the solution containing (Tp)(ArN)Mo(-N=CHPh)(PMe\textsubscript{3}). The product was not isolated. \textsuperscript{1}H-NMR (300 MHz; C\textsubscript{6}D\textsubscript{6}; 298K; δ, ppm): 5.37 (s, 1H, CHO, PhCHO), 5.57 (m, 1H, Pz), 5.85 (m, 1H, Pz), 5.94 (m, 1H, Pz).
6.60-6.67 (m, 3H), 6.75-6.87 (m, 5H), 7.13 (m, 2H), 7.31 (m, 1H), 7.37 (m, 2H), 7.63 (m, 1H), 8.15 (m, 2H).

**Reaction of (Tp)(ArN)Mo(-N=CHPh)(PMe3) with PhSiH3**

Phenylsilane (4.2 mg, 0.039 mmol) was added to a solution of (Tp)(ArN)Mo(-N=CHPh)(PMe3) (26.0 mg, 0.0390 mmol), and the reaction mixture was left to two days at RT, and then, heated for one day at 50 °C. No reaction was observed. BPh3 (9.4 mg, 0.039 mmol) was added to the reaction mixture, and the sample was heated for two hours at 50 °C, and then, overnight at RT. No reaction was observed.

**Preparation of (Tp)(ArN)Mo(-CHMeCN)(PMe3)**

Acrylonitrile (1.8 μl, 0.027 mmol) was added to a solution of (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe3) (15.0 mg, 0.0367 mmol) in C₆D₆ (0.60 ml). The reaction provided clean formation of (Tp)(ArN)Mo(-CHMeCN)(PMe3) within one hour at RT. ¹H-NMR (300 MHz; C₆D₆; 298K; δ, ppm): 0.96 (d, 3JH-H = 6.8 Hz, 6H, 2CH₃, i-Pr), 1.07 (d, 3JH-H = 6.8 Hz, 6H, 2CH₃, i-Pr), 1.29 (d, 2JH-P = 7.7 Hz, 9H, PMe3), 1.84 (d, 3JH-H = 7.2 Hz, 3H, -CHMeCN), 2.62 (qd, 3JH-H = 7.2 Hz, 3JH-P = 2.4 Hz, 1H, Mo-CHMeCN), 3.64 (sept, 3JH-H = 6.8 Hz, 2H, iPr), 5.68 (t, 3JH-H = 2.1 Hz, 1H, Pz), 5.95 (t, 3JH-H = 2.1 Hz, 1H, Pz), 5.98 (t, 3JH-H = 2.1 Hz, 1H, Pz), 7.10 (t, 3JH-H = 7.7 Hz, 1H, p-H, Ar), 7.16 (d, 3JH-H = 2.1 Hz, 1H, Pz), 7.42 (d, 3JH-H = 2.1 Hz, 1H, Pz), 7.46 (d, 3JH-H = 2.1 Hz, 1H, Pz), 7.51 (d, 3JH-H = 2.1 Hz, 1H, Pz), 7.69 (d, 3JH-H = 2.1 Hz, 1H, Pz), 8.18 (d, 3JH-H = 2.1 Hz, 1H, Pz). ³¹P-NMR (121.5 MHz; C₆D₆; 298 K; δ, ppm): 5.5 (1P, PMe3). ¹³C-NMR (150.9 MHz; C₆D₆; 298 K; δ, ppm): 10.9 (d, 2Jc-P = 2.9 Hz, Mo-CH), 18.2 (d, 1Jc-P = 22.5 Hz, PMe3), 24.1 (Mo-CHMeCN), 24.5 (CH₃, i-Pr), 25.2 (CH₃, i-Pr), 27.0 (CH, i-Pr), 104.5 (Pz), 105.8 (Pz), 106.3 (Pz), 123.7 (CH, m-C, Ar), 125.7 (CH, p-C, Ar), 134.2 (Pz), 135.6 (Pz), 135.8 (Pz), 139.5 (CN), 141.5 (Pz), 142.1 (Pz), 143.6 (Pz), 145.6 (ipso-C, C-C, Ar), 152.1 (ipso-C, C-N, Ar).
Table V-4. Hydrosilylation of various organic substrates catalyzed by (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃) (5 mol%).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Substrate</th>
<th>Silane</th>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Reaction conditions</th>
<th>Conversion of organic substrate</th>
<th>Yield, according to 1H-NMR</th>
<th>Turnover number (TON)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PhCHO</td>
<td>PhSiH₃</td>
<td>PhCH₂OSiH₂Ph</td>
<td>0.5 day, RT</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(PhCH₂O)₂SiHPh</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PhCOCH₃</td>
<td>PhSiH₃</td>
<td>PhSiH₂OCH(Me)Ph</td>
<td>1.5 days, RT</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>85%</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PhSiH(OCH(Me)Ph)₂</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyclohexanone</td>
<td>PhSiH₃</td>
<td>CyOSiH₂Ph</td>
<td>53 min, RT</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>85%</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(CyO)₂SiHPh</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PhCHO</td>
<td>PhMeSiH₂</td>
<td>PhCH₂OSiHMePh</td>
<td>1.5 days, 50 °C</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PhCOCH₃</td>
<td>PhMeSiH₂</td>
<td>PhMeSiHOCH(Me)Ph</td>
<td>2.5 days, 50 °C</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyclohexanone</td>
<td>PhMeSiH₂</td>
<td>CyOSiHMePh</td>
<td>1 day, RT</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>96%</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(CyO)₂SiMePh</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PhCHO</td>
<td>PhMe₂SiH</td>
<td></td>
<td>2 days, 50 °C</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PhCOCH₃</td>
<td>PhMe₂SiH</td>
<td></td>
<td>2 days, 50 °C</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyclohexanone</td>
<td>PhMe₂SiH</td>
<td>CyOSiMe₂Ph</td>
<td>1.5 days, 50 °C</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PhCHO</td>
<td>(EtO)₃SiH</td>
<td></td>
<td>2 days, 50 °C</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PhCOCH₃</td>
<td>(EtO)₃SiH</td>
<td></td>
<td>2 days, 50 °C</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PhCHO</td>
<td>Et₃SiH</td>
<td></td>
<td>1 day, 60 °C</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PhCN</td>
<td>PhSiH₃</td>
<td>PhCH=NSiH₂Ph</td>
<td>3 days, 50 °C</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>86%</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(PhCH=N)₂SiH₃Ph</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table V-5. Hydrosilylation of PhCHO with PhSiH₃ (and PhSiD₃) catalyzed by (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃): reaction rate constants of individual steps and activation parameters.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>REACTION</th>
<th>Rate constant, ( k )</th>
<th>( \Delta H^\ddagger ), kJ/mol</th>
<th>( \Delta S^\ddagger ), J/(K·mol)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃), Pz-ring dissociation</td>
<td>( k(290.1 , \text{K}) = 0.618 , \text{s}^{-1} )</td>
<td>81.8 ± 2.1</td>
<td>32.4 ± 7.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃) + PhCHO (1 eq) + PMe₃ (10 eq) \rightarrow (Tp)(ArN)Mo(OCH₂Ph)(PMe₃)</td>
<td>( k(309.1 , \text{K}) = (1.005 \pm 0.003) \cdot 10^{-2} , \text{M}^{-1} \cdot \text{s}^{-1} )</td>
<td>102.5 ± 6.6</td>
<td>45.3 ± 53.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Tp)(ArN)Mo(OCH₂Ph)(PMe₃) + PhSiH₃ (10 eq) \rightarrow (Cp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃) + (PhCH₂O)₂SiHPh</td>
<td>( k(309.1 , \text{K}) = (1.13 \pm 0.02) \cdot 10^{-4} , \text{s}^{-1} )</td>
<td>96.6 ± 1.8</td>
<td>12.5 ± 6.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃) + PhSiD₃ (5 eq.) \rightarrow (Tp)(ArN)Mo(D)(PMe₃)</td>
<td>( k(333.1 , \text{K}) = (1.20 \pm 0.23) \cdot 10^{-4} , \text{s}^{-1} )</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
V. 3. Hydrosilylation catalyzed by (PPh₃)CuH

Preparation of (PPh₃)CuH

A crude mixture of triphenylphosphine (1.06 g, 4.04 mmol), copper(I) chloride (0.2 g, 2.02 mmol) and t-BuOK (0.23 g, 2.02 mmol) was suspended in toluene, and PhMe₂SiH (0.31 ml, 2.02 mmol) was added to the resulting solution. All the solids dissolved, and the reaction mixture turned dark red within one hour. Acetonitrile (~200%) was added, and the resulting solution was placed in freezer (-40 °C) for two days. The crystallized product was filtered, washed with acetonitrile and dried under vacuum. Crude yield: 0.31 g (47%). Additional purification from inorganic salts (e.g. KCl) was not performed. The isolated compound was NMR-pure CuH(PPh₃). ¹H-NMR (300 MHz; C₆D₆; 298K; δ, ppm): 3.51 (bs, 1H, Cu-H), 6.65-6.82 (m, 6H, m-H, Ph), 6.95 (t, J = 7.14 Hz, 3H, p-H, Ph), 7.60-7.74 (m, 6H, o-H, Ph). ³¹P-NMR (121.5 MHz; C₆D₆; 298 K; δ, ppm): -5.9 (bs, 1P, PPh₃).

Stoichiometric reaction between (PPh₃)CuH, PhCHO and PhMe₂SiD

(PPh₃)CuH (14.5 mg, 0.044 mmol) was added to a solution of PhCHO (4.7 mg, 0.044 mmol) and PhMe₂SiD (6.1 mg, 0.044 mmol). The reaction resulted in formation of PhCHDOSiMe₂Ph as the only product. (PPh₃)CuH remained unchanged during the reaction. The hydride retention on Cu was demonstrated by the relative integral intensities in the ¹H NMR spectrum (Figure III-4, page 90; Figure III-5, page 91), and 100%-absence of Cu-D in 2D NMR spectrum (Figure III-6, page 92). By the end of conversion, PhCHO (47.0 mg, 0.440 mmol) and PhMe₂SiD (61.0 mg, 0.440 mmol) were added to the same NMR tube to perform the reaction catalytically. The signal of Cu-H was observable by ¹H NMR during the catalysis (Figure III-7, page 93).

Stoichiometric reaction between (PPh₃)CuH, acetophenone and PhMe₂SiD

(PPh₃)CuH (0.6 mg, 0.020 mmol) was added to a solution of PhCOCH₃ (3.7 mg, 0.031 mmol) and PhMe₂SiD (4.2 mg, 0.031 mmol). Hydrosilylation was not observed.
Stoichiometric reaction between \((\text{PPh}_3)\text{CuH}\), cyclohexanone and \(\text{PhMe}_2\text{SiD}\)

\((\text{PPh}_3)\text{CuH} (10.0 \text{ mg, } 0.031 \text{ mmol})\) was added to a solution of cyclohexanol (3.0 mg, 0.031 mmol) and \(\text{PhMe}_2\text{SiD} (4.2 \text{ mg, } 0.031 \text{ mmol})\). The reaction was left overnight. Next day, only 11\% of the product \(\text{Cy(D)}\text{OSiMe}_2\text{Ph}\) was formed.

Hydrosilylation of PhCN by \(\text{PhMe}_2\text{SiH}\) in the presence of 10\% \((\text{PPh}_3)\text{CuH}\)

\((\text{PPh}_3)\text{CuH} (5.0 \text{ mg, } 0.015 \text{ mmol})\) was added to a solution of benzonitrile (15.9 mg, 0.154 mmol) and \(\text{PhMe}_2\text{SiH} (21.0 \text{ mg, } 0.154 \text{ mmol})\) in \(\text{C}_6\text{H}_6 (0.6 \text{ ml})\). The reaction mixture was kept at RT for several days, and then was heated at 50 °C overnight. Hydrosilylation of benzonitrile was not observed.

Stoichiometric reaction between \((\text{PPh}_3)\text{CuH}\) and PhCHO

Benzaldehyde (3.2 mg, 0.031 mmol) was added to a solution of \((\text{PPh}_3)\text{CuH} (10.0 \text{ mg, } 0.031 \text{ mmol})\) in \(\text{C}_6\text{H}_6 (0.6 \text{ ml})\). Next day, the reaction provided formation of \((\text{PPh}_3)\text{CuOCH}_2\text{Ph} (65\% \text{ NMR yield})\) and a relatively large amounts of a black precipitate (metallic copper). \(^1\text{H-NMR} (300 \text{ MHz; C}_6\text{D}_6; 298\text{K}; \delta, \text{ ppm}): 5.23 \text{ (s, 2H, -OCH}_2\text{Ph), 6.91-7.10 \text{ (m, 12H, m- and p-H, OPh, PPh}_3), 7.38-7.57 \text{ (m, 8H, o-H, OPh, PPh}_3). \(^31\text{P-NMR} (121.5 \text{ MHz; C}_6\text{D}_6; 298 \text{ K}; \delta, \text{ ppm): -1.0 \text{ (bs, 1P, PPh}_3). \(^13\text{C-NMR} (75.5 \text{ MHz; C}_6\text{D}_6; 298 \text{ K; } \delta, \text{ ppm): 71.3 \text{ (bs, -OCH}_2\text{Ph), 134.0 \text{ (o-C, -OCH}_2\text{Ph), 128.5 \text{ (m-C, -OCH}_2\text{Ph), 128.6 \text{ (p-C, -OCH}_2\text{Ph), 148.2 \text{ (bs, ipso-C, -OCH}_2\text{Ph)\)}}\)

Reaction between \((\text{PPh}_3)\text{CuOCH}_2\text{Ph}\) and \(\text{PhMe}_2\text{SiH}\)

\(\text{PhMe}_2\text{SiH} (0.020 \text{ mmol})\) was added to a solution of \((\text{PPh}_3)\text{CuOCH}_2\text{Ph} (0.020 \text{ mmol})\) in \(\text{C}_6\text{H}_6 (0.6 \text{ ml})\). Formation of \(\text{PhCH}_2\text{OSiMe}_2\text{Ph}\) was observed immediately by \(^1\text{H NMR. Several forms of copper hydride were present in the resulting mixture.} \(^1\text{H-NMR} (300 \text{ MHz; C}_6\text{D}_6; 298\text{K}; \delta, \text{ ppm): 2.55 \text{ (CuH), 3.14 \text{ (CuH), 3.63 \text{ (CuH), 4.69 (s, 2H, PhCH}_2\text{OSiMe}_2\text{Ph).}}\)\)
V. 4. Hydrosilylation catalyzed by oxo-Re(V) complexes

**Reaction of (PPh$_3$)$_2$(O)(I)Re(H)SiMe$_2$Ph with PhCHO and PhMe$_2$SiD**

Benzaldehyde (2.3 µl, 0.023 mmol) and PhMe$_2$SiD (2.8 µl, 0.023 mmol) were added to a solution of (I)(O)Re(H)(OSiMe$_2$Ph)(PPh$_3$)$_2$ prepared *in situ* from PhMe$_2$SiD (3.1 mg, 0.023 mmol) and (PPh$_3$)$_2$Re(O)$_2$(I) (20.0 mg, 0.023 mmol) in CDCl$_3$ (Figure III-8, page 98). The reaction was monitored by $^1$H NMR (Figure III-9, page 99 and Figure III-10, page 100), and was complete in approximately 40 min. By the end of reaction, the integral intensity of Re-H peak was decreased by 19%.

**Preparation of (PCy$_3$)$_2$ReOCl$_3$**

Tricyclohexylphosphine (305.0 mg, 1.09 mmol) was added to a solution of (PPh$_3$)$_2$ReOCl$_3$ (200.0 mg, 0.240 mmol) in benzene. The reaction mixture was stirred for several hours at RT. The solvent was evaporated, and the crude residue was washed with diethyl ether to remove the excess of PPh$_3$. The residue was dried under vacuum to give 205.0 mg of (PCy$_3$)$_2$ReOCl$_3$. Yield: 98%. $^1$H-NMR (300 MHz; C$_6$D$_6$; 298K; δ, ppm): 1.07-1.35 (m, 20H, Cy), 1.47-1.85 (m, 30H, Cy), 2.25-2.42 (m, 10H, Cy), 2.91-3.10 (m, 6H, Cy). $^{31}$P-NMR (121.5 MHz; C$_6$D$_6$; 298 K; δ, ppm): -28.0 (s, PCy$_3$).

**Preparation of (PCy$_3$)$_2$Re(H)OCl$_2$**

Triethylsilane (2.0 µl, 0.012 mmol) was added to a solution of ReOCl$_3$(PCy$_3$)$_2$ (10.8 mg, 0.012 mmol) in C$_6$D$_6$ (0.60 ml). The reaction mixture was heated at 100 °C for several days until the reaction is complete. NMR yield: ~100%. $^1$H-NMR (300 MHz; C$_6$D$_6$; 298K; δ, ppm): 1.08-2.62 (m, 66H, PCy$_3$), 7.38 (t, $^2$J$_{H-P}$ = 15.4 Hz, 1H, Re-H). $^{31}$P-NMR (121.5 MHz; C$_6$D$_6$; 298 K; δ, ppm): 8.8 (s, PCy$_3$).

**Reaction of (PCy$_3$)$_2$Re(H)OCl$_2$ with propanal and Et$_3$SiD**

Triethylsilane-$d_1$ (2.0 µl, 0.012 mmol) and propanal (0.9 µl, 0.012 mmol) were added to a solution of (PCy$_3$)$_2$Re(H)OCl$_2$ (10.0 mg, 0.012 mmol) in C$_6$D$_6$ (0.60 ml). The reaction was monitored by $^1$H NMR during one week at RT. Formation of
(PCy₃)₂Re(OCH₂CH₂CH₃)OCl₂ and Et₃SiOCH₂CH₂CH₃ was observed. ¹H-NMR (300 MHz; C₆D₆; 298K; δ, ppm): 3.49 (t, ³JH-H = 6.59 Hz, Et₃SiOCH₂CH₂CH₃), 3.69 (t, ³JH-H = 6.59 Hz, ReOCH₂CH₂CH₃). ³¹P-NMR (121.5 MHz; C₆D₆; 298 K; δ, ppm): -17.2 (s, PCy₃, (PCy₃)₂Re(OCH₂CH₂CH₃)OCl₂).

**Reaction of (PCy₃)₂Re(H)OCl₂ with butanone and Et₃SiD**

Triethylsilane-d₁ (2.0 µl, 0.012 mmol) and butanone (1.1 µl, 0.012 mmol) were added to a solution of (PCy₃)₂Re(H)OCl₂ (10.0 mg, 0.012 mmol) in C₆D₆ (0.60 ml). The reaction was monitored by ¹H NMR during several days at RT, followed by heating overnight at 70 °C. Butanone did not react with the other substrates. A slow exchange between Re-H and Si-D was observed.

**Preparation of (PPh₃)₂Re(D)OCl₂**

Triethylsilane-d₁ (2.8 µl, 0.017 mmol) was added to a solution of ReOCl₃(PPh₃)₂ (14.5 mg, 0.017 mmol) in C₆D₆ (0.60 ml), and the reaction mixture was heated at 50 °C for 3 hours. The reaction provided formation of (PPh₃)₂Re(D)OCl₂. NMR yield: ~100%. ¹H-NMR (300 MHz; C₆D₆; 298K; δ, ppm): 6.89-7.07 (m, 12H, PPh₃), 8.00-8.10 (m, 18H, PPh₃). ³¹P-NMR (121.5 MHz; C₆D₆; 298 K; δ, ppm): 7.3 (s, PPh₃).

**Reaction of (PPh₃)₂Re(D)OCl₂ with PhCHO and Et₃SiH**

Triethylsilane (2.8 µl, 0.017 mmol) and benzaldehyde (1.8 µl, 0.017 mmol) were added to a solution of (PPh₃)₂Re(D)OCl₂ (13.6 mg, 0.017 mmol) in C₆D₆ (0.60 ml). The reaction was monitored by ¹H NMR. Formation of benzyloxy complex (PCy₃)₂Re(OCHDPh)OCl₂ and Et₃SiOCHDPh was observed. ¹H-NMR (300 MHz; C₆D₆; 298K; δ, ppm): 3.56 (bs, ReOCHDPh), 4.63 (s, Et₃SiOCHDPh). ³¹P-NMR (121.5 MHz; C₆D₆; 298 K; δ, ppm): -10.2 (s, PPh₃, (PCy₃)₂Re(OCHDPh)OCl₂).

**H/D exchange between Et₃SiH and (PCy₃)₂Re(D)OCl₂**

Triethylsilane (2.8 µl, 0.017 mmol) was added to a solution of ReOCl₂(D)(PCy₃)₂ (0.017 mmol) in C₆D₆ (0.60 ml). The reaction mixture was heated at 70 °C overnight. Formation
of Re-\textit{H} was observed by $^1$H NMR. $^1$H-NMR (300 MHz; C$_6$D$_6$; 298K; \(\delta\), ppm): 1.08-2.62 (m, 66H, PCy$_3$), 7.38 (t, \(^2J_{\text{H}-\text{P}} = 15.4\) Hz, 1H, Re-\textit{H}).

V. 5. Hydrosilylation catalyzed by Zn(II) complexes

**Hydrosilylation of benzaldehyde with PMHS catalyzed by Zn(II)**

Solution of zinc 2-ethylhexanoate (51.7 mg, 0.147 mmol) in tert-butyl methyl ether (0.7 ml) was added to NaBD$_4$ (6.2 mg,0.147 mmol), and the mixture was left overnight at RT. The suspension was transferred into a separate NMR tube. PMHS (8.8 mg, 0.147 mmol) and benzaldehyde (15.6 mg, 0.147 mmol) were added, and the reaction mixture was heated at 70 °C overnight. $^1$H-NMR (300 MHz; C$_6$D$_6$; 298K; \(\delta\), ppm): 4.90-4.97 (m, PhCHDO-PMHS).

V. 6. Hydrosilylation catalyzed by (ArN)Mo(H)(Cl)(PMe$_3$)$_3$

**Stoichiometric reaction between (ArN)Mo(H)(Cl)(PMe$_3$)$_3$, PhCHO and PhSiD$_3$**

(ArN)Mo(H)(Cl)(PMe$_3$)$_3$ (10.0 mg, 0.019 mmol) was added to a solution of benzaldehyde (2.0 mg, 0.019 mmol) and PhSiD$_3$ (2.1 mg, 0.019 mmol). A quick formation of (ArN)Mo(H)(\(\eta^2\)-PhCHO)(Cl)(PMe$_3$)$_2$ was observed by $^1$H NMR. The adduct was slowly re-arranging into the benzyloxy complex (ArN)Mo(OCH$_2$Ph)(Cl)(PMe$_3$)$_3$, and the latter reacted with PhSiD$_3$ producing the silyl ether PhCH$_2$OSiD$_2$Ph and (ArN)Mo(D)(Cl)(PMe$_3$)$_3$.

**Stoichiometric reaction between (ArN)Mo(H)(Cl)(PMe$_3$)$_3$, cyclohexanone and PhSiD$_3$**

(ArN)Mo(H)(Cl)(PMe$_3$)$_3$ (10.0 mg, 0.019 mmol) was added to a solution of cyclohexanone (1.8 mg, 0.019 mmol) and PhSiD$_3$ (2.1 mg, 0.019 mmol). The reaction was 50% complete in 7 min at RT and yielded Cy(H)OSiD$_2$Ph (19%), Cy(D)OSiD$_2$Ph (32%). The initial catalyst was present as (ArN)Mo(H)(Cl)(PMe$_3$) (63%), and (ArN)Mo(D)(Cl)(PMe$_3$) (37%). The reaction was complete in approximately one hour at RT and finally yielded CyOSiD$_2$Ph (77%), (CyO)$_2$SiDPh (23%). The initial catalyst was present as (ArN)Mo(H)(Cl)(PMe$_3$) (44%), and (ArN)Mo(D)(Cl)(PMe$_3$) (66%).
Stoichiometric reaction between (ArN)Mo(H)(Cl)(PMe₃), PhCOCH₃ and PhSiD₃

(ArN)Mo(H)(Cl)(PMe₃)₃ (10.0 mg, 0.019 mmol) was added to a solution of acetophenone (2.2 mg, 0.019 mmol) and PhSiD₃ (2.1 mg, 0.019 mmol). When the reaction was complete, the reaction mixture consisted of PhCH(-OSiD₂Ph)CH₃, (ArN)Mo(H)(Cl)(PMe₃) (85%) and (ArN)Mo(D)(Cl)(PMe₃) (25%).

Synthesis of (ArN)Mo(OCy)(Cl)(PMe₃)₃

Cyclohexanone (64.0 mg, 0.650 mmol) was added to a toluene solution of (ArN)Mo(H)(Cl)(PMe₃)₃ (350.0 mg, 0.650 mmol). The reaction mixture was left for one day at RT. The product was crystallized at -80 °C, filtered off and dried in vacuum, affording 120 mg (51%) of (ArN)Mo(O-Cy)(Cl)(PMe₃)₃ as light-green crystalline solid.

$^1$H-NMR (300 MHz; C₆D₆; 298K; δ, ppm): 0.72-1.53 (mm, 8H, Cy; 12H, 4CH₃, iPr), 1.25 (d, $^2$J₉₋₃ = 7.3 Hz, 9H, PMe₃), 1.29 (vt, $^2$J₈₋₃ = 2.9 Hz, 18H, 2PMe₃), 1.53-1.64 (m, 1H, Cy), 1.67-1.80 (m, 2H, Cy), 1.92-2.04 (m, 2H, Cy), 3.1-4.3 (bm, 1H, CH, iPr), 4.07 (m, 1H, CH-O), 4.3-5.4 (bm, 1H, CH, iPr), 6.85-7.08 (m, 3H, Ar). $^{31}$P-NMR (121.5 MHz; C₆D₆; 298 K; δ, ppm): -12.2 (d, $^2$Jₚ₋ₚ = 14.8 Hz, 2P, PMe₃), 7.0 (t, $^2$Jₚ₋ₚ = 14.8 Hz, 1P, PMe₃). $^{13}$C-NMR (75.5 MHz; C₆D₆; 298 K; δ, ppm): 17.3 (vt, $^1$Jₕ₋₇ = 9.4 Hz, 2Pme₃), 22.7 (d, $^1$Jₘ₋₇ = 20.7 Hz, PMe₃), 26.3 (Cy), 27.2 (Cy), 39.8 (Cy), 76.1 (C-O, Cy), 124.3 (C-H, Ar), 128.7 (C-H, Ar), 151.4 (C-N, Ar). Signals of $^{13}$C-CH(CH₃)₂ were not observed due to fluxionality. X-Ray: Single crystals for X-Ray analysis have been formed in NMR tube contained the solution of (ArN)Mo(O-Cy)(Cl)(PMe₃)₃ in C₆D₆ (0.60 ml).

(ArN)Mo(OCy)(Cl)(PMe₃)₃ + PMe₃: PHOSPHINE EXCHANGE

Trimethylphosphine (1.5 mg, 0.020 mmol) was added to a solution of (ArN)Mo(O-Cy)(Cl)(PMe₃)₃ (26.0 mg, 0.041 mmol) in C₆D₆ (0.60 ml). Below is a picture of $^{31}$P $^{31}$P EXSY NMR spectrum of the sample containing (ArN)Mo(OCy)(Cl)(PMe₃)₃ demonstrating the exchange between cis-, trans- and free PMe₃ (Figure V-69).
Figure V-69. $^{31}$P-$^{31}$P EXSY NMR spectrum of (ArN)Mo(OCy)(Cl)(PMe$_3$)$_3$ in the presence of PMe$_3$ in C$_6$D$_6$ with the mixing time of 0.500 s showing fast exchange between all bound and free phosphines (Bruker 600 MHz NMR machine).

(ArN)Mo(OCy)(Cl)(PMe$_3$)$_3$: PHOSPHINE DISSOCIATION
An NMR sample containing (ArN)Mo(OCy)(Cl)(PMe$_3$)$_3$ (10.0 mg, 0.016 mmol) was studied by Selective ge-1D EXSY NMR. The bound phosphines were irradiated at 1.356 ppm (trans-PMe$_3$, Figure 3), and at 1.402 ppm (cis-PMe$_3$, Figure 4). The experiment explicitly demonstrated that the bound phosphines are in fast exchange. The peak at 0.908 ppm corresponds to the free PMe$_3$ formed as a result of dissociation.
**Figure V-70.** Selective ge-1D EXSY experiment of the sample containing (ArN)Mo(OCy)(Cl)(PMe₃)₃: irradiation of the area of trans-PMe₃ groups at 1.356 ppm with the mixing time of 1.000 s (Bruker 600 MHz NMR machine).

**Figure V-71.** Selective ge-1D EXSY experiment of the sample containing (ArN)Mo(OCy)(Cl)(PMe₃)₃: irradiation of the area of cis-PMe₃ groups at 1.402 ppm with the mixing time of 1.000 s (Bruker 600 MHz NMR machine).
Kinetic investigation of the reaction \((\text{ArN})\text{Mo(H)(PhCHO)(Cl)(PMe}_3)_2\) with PhCHO (10 eq.)

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{H}_2\text{Mo} & \quad \text{NAr} \\
\text{Me}_3\text{P} & \quad \text{PMe}_3 \\
\text{Cl} & \quad \text{PhCHO}
\end{align*}
\]

10 PhCHO
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\end{align*}
\]

Benzaldehyde (31.7 mg, 0.300 mmol) was added to a solution of \((\text{ArN})\text{Mo(H)(Cl)(PMe}_3)_3\) (16.0 mg, 0.030 mmol), and \((\text{ArN})\text{Mo(H)(PhCHO)(Cl)(PMe}_3)_3\) immediately formed. The further formation of \((\text{ArN})\text{Mo(OCH}_2\text{Ph)(PhCHO)(Cl)(PMe}_3)_3\)
was monitored by \(^1\text{H} \text{NMR} at 10.0 \text{ (Figure V-72), 18.0 (Figure V-73), 23.4 (Figure V-74) and 34.0 °C (Figure V-75).}

![Graph](image)

**Figure V-72.** Ln(C) vs. time plot for the reaction of \((\text{ArN})\text{Mo(H)(PhCHO)(Cl)(PMe}_3)_2\)

with PhCHO (10 eq.) at 10.0 °C.

\[
k(10.0 \text{ °C}) = (2.26 \pm 0.01) \cdot 10^{-3} \text{ min}^{-1} = (3.77 \pm 0.02) \cdot 10^{-5} \text{ s}^{-1}
\]
Figure V-73. Ln(C) vs. time plot for the reaction of (ArN)Mo(H)(PhCHO)(Cl)(PMe₃)₂ with PhCHO (10 eq.) at 18.0 °C.

\[ k(18.0 \, ^\circ\text{C}) = (9.40 \pm 0.02) \times 10^{-3} \, \text{min}^{-1} = (1.57 \pm 0.03) \times 10^{-4} \, \text{s}^{-1} \]

Figure V-74. Ln(C) vs. time plot for the reaction of (ArN)Mo(H)(PhCHO)(Cl)(PMe₃)₂ with PhCHO (10 eq.) at 23.4 °C.

\[ k(23.4 \, ^\circ\text{C}) = (1.81 \pm 0.01) \times 10^{-2} \, \text{min}^{-1} = (3.02 \pm 0.02) \times 10^{-4} \, \text{s}^{-1} \]
Figure V-75. Ln(C) vs. time plot for the reaction of (ArN)Mo(H)(PhCHO)(Cl)(PMe₃)₂ with PhCHO (10 eq.) at 34.0 °C.

\[ k(34.0 \, ^\circ C) = (1.87 \pm 0.03) \cdot 10^{-1} \text{ min}^{-1} = (3.12 \pm 0.05) \cdot 10^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1} \]

Figure V-76. Eyring plot for the reaction of (ArN)Mo(H)(PhCHO)(Cl)(PMe₃)₂ with PhCHO (10 eq.).
Activation parameters were extracted from the Eyring plot (Figure V-76): $\Delta H^\# = (1.31 \pm 0.11) \cdot 10^2$ kJ/mol, $\Delta S^\# = (1.33 \pm 0.38) \cdot 10^2$ J/(K·mol).

**Reaction (ArN)Mo(H)(PhCHO)(Cl)(PMe$_3$)$_2$ with PhCHO**

Benzaldehyde (15.9 mg, 0.150 mmol, 5 eq.; 31.7 mg, 0.300 mmol, 10 eq.; 47.7 mg, 0.45 mmol, 15 eq.) was added to a solution of (ArN)Mo(H)(Cl)(PMe$_3$)$_3$ (16.0 mg, 0.030 mmol), and (ArN)Mo(H)(PhCHO)(Cl)(PMe$_3$)$_3$ immediately formed. Disappearance of the starting material was monitored by $^1$H NMR. Two products were formed, (ArN)Mo(OCH$_2$Ph)(PhCHO)(Cl)(PMe$_3$) (major) and (ArN)Mo(OCH$_2$Ph)(Cl)(PMe$_3$)$_3$ (minor).

![Figure V-77. Ln(C) vs. time plot for the reaction (ArN)Mo(H)(PhCHO)(Cl)(PMe$_3$)$_2$ with PhCHO (5, 10, 15, and 20 eq.)](image-url)
(ArN)Mo(H)(PhCHO)(Cl)(PMe3)2: Intermolecular exchange of benzaldehyde

Irradiation of the C-H proton of the carbonyl group of the coordinated benzaldehyde in (ArN)Mo(H)(PhCHO)(Cl)(PMe3)2 showed that it is in fast exchange with the free benzaldehyde (9.630 ppm) and with the coordinated aldehyde of the minor isomer (5.417 ppm) (Figure V-78).

![Figure V-78](image)

**Figure V-78.** Selective ge-1D EXSY NMR experiment of the sample containing (ArN)Mo(H)(PhCHO)(Cl)(PMe3)2: irradiation of the C-H proton of coordinated aldehyde at the frequency of 5.763 ppm with mixing time of 0.300 s (600 MHz machine).

The –CHO protons of the free benzaldehyde (9.630 ppm) and the minor isomer of (ArN)Mo(H)(PhCHO)(Cl)(PMe3)2 (5.417 ppm) were also irradiated to demonstrate their exchange (Figure V-79, Figure V-80).
Figure V-79. Selective 1D EXSY NMR experiment of the sample containing (ArN)Mo(H)(PhCHO)(Cl)(PMe₃)₂: irradiation of the C-H proton of coordinated aldehyde of its minor isomer at the frequency of 5.417 ppm with mixing time of 0.300 s (600 MHz machine).
Figure V-80. Selective ge-1D EXSY NMR experiment of the sample containing (ArN)Mo(H)(PhCHO)(Cl)(PMe₃)₂: irradiation of the C-H proton of free benzaldehyde at the frequency of 9.638 ppm with the mixing time of 0.300 s (600 MHz machine).
(ArN)Mo(H)(PhCHO)(Cl)(PMe₃)₂: intramolecular phosphines exchange

**Figure V-81.** Selective ge-1D EXSY NMR experiment of the sample containing (ArN)Mo(H)(PhCHO)(Cl)(PMe₃)₂: irradiation of the PMe₃ protons at the frequency of 1.572 ppm with the mixing time of 1.000 s (600 MHz machine).

**Figure V-82.** Selective ge-1D EXSY NMR experiment of the sample containing (ArN)Mo(H)(PhCHO)(Cl)(PMe₃)₂: irradiation of the PMe₃ protons at the frequency of 1.290 ppm with the mixing time of 1.000 s (600 MHz machine).
(ArN)Mo(OCH2Ph)(PhCHO)(Cl)(PMe3): kinetic investigation of the exchange of two enatiomers

Selective ge-1D EXSY NMR experiments demonstrated that two enantiomeric protons of the benzyloxy group in (ArN)Mo(OCH2Ph)(PhCHO)(Cl)(PMe3) are in fast exchange at RT. The kinetics of this exchange was studied at 18.1 °C (Figure V-83), 22.0 °C (Figure V-84), 26.0 °C (Figure V-85), and 30.0 °C (Figure V-86). \[ R = \frac{B,\%}{50\%} \]

\( R \) – The saturation (\%) of the non-irradiated signal at a certain mixing time (d8, s).

**Figure V-83.** Ln(1-R) vs. mixing time (d8, s) plot for the exchange of two enantiomers of (ArN)Mo(OCH2Ph)(PhCHO)(Cl)(PMe3) at 18.1 °C.

\[ k(18.1 \ ^\circ C) = (5.60 \pm 0.08) \cdot 10^{-1} \ \text{s}^{-1} \]
Figure V-84. Ln(1-R) vs. mixing time (d8) plot for the exchange of two enantiomers of \((\text{ArN})\text{Mo(OCH}_2\text{Ph})(\text{PhCHO})(\text{Cl})(\text{PMe}_3)\) at 22.0 °C.

\[ k(22.0 \, ^\circ\text{C}) = (1.378 \pm 0.007) \, \text{s}^{-1} \]

Figure V-85. Ln(1-R) vs. mixing time (d8) plot for the exchange of two enantiomers of \((\text{ArN})\text{Mo(OCH}_2\text{Ph})(\text{PhCHO})(\text{Cl})(\text{PMe}_3)\) at 26.0 °C.

\[ k(26.0 \, ^\circ\text{C}) = (2.61 \pm 0.05) \, \text{s}^{-1} \]
Figure V-86. Ln(1-R) vs. mixing time (d8) plot for the exchange of two enantiomers of (ArN)Mo(OCH2Ph)(PhCHO)(Cl)(PMe3) at 30.0 °C.

$k(30.0 \, ^\circ\text{C}) = (5.01 \pm 0.04) \text{ s}^{-1}$

Figure V-87. Eyring plot for the exchange of two enantiomers of (ArN)Mo(OCH2Ph)(PhCHO)(Cl)(PMe3).

Activation parameters were extracted from the Eyring plot (Figure V-87): $\Delta H^\ddagger = (1.31 \pm 0.08) \cdot 10^2 \text{ kJ/mol}, \Delta S^\ddagger = (2.00 \pm 0.27) \cdot 10^2 \text{ J/(K\cdot mol)}$. 
(ArN)Mo(OCH$_2$Ph)(PhCHO)(Cl)(PMe$_3$): no exchange of phosphines

Irradiation of the free phosphine peak at 0.913 ppm showed no exchange with the bound PMe$_3$ in the timescale of EXSY NMR experiment (Figure V-88).

Figure V-88. Selective ge-1D EXSY NMR experiment of the sample containing (ArN)Mo(OCH$_2$Ph)(PhCHO)(Cl)(PMe$_3$): irradiation of the PMe$_3$ protons at the frequency of 0.913 ppm with the mixing time of 1.000 s (600 MHz machine).

(ArN)Mo(OCH$_2$Ph)(PhCHO)(Cl)(PMe$_3$): exchange of aldehydes

Irradiation of the free benzaldehyde peak at 9.768 ppm with the Selective ge-1D EXSY NMR experiment in a solution with (ArN)Mo(OCH$_2$Ph)(PhCHO)(Cl)(PMe$_3$) showed fast exchange between the coordinated and the external benzaldehyde (Figure V-89). The experiment for the same sample has been repeated with the irradiation of the coordinated benzaldehyde at 5.505 ppm (Figure V-90).
Figure V-89. Selective ge-1D EXSY NMR experiment of the sample containing (ArN)Mo(OCH$_2$Ph)(PhCHO)(Cl)(PMe$_3$): irradiation of free PhCHO protons at the frequency of 9.766 ppm with the mixing time of 1.000 s (600 MHz machine).

Figure V-90. Selective ge-1D EXSY NMR experiment of the sample containing (ArN)Mo(OCH$_2$Ph)(PhCHO)(Cl)(PMe$_3$): irradiation of free PhCHO protons at the frequency of 5.505 ppm with the mixing time of 1.000 s (600 MHz machine).
Reaction of (ArN)Mo(OCH\textsubscript{2}Ph)(Cl)(PMe\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{3} with PhSiH\textsubscript{3} (5 eq)

Phenylsilane (15.1 mg, 0.140 mmol) was added to a solution of (ArN)Mo(OCH\textsubscript{2}Ph)(Cl)(PMe\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{3} (18.0 mg, 0.028 mmol) in the presence of PMe\textsubscript{3} (64.0 mg, 0.840 mmol). The reaction was monitored by \textsuperscript{1}H NMR at 10.0 °C. The reaction provided formation of (ArN)Mo(H)(Cl)(PMe\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{3} as the end-product and (ArN)Mo(Cl)\textsubscript{2}(PMe\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{3} (~18%) as a result of partial redistribution/decomposition. Kinetic data were linearized in Ln(C)-time coordinates (Figure V-91).

![Graph](image.png)

*Figure V-91. Ln(C) vs. time plot for the reaction of (ArN)Mo(OCH\textsubscript{2}Ph)(Cl)(PMe\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{3} with PhSiH\textsubscript{3} (5 eq.) in the presence of PMe\textsubscript{3} (30 eq.) at 10.0 °C.*

\[ k_H(10.0 \, ^\circ\text{C}) = (2.99 \pm 0.01) \cdot 10^{-2} \, \text{min}^{-1} = (4.98 \pm 0.02) \cdot 10^{-4} \, \text{s}^{-1} \]

Reaction of (ArN)Mo(OCH\textsubscript{2}Ph)(Cl)(PMe\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{3} with PhSiD\textsubscript{3} (5 eq)

Phenylsilane-d\textsubscript{3} (15.6 mg, 0.140 mmol) was added to a solution of (ArN)Mo(OCH\textsubscript{2}Ph)(Cl)(PMe\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{3} (18.0 mg, 0.028 mmol) in the presence of PMe\textsubscript{3} (64.0 mg, 0.840 mmol). The reaction was monitored by \textsuperscript{1}H NMR at 10 °C. The reaction provided formation of (ArN)Mo(D)(Cl)(PMe\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{3} as the end-product and (ArN)Mo(Cl)\textsubscript{2}(PMe\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{3} (~18%) as a result of partial redistribution/decomposition. Kinetic data were linearized in Ln(C)-time plot (Figure V-92).
Figure V-92. Ln(C) vs. time plot for the reaction of (ArN)Mo(OCH₂Ph)(Cl)(PMe₃)₃ with PhSiD₃ (5 eq.) in the presence of PMe₃ (30 eq.) at 10.0 °C.

\[ k_D(10.0 \, ^0\text{C}) = (3.12 \pm 0.01) \cdot 10^{-2} \, \text{min}^{-1} = (5.20 \pm 0.02) \cdot 10^{-4} \, \text{s}^{-1}, \]

\[ \text{KIE} = \frac{k_\text{H}}{k_D} = \frac{2.99}{3.12} \approx 0.96 \]

**Reversible formation of (ArN)Mo(OCH₂Ph)(η²-PhCHO)(Cl)(PMe₃) from (ArN)Mo(OCH₂Ph)(Cl)(PMe₃)**

Benzaldehyde (28.6 μl, 0.280 mmol) was added to a solution of (ArN)Mo(OCH₂Ph)(Cl)(PMe₃) (18.0 mg, 0.0280 mmol) in C₆D₆ (0.60 ml). NMR analysis showed fast formation of (ArN)Mo(OCH₂Ph)(η²-PhCHO)(Cl)(PMe₃). The excess of benzaldehyde was evaporated, and the residue was re-dissolved in C₆D₆. Addition of PMe₃ (28.9 μl, 0.280 mmol) fully regenerated the starting material.

**Reaction of (ArN)Mo(OCH₂Ph)(Cl)(PMe₃)₃ with pivalaldehyde (t-BuCHO)**

Pivalaldehyde (10.0 μl, 0.092 mmol) was added to a solution of (ArN)Mo(OCH₂Ph)(Cl)(PMe₃)₃ (45.6 mg, 0.071 mmol) in C₆D₆ (0.60 ml). Next day, 50% of the benzyloxy complex reacted, and free benzaldehyde peak was observed at 9.64 ppm in ¹H NMR spectrum.
Attempts to prepare (ArN)Mo(OCy)(Cy=O)(Cl)(PMe₃)

Cyclohexanone (2.5 μl, 0.022 mmol) was added to a solution of (ArN)Mo(OCy)(Cl)(PMe₃)₃ (14.0 mg, 0.022 mmol) in C₆D₆ (0.60 ml). Triphenylborane (5.3 mg, 0.022 mmol) was added, and colour of the solution quickly changed from green to brown. After 10 min, the reaction mixture consisted of (ArN)Mo(OCy)(Cl)(PMe₃)₃ (~50%) and (ArN)Mo(Cl)₂(PMe₃)₃ (~50%) and Ph₃P*BPh₃. The starting material finally decomposed giving a mixture of unidentified products and (ArN)Mo(Cl)₂(PMe₃)₃.

Reactivity of (ArN)Mo(H)(Cl)(PMe₃)₃ with PhSiD₃

Phenylsilane-d₃ (10.0 μl, 0.0788 mmol) was added to a solution of (ArN)Mo(H)(Cl)(PMe₃)₃ (10.0 mg, 0.0187 mmol) in C₆D₆ (0.60 ml). The reaction mixture was monitored by ¹H NMR at RT. Formation of (ArN)Mo(D)(Cl)(PMe₃)₃ was observed: ~9% (10 min), ~55% (6 hours), and ~100% (26 hours).
Hydrosilylation of benzaldehyde with PMHS catalyzed by (ArN)Mo(H)(Cl)(PMe₃)₃
Benzaldehyde (39.6 mg, 0.371 mmol) and PMHS (23.0 μl, 0.371 mmol) were added to a solution of (ArN)Mo(H)(Cl)(PMe₃)₃ (10.0 mg, 0.0190 mmol, 5 mol%) in C₆D₆ (0.60 ml). The reaction mixture was heated for two days at 50 °C. with the ~50% conversion of the benzaldehyde.

Hydrosilylation of acetophenone with PMHS catalyzed by (ArN)Mo(H)(Cl)(PMe₃)₃
Acetophenone (44.8 mg, 0.371 mmol) and PMHS (23.0 μl, 0.371 mmol) were added to a solution of (ArN)Mo(H)(Cl)(PMe₃)₃ (10.0 mg, 0.0190 mmol, 5 mol%) in C₆D₆ (0.60 ml). The reaction mixture was heated for two days at 50 °C with 100% conversion of the acetophenone.

Hydrosilylation of cyclohexanone with PMHS catalyzed by (ArN)Mo(H)(Cl)(PMe₃)₃
Cyclohexanone (36.6 mg, 0.371 mmol) and PMHS (23.0 μl, 0.371 mmol) were added to a solution of (ArN)Mo(H)(Cl)(PMe₃)₃ (10.0 mg, 0.0190 mmol, 5 mol%) in C₆D₆ (0.60 ml). The reaction was complete in three hours at RT.

Hydrosilylation of cyclohexanone with PhSiH₃ catalyzed by (ArN)Mo(H)(Cl)(PMe₃)₃
Cyclohexanone (11.0 mg, 0.112 mmol) and PhSiH₃ (12.1, 0.112 mmol) were added to a solution of (ArN)Mo(H)(Cl)(PMe₃)₃ (3.0 mg, 0.0060 mmol, 5 mol%) in C₆D₆ (0.60 ml). The reaction was complete in 35 min at RT.

Hydrosilylation of cyclohexanone with PhSiH₃ catalyzed by (ArN)Mo(O-Cy)(Cl)(PMe₃)₃
Cyclohexanone (11.0 mg, 0.112 mmol) and PhSiH₃ (12.1, 0.112 mmol) were added to a solution of (ArN)Mo(O-Cy)(Cl)(PMe₃)₃ (3.5 mg, 0.006 mmol, 5 mol%) in C₆D₆ (0.60 ml). The reaction was complete in 3 hours at RT.
Table V-6. Hydrosilylation of organic substrates using (ArN)Mo(H)(Cl)(PMe₃)₃ as a catalyst

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Substrate</th>
<th>Silane</th>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Reaction conditions</th>
<th>Conversion of organic substrate</th>
<th>Yield, according to ¹H-NMR</th>
<th>Catalyst mol, %</th>
<th>Turnover number (TON)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cyclohexanone</td>
<td>PhSiH₃</td>
<td>CyOSiH₂Ph, (CyO)₂SiHPh</td>
<td>35 min, RT</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>79% 21%</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyclohexanone</td>
<td>PhSiH₃</td>
<td>CyOSiH₂Ph (CyO)₂SiHPh</td>
<td>3 h, RT</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>60% 40%</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PhCHO</td>
<td>PMHS</td>
<td>(PhCH₂O)ₙ(PMHS)</td>
<td>2 days, 50 °C</td>
<td>~50%</td>
<td>~50%</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>~8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PhCOCH₃</td>
<td>PMHS</td>
<td>(Ph(Me)CHO)ₙ(PMHS)</td>
<td>2 days, 50 °C</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyclohexanone</td>
<td>PMHS</td>
<td>(CyO)ₙ(PMHS)</td>
<td>3 hours, RT</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
V. 7. Hydroboration catalyzed by (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃)

Reaction between (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃) and catecholborane

![Chemical reaction diagram]

Catecholborane (4.3 mg, 0.036 mmol) was added to a solution of (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃) (20.0 mg, 0.036 mmol) in toluene-d₈ (0.60 ml). ¹H NMR spectrum of the resulting mixture showed a broad singlet at 4.06 ppm (bs, 2H, Mo(H)₂B) resulted from the coalescence of the Mo-H doublet (3.66 ppm) with the H-B quartet of catecholborane. All other signals of (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃) were observed unchanged in ¹H NMR spectrum. ¹¹B NMR spectrum of catecholborane, in the presence of (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃), showed the singlet at 28.7 ppm (bs, 1B).

Several ¹H NMR experiments have been done in attempts to split the broadened singlet 4.06 (bs, 2H, Mo-H, B-H) into two parts by slowing down the exchange at lower temperatures (-24.6, -44.5, -47.3, -52.0 and -70 °C). The broadness of the signal did not change. The exchange was too fast and did not allow us to reach the coalescence temperature. At the elevated temperatures (22.0, 31.2, 48.6 °C) the broad peak did not become less broadened either. That also proved B--H interactions, and influence of the quadruple nature of the boron atom.

The reaction of (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃) with pinacolborane

![Chemical reaction diagram]

Pinacolborane, (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃) and their mixture were analyzed by ¹H and ¹¹B NMR. The characteristic NMR signals of each reagent were not affected by the presence
of the other reagent (Table V-7). No peak shifting, broadening or coalescence were observed.

Table V-7. Characteristic signals in the \(^1\)H and \(^{11}\)B NMR spectra for pinacolborane, (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe\(_3\)) and their mixture.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(^1)H NMR, (\text{C}_6\text{D}_6) (solvent)</th>
<th>(\text{B-}\text{H})</th>
<th>(\text{CH}_3)</th>
<th>Mo-(\text{H})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PinBH</td>
<td>4.28 ppm (q, (^1)J(_{B-H}) = 129.8 Hz)</td>
<td>0.99 ppm (s, 12H, 4CH(_3))</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe(_3))</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3.67 ppm (d, (^2)J(_{\text{H-P}}) = 21.4 Hz)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PinBH + (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe(_3))</td>
<td>4.28 ppm (q, (^1)J(_{B-H}) = 129.8 Hz)</td>
<td>0.99 ppm (s, 12H, 4CH(_3))</td>
<td>3.67 ppm (d, (^2)J(_{\text{H-P}}) = 21.4 Hz)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(^{11})B NMR</th>
<th>(\text{B-H, PinBH})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PinBH</td>
<td>28.6 ppm (d, (^1)J(_{B-H}) = 174.1 Hz)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PinBH + (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe(_3))</td>
<td>28.6 ppm (d, (^1)J(_{B-H}) = 174.1 Hz)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The exchange between Mo-\(\text{H}\) and B-\(\text{H}\) was not observed by the Selective ge-1D EXSY NMR experiment at 22 °C (d8 = 0.300 s, O1P = 3.776 ppm (Mo-\(\text{H}\))), 50 °C (d8 = 0.300 ppm, O1P = 3.745 ppm (Mo-\(\text{H}\))), and 70 °C (d8 = 0.300 s, O1P = 3.700 ppm (Mo-\(\text{H}\))).

Preparation of (Tp)(ArN)Mo(D)(PhCHO)

(Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe\(_3\)) (10.0 mg, 0.018 mmol) was dissolved in isopropanol-\(d_8\) (0.60 ml). All Mo-\(\text{H}\) hydrides were replaced by deuterium within 3 hours at 40 °C. The solvent was evaporated. The crude residue was dried under vacuum, then re-dissolved in \(\text{C}_6\text{D}_6\) (0.60 ml). \(^1\)H-NMR (300 MHz; \(\text{C}_6\text{D}_6\); 298K; \(\delta\), ppm): 1.04 (m, 6H, 2CH\(_3\), iPr), 1.34 (d, \(^2\)J\(_{\text{H-H}}\) = 6.6 Hz, 6H, 2CH\(_3\), iPr), 1.36 (d, \(^2\)J\(_{\text{H-P}}\) = 7.4 Hz, 9H, PMe\(_3\)), 4.35 (m, 2H, iPr), 5.68 (dd, \(^2\)J\(_{\text{H-H}}\) = 2.1 Hz, 1H\(^a\), Pz), 5.90 (dd, \(^2\)J\(_{\text{H-H}}\) = 2.1 Hz, 1H\(^b\), Pz), 6.09 (dd, \(^2\)J\(_{\text{H-H}}\) = 2.1 Hz, 236
The reaction of (Tp)(ArN)Mo(D)(PMe₃) with pinacolborane

\[
\text{Tp} \begin{array}{c}
\text{Mo} \\
\text{ArN} \\
\text{PMe₃}
\end{array} + \begin{array}{c}
\text{O} \\
\text{BH}
\end{array} \xrightarrow{\text{fast}} \begin{array}{c}
\text{Tp} \\
\text{Mo} \\
\text{H} \\
\text{ArN} \\
\text{PMe₃}
\end{array} + \begin{array}{c}
\text{O} \\
\text{BD}
\end{array}
\]

Pinacolborane (2.3 mg, 0.018 mmol) was added to a solution of (Tp)(ArN)Mo(D)(PMe₃) (10.0 mg, 0.018 mmol). Deuterium scrambling occurred within 5 min. \(^{11}\)B-NMR (96.3 MHz; C₆D₆; 298 K; \(\delta\), ppm): 28.6 (d, \(J_{B-H} = 174.1\) Hz, PinBH, \(~50\%\)), 28.6 (bs, PinBD, \(~50\%\)).

Reaction of (Tp)(ArN)Mo(-N=CHPh)(PMe₃) with catecholborane

\[
\text{Tp} \begin{array}{c}
\text{Mo} \\
\text{ArN} \\
\text{PMe₃}
\end{array} + 2 \text{CatBH} \xrightarrow{\text{RT}} \begin{array}{c}
\text{Tp} \\
\text{Mo} \\
\text{H} \\
\text{ArN} \\
\text{PMe₃}
\end{array} + \text{Ph-CH₂-N(BCat)₂}
\]

Catecholborane (5.8 mg, 0.0486 mmol) was added to a solution of (Tp)(ArN)Mo(-N=CHPh)(PMe₃) (16.2 mg, 0.0243 mmol). The reaction provided formation of Ph-CH₂-N(BCat)₂ and (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃) in less than 10 min. \(^{1}\)H-NMR (300 MHz; CDC₃; 298K; \(\delta\), ppm): 4.73 (s, 2H, CH₂-N), 6.99-7.06 (m, 4H, Ar, BCat), 7.18-7.24 (m, 4H, Ar, BCat), 7.24-7.34 (m, 3H, Ph), 7.44-7.49 (m, 2H, Ph). \(^{11}\)B-NMR (96.3 MHz; C₆D₆; 298 K; \(\delta\), ppm): 27.58 (bs).
Reaction of \((\text{Tp})(\text{ArN})\text{Mo}(-\text{N}=\text{CHPh})(\text{PMe}_3)\) with pinacolborane

Pinacolborane (2.9 mg, 0.023 mmol) was added to a solution of \((\text{Tp})(\text{ArN})\text{Mo}(-\text{N}=\text{CHPh})(\text{PMe}_3)\) (15.0 mg, 0.023 mmol) in \(\text{C}_6\text{D}_6\) (0.60 ml). The sample was left for one month at RT, and then heated at 50°C for several days. Reaction was not observed.

Reaction of \((\text{Tp})(\text{ArN})\text{Mo}(\text{OCH}_2\text{Ph})(\text{PMe}_3)\) with catecholborane

Catecholborane (1.8 mg, 0.015 mmol) was added to a solution of \((\text{Tp})(\text{ArN})\text{Mo}(-\text{N}=\text{CHPh})(\text{PMe}_3)\) (10.0 mg, 0.015 mmol). The reaction provided formation of \(\text{PhCH}_2\text{OCat}\) and \((\text{Tp})(\text{ArN})\text{Mo}(\text{H})(\text{PMe}_3)\) in less than 10 min. \(^1\text{H}-\text{NMR}\) (300 MHz; CDCl\(_3\); 298K; \(\delta\), ppm): 4.85 (s, 2H, -\(\text{CH}_2\text{OCat}\))

Reaction of \((\text{Tp})(\text{ArN})\text{Mo}(\text{OCH}_2\text{Ph})(\text{PhCHO})\) with CatBH

Catecholborane (1.7 mg, 0.014 mmol) was added to a solution of \((\text{Tp})(\text{ArN})\text{Mo}(\text{OCH}_2\text{Ph})(\text{PhCHO})\) (10.0 mg, 0.014 mmol) in \(\text{C}_6\text{D}_6\) (0.60 ml). The reaction provided immediate formation of \((\text{Tp})(\text{ArN})\text{Mo}(\text{H})(\text{PhCHO})\) and \(\text{PhCH}_2\text{OBCat}\). \(^1\text{H}-\text{NMR}\) (300 MHz; CDCl\(_3\); 298K; \(\delta\), ppm): 0.54 (bd, \(J = 6.7\) Hz, 3H, CH\(_3\), iPr), 0.64 (bd, \(J = 6.7\) Hz, 3H, CH\(_3\), iPr), 1.52 (m, 6H, 2CH\(_3\), iPr), 3.22 (m, 1H, iPr), 4.51 (s, 1H, Mo-PhCHO), 5.60 (m, 1H, Pz), 5.66 (m, 1H, Pz), 6.02 (m, 1H, Pz), 6.98-7.23 (5H, m, Ph), 7.39 (m, 1H, Pz), 7.44 (m, 1H, Pz), 7.47 (m, 1H, Pz), 7.70 (m, 1H, Pz), 8.10 (m, 1H, Pz), 8.42 (m, 1H, Pz), 8.89 (s, 1H, Pz).
Reaction of (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PhCHO) with PhCH₂OBcat

(Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PhCHO) (8.5 mg, 0.014 mmol), prepared in situ by the reaction between (Tp)(ArN)Mo(OCH₂Ph)(PhCHO) and CatBH, further reacts with PhCH₂OBcat (3.2 mg, 0.014 mmol) within one hour at RT giving (Tp)(ArN)Mo(OCH₂Ph)₂(BCat). ¹H-NMR (600 MHz; CDCl₃; 298K; δ, ppm): 1.13 (bd, J = 6.77 Hz, 12H, 4CH₃, iPr), 3.75 (m, 2H, 2CH, iPr), 4.69 (d, J = 13.0 Hz, 2H, CH₂-O), 4.89 (d, J = 13.0 Hz, 2H, CH₂-O), 5.67 (m, 2H, 2Pz), 5.83 (m, 1H, Pz), 6.33 (m, 2H, Pz), 6.80-6.87 (m, 2H, BCat), 6.80-6.96 (m, 2H, Ph), 7.08-7.12 (d, J = 7.7 Hz, 2 m-H, Ar), 7.13-7.20 (m, 2H, BCat), 7.22 (m, 1H, Pz), 7.26-7.31 (t, J = 7.7, 1 p-H, Ar), 7.41 (m, 2H, Pz), 9.19 (m, 1H, Pz). ¹³C-NMR (75.5 MHz; C₆D₆; 298 K; δ, ppm): 25.0 (CH₃, iPr), 28.1 (CH, iPr), 72.2 (OCH₂), 105.7 (Pz), 107.0 (2Pz), 110.2 (2C, BCat), 119.7 (1C, BCat), 120.3 (1C, BCat), 124.1 (m-C, 2C, Ar) 126.3 (p-C, 1C, Ar), 127.9 (4C, m-C, 2Ph), 129.3 (4C, o-C, 2Ph), 133.7 (1C, Pz), 137.1 (2Pz), 138.8 (2C, ipso-C, 2Ph), 145.6 (Pz), 145.8 (2Pz), 147.8 (1C, C-N, Ar), 152.56 (2C, BCat), 153.2 (2C, p-C, 2Ph), 155.7 (o-C, 2C, Ar). ¹¹B-NMR (96.3 MHz; C₆D₆; 298 K; δ, ppm): 16.7 (s).

Reaction of (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃) with PhC≡CH

(Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃) (19.0 mg, 0.034 mmol) and phenylacetylene (3.5 mg, 3.7 μl, 0.034 mmol) were mixed in C₆D₆ (0.60 ml). The reaction provided formation of (Tp)(ArN)Mo(-CH=CH-Ph)(PMe₃) with 29% yield in 3.5 d at RT. Formation of other by-products and partial polymerization of the phenylsilane were observed. ¹H-NMR (300 MHz; CDCl₃; 298K; δ, ppm): 0.97 (d, J = 6.82 Hz, 6H, 2CH₃, iPr), 1.15 (d, J = 7.68 Hz, 9H, PMe₃), 1.24 (d, J = 6.82 Hz, 6H, 2CH₃, iPr), 3.86 (sept, J = 6.82 Hz, 2H, CH, iPr),
5.74 (m, 1H, Pz), 5.93 (m, 1H, Pz), 6.04 (m, 1H, Pz), 6.19 (1H, =CH-Ph, position determined by $^1$H-$^1$H COSY experiment), 10.59 (dd, $^3$J$_{HH} = 17.06$ Hz, $^3$J$_{HP} = 4.40$ Hz, 1H, Mo-CH=). $^{31}$P-NMR (121.5 MHz; $^6$D$_6$; 298 K; δ, ppm): 7.41 (1P, PMe$_3$)

Preparation of (Tp)(ArN)Mo(OCH$_3$)(PMe$_3$)

(Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe$_3$) (10.0 mg, 0.0180 mmol) was dissolved in methanol (0.60 ml). The color of the solution gradually changed from dark brown to green within 30 min at RT. The solvent was evaporated. The residue was dried under vacuum and re-dissolved in $^6$D$_6$ (0.60 ml). Reaction provided formation of (Tp)(ArN)Mo(OCH$_3$)(PMe$_3$). NMR yield: 100%. $^1$H-NMR (300 MHz; CDCl$_3$; 298K; δ, ppm): 0.83-0.99 (bm, 6H, 2CH$_3$, iPr), 1.20 (d, $J = 7.28$ Hz, 9H, PMe$_3$), 1.25-1.31 (bm, 6H, 2CH$_3$, iPr), 3.83 (bm, 2H, iPr), 4.09 (s, 3H, OCH$_3$), 5.89 (m, 1H, Pz), 5.94 (m, 1H, Pz), 5.97 (m, 1H, Pz), 6.99-7.04 (m, 2H, Ar), 7.14-7.20 (m, 1H, Ar), 7.26 (m, 1H, Pz), 7.41 (m, 1H, Pz), 7.50 (m, 1H, Pz), 7.53 (m, 1H, Pz), 7.61 (m, 1H, Pz), 7.85 (m, 1H, Pz). $^{31}$P-NMR (121.5 MHz; $^6$D$_6$; 298 K; δ, ppm): 9.29 (1P, PMe$_3$)

Reaction of (Tp)(ArN)Mo(OCH$_3$)(PMe$_3$) with PinBH

Pinacolborane (2.3 mg, 0.018 mmol) was added to a solution of (Tp)(ArN)Mo(OCH$_3$)(PMe$_3$) (11.0 mg, 0.0180 mmol) in $^6$D$_6$ (0.60 ml). The reaction provided immediate formation of (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe$_3$) and PinBOMe. $^1$H-NMR (300 MHz; CDCl$_3$; 298K; δ, ppm): 1.04 (s, 12H, 4CH$_3$, PinBOMe), 3.51 (s, 3H, OCH$_3$, PinBOMe). $^{11}$B-NMR (96.3 MHz; $^6$D$_6$; 298 K; δ, ppm): 22.9 (bs, PinBOMe).

Preparation of (Tp)(ArN)Mo(D)(PMe$_3$)

Pinacolborane (2.3 mg, 0.018 mmol) was added to a solution of (Tp)(ArN)Mo(OCH$_3$)(PMe$_3$) (11.0 mg, 0.0180 mmol) in $^6$D$_6$ (0.60 ml). The reaction provided immediate formation of (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe$_3$) and PinBOMe. $^1$H-NMR (300 MHz; CDCl$_3$; 298K; δ, ppm): 1.04 (s, 12H, 4CH$_3$, PinBOMe), 3.51 (s, 3H, OCH$_3$, PinBOMe). $^{11}$B-NMR (96.3 MHz; $^6$D$_6$; 298 K; δ, ppm): 22.9 (bs, PinBOMe).
(Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe3) (10.0 mg, 0.018 mmol) was dissolved in isopropanol-$d_8$. The reaction was monitored by $^1$H NMR until the signal of Mo-H disappeared. Then, the solvent was evaporated, and the sample was re-dissolved in C$_6$D$_6$ (0.60 ml). $^1$H-NMR (300 MHz; C$_6$D$_6$; 298K; $\delta$, ppm): 1.04 (m, 6H, 2CH$_3$, iPr), 1.34 (d, $^2$J$_{H-H}$ = 6.6 Hz, 6H, 2CH$_3$, iPr), 1.36 (d, $^2$J$_{H-P}$ = 7.4 Hz, 9H, PMe$_3$), 4.35 (m, 2H, iPr), 5.68 (m, 1H$^a$, Pz), 5.90 (m, 1H$^b$, Pz), 6.09 (m, 1H$^c$, Pz), 7.24 (m, 1H$^a$, Pz), 7.45 (m, 1H$^a$, Pz), 7.52 (m, 1H$^b$, Pz), 7.56 (m, 1H$^c$, Pz), 7.76 (m, 1H$^c$, Pz), 7.88 (m, 1H$^b$, Pz). $^{31}$P-NMR (121.5 MHz; C$_6$D$_6$; 298K; $\delta$, ppm): 15.62 (s, 1P, PMe$_3$).

Reaction of (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe3) with p-nitroacetophenone

p-Nitroacetophenone (2.9 mg, 0.018 mmol) was added to a solution of (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe3) (10.0 mg, 0.018 mmol) in C$_6$D$_6$ (0.60 ml). The color changed immediately from brown to black, following by formation of a large amount of black precipitate.

Studying the effect of ketones and nitriles coordination to catecholborane

Several experiments were made to reveal the effect of ketones and nitriles coordination to catecholborane. The table below represents the chemical shifts of B-H signal for catecholborane and its mixture with various carbonyls and benzonitrile (Table V-8).

Table V-8. Chemical shifts of the B-H signal ($^1$H NMR) for catecholborane and its mixture with various carbonyls and benzonitrile.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$^1$H NMR, C$_6$D$_6$ (solvent)</th>
<th>B-H</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CatBH</td>
<td>4.57 ppm (q, $^1$J$_{H-B}$ = 142.5 Hz)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CatBH + PhCOCH$_3$</td>
<td>4.57 ppm (q, $^1$J$_{H-B}$ = 142.5 Hz)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CatBH + cyclohexanone</td>
<td>4.57 ppm (q, $^1$J$_{H-B}$ = 142.5 Hz)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CatBH + 2-methylcyclohexanone</td>
<td>4.57 ppm (q, $^1$J$_{H-B}$ = 142.5 Hz)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CatBH + PhCN</td>
<td>4.57 ppm (q, $^1$J$_{H-B}$ = 142.5 Hz)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Stoichiometric reaction between (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe3), acetone and pinacolborane

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Acetone} & \quad + \quad \text{pinacolborane} \\
& \quad \text{(Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe3)} \quad \text{1 eq.} \quad \text{1 eq.} \\
& \quad \text{< 10 min}
\end{align*}
\]

Acetone (1.0 mg, 0.018 mmol), pinacolborane (2.3 mmol, 0.018 mmol) and (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe3) (10 mg, 0.018 mmol) were dissolved in C₆D₆ (0.60 ml). The reaction proceeded within 10 min at RT.

**HYDROBORATION CATALYSED BY (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe3)**

**Hydroboration of PhCN with catecholborane**

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Ph-CN} & \quad + \quad \text{HBCat} \\
& \quad 5\% \text{TpMoH, RT} \\
& \quad \text{C₆D₆} \quad \text{Ph-CH₂-N} \\
& \quad \text{BCat} \\
& \quad \text{BCat}
\end{align*}
\]

Catecholborane (31.4 mg, 0.262 mg) was added to a solution of benzonitrile (13.5 mg, 0.131 mmol) in C₆D₆ (0.60 ml) in the presence of (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe3) (3.7 mg, 5 mol%) at RT. The reaction provided formation of PhCH₂N(BCat)₂ in 0.5 d at RT. The product precipitated in benzene. NMR yield: ~100%. ¹H-NMR (300 MHz; CDCl₃; 298K; δ, ppm): 4.73 (s, 2H, CH₂-N), 6.99-7.06 (m, 4H, Ar, BCat), 7.18-7.24 (m, 4H, Ar, BCat), 7.24-7.34 (m, 3H, Ph), 7.44-7.49 (m, 2H, Ph). ¹³C-NMR (75.5 MHz; CDCl₃; 298 K; δ, ppm): 48.0 (CH₂N), 112.5 (CH, Ar, BCat), 122.6 (CH, Ar, BCat), 127.5 (CH, Ph), 127.9 (CH, Ph), 128.7 (CH, Ph), 140.3 (ipso-C), 148.5 (ipso-C). ¹¹B-NMR (96.3 MHz; C₆D₆; 298 K; δ, ppm): 27.6 (bs).

**Hydroboration of CH₃CN with catecholborane**

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{CH₃-CN} & \quad + \quad \text{HBCat} \\
& \quad 5\% \text{TpMoH, RT} \\
& \quad \text{C₆D₆} \quad \text{CH₃-CH₂-N} \\
& \quad \text{BCat} \\
& \quad \text{BCat}
\end{align*}
\]
Catecholborane (16.9 mg, 0.140 mmol) was added to a solution of acetonitrile (2.9 mg, 0.070 mmol) in the presence of (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe3) (2.0 mg, 5 mol%) at RT. The reaction provided formation of CH3CH2N(BCat)2 in 57% yield in 3 d at RT. in C6D6 (0.60 ml) NMR yield (final) is ~100%. \[ \text{H-NMR (300 MHz; C6D6; 298K; } \delta, \text{ ppm): 1.10 (t, } J_{HH} = 7.14 \text{ Hz, 3H, CH3), 3.36 (q, } J_{HH} = 7.14 \text{ Hz, 2H, CH2-N), 6.73-6.79 (4H, Ar, BCat), 7.01-7.06 (4H, Ar, BCat). } \]

\[ \text{IH-NMR (300 MHz; C6D6; 298K; 8, ppm): 1.10 (t, } J_{HH} = 7.14 \text{ Hz, 3H, CH3), 3.36 (q, } J_{HH} = 7.14 \text{ Hz, 2H, CH2-N), 6.73-6.79 (4H, Ar, BCat), 7.01-7.06 (4H, Ar, BCat). } \]

\[ \text{11B-NMR (96.3 MHz; C6D6; 298 K; } \delta, \text{ ppm): 27.8 (bs).} \]

Hydroboration of \((CH_3)_2CH-CN\) with catecholborane

\[ \text{CH}_3\text{CH-CN} + \text{HBCat} \xrightarrow{5\% \text{TpMoH, RT}} \text{CH}_3\text{CH2N(BCat)2} \]

Catecholborane (16.9 mg, 0.140 mmol) was added to a solution of isobutyronitrile (4.83 mg, 0.070 mmol) in the presence of (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe3) (2.0 mg, 5 mol%) in C6D6 (0.60 ml) at RT. The reaction provided formation of \((CH_3)_2CHCH_2N(BCat)2\) in 82% yield in 3 d at RT. Then, the reaction mixture was heated up to 60 °C to complete the reaction. NMR yield (final) is ~100%. \[ \text{H-NMR (300 MHz; C6D6; 298K; } \delta, \text{ ppm): 0.83 (d, } J_{HH} = 6.5 \text{ Hz, 6H, 2CH3), 1.90 (m, 1H, CH), 3.24 (d, } J_{HH} = 7.3 \text{ Hz, 2H, CH2-N), 6.71-6.79 (m, 4H, Ar, BCat), 7.00-7.08 (m, 4H, Ar, BCat).} \]

\[ \text{IH-NMR (300 MHz; C6D6; 298K; 8, ppm): 20.4, 30.7, 52.3, 112.7, 122.9, 149.3. } \]

\[ \text{11B-NMR (96.3 MHz; C6D6; 298 K; } \delta, \text{ ppm): 27.8 (bs).} \]

Hydroboration of \((CH_3)_3C-CN\) with catecholborane

\[ \text{CN} + \text{HBCat} \xrightarrow{10\% \text{TpMoH, RT}} \text{CH}_2\text{N(BCat)2} \]

Catecholborane (16.9 mg, 0.140 mmol) was added to a solution of pivalonitrile (5.8 mg, 0.070 mmol) in the presence of (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe3) (3.5 mg, 9 mol%) in C6D6 (0.60 ml) at RT. The reaction provided formation of \((CH_3)_3C-CH_2N(BCat)2\) in 86% yield in 3 d at RT. Then, the reaction mixture was heated at 60 °C to complete the reaction. NMR yield (final) is ~100%. \[ \text{H-NMR (300 MHz; C6D6; 298K; } \delta, \text{ ppm): 0.86 (s, 9H, t-Bu), 3.31 (s, 2H, CH2), 6.70-6.78 (m, 4H, Ar, HBCat), 7.01-7.08 (m, 4H, Ar, BCat).} \]

\[ \text{13C-NMR (75.5 MHz; C6D6; 298 K; } \delta, \text{ ppm): 20.4, 33.1, 51.2, 112.3, 123.1, 149.3. } \]

\[ \text{11B-NMR (96.3 MHz; C6D6; 298 K; } \delta, \text{ ppm): 27.8 (bs).} \]
Competitive hydroboration of CH$_3$CN, (CH$_3$)$_2$CH-CN and (CH$_3$)$_3$C-CN with catecholborane

Catecholborane (16.9 mg, 0.140 mmol) was added to a solution of acetonitrile (2.9 mg, 0.070 mmol), isobutynitrile (4.8 mg, 0.07 mmol) and pivalonitrile (5.8 mg, 0.070 mmol) in the presence of (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe$_3$) (3.9 mg, 10 mol%) in C$_6$D$_6$ (0.60 ml) at RT. When catecholborane was consumed (~4 d), the reaction mixture contained CH$_3$CH$_2$N(BCat)$_2$ (36%), (CH$_3$)$_2$CHCH$_2$N(BCat)$_2$ (35%) and (CH$_3$)$_3$C-CH$_2$N(BCat)$_2$ (29%).

Hydroboration of benzaldehyde with catecholborane

Catecholborane (21.4 mg, 0.178 mmol) was added to a solution of benzaldehyde (18.9 mg, 0.178 mmol) in the presence of (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe$_3$) (1.0 mg, 1 mol%) in C$_6$D$_6$ (0.60 ml) at RT. The reaction resulted in formation of PhCH$_2$OBCat in less than 5 min. ¹H-NMR (300 MHz; C$_6$D$_6$; 298K; δ, ppm): 4.85 (s, CH$_2$, 2H), 6.70-6.80 (m, 2H, Ar, BCat), 6.86-6.96 (m, 2H, Ar, BCat), 7.02-7.27 (m, 5H, Ph). ¹³C-NMR (75.5 MHz; C$_6$D$_6$; 298 K; δ, ppm): 68.3, 112.6, 122.9, 127.7, 129.1, 138.7, 148.9. ¹¹B-NMR (96.3 MHz; C$_6$D$_6$; 298 K; δ, ppm): 23.6 (bs)

Hydroboration of acetophenone with catecholborane

Hydroboration of benzaldehyde with catecholborane
Catecholborane (21.4 mg, 0.178 mmol) was added to a solution of acetophenone (21.4 mg, 0.178 mmol) in the presence of (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe3) (1.0 mg, 1 mol%) in C6D6 (0.60 ml) at RT. The reaction resulted in formation of PhCH(OBCat)CH3 in less than 5 minutes. NMR yield: 100%. 1H-NMR (300 MHz; C6D6; 298K; δ, ppm): 1.38 (d, J = 6.59 Hz, 3H, CH3), 5.38 (q, J = 6.59 Hz, 1H, CH-O), 6.68-6.75 (m, 2H, Ar, BCat), 6.85-6.92 (m, 2H, Ar, BCat), 7.01-7.15 (m, 3H, Ph), 7.26-7.31 (m, 2H, Ph). 13C-NMR (75.5 MHz; C6D6; 298 K; δ, ppm): 25.5, 75.0, 112.6, 122.8, 126.0, 128.2, 129.1, 144.2, 148.9. 11B-NMR (96.3 MHz; C6D6; 298 K; δ, ppm): 23.4 (bs).

Hydroboration of p-methoxyacetophenone with catecholborane

Catecholborane (8.6 mg, 0.071 mmol) was added to a solution of p-methoxyacetophenone (13.0 mg, 0.071 mmol) in the presence of (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe3) (0.4 mg, 1 mol%) in C6D6 (0.60 ml) at RT. The reaction resulted in formation of p-MeOC6H4CH(OBCat)Ph in less than 10 min. 1H-NMR (300 MHz; C6D6; 298K; δ, ppm): 1.43 (d, J = 6.3 Hz, 3H, CH3), 3.28 (s, 3H, OCH3), 5.40 (q, J = 6.3 Hz, 1H, CH-O), 6.67-6.82 (m, 4H, Ar, BCat and Ph), 6.84-6.95 (m, 2H, Ar, BCat), 7.20-7.28 (m, 2H, Ar). 13C-NMR (75.5 MHz; C6D6; 298 K; δ, ppm): 25.4, 55.1, 74.8, 112.5, 114.6, 122.8, 127.4, 136.3, 148.9, 160.1. 11B-NMR (96.3 MHz; C6D6; 298 K; δ, ppm): 23.4 (bs).

Hydroboration of p-nitroacetophenone with catecholborane

Catecholborane (11.3 mg, 0.0940 mmol) was added to a solution of p-nitroacetophenone (15.5 mg, 0.094 mmol) in the presence of (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe3) (0.4 mg, 1 mol%) in C6D6 (0.60 ml) at RT. The reaction provided formation of p-NO2-C6H4CH(OBCat)CH3 and lots of black precipitate resulted from catalyst decomposition. NMR yield: ~45%. 1H-
NMR (300 MHz; C₆D₆; 298K; δ, ppm): 1.17 (d, J = 6.60 Hz, 3H, CH₃), 5.12 (q, J = 6.60 Hz, 1H, CH-O), 6.69-6.79 (m, 2H, BCat), 6.84-6.94 (m, 2H, BCat and Ar), 7.74-7.82 (m, 2H, BCat). ¹¹B-NMR (96.3 MHz; C₆D₆; 298 K; δ, ppm): 23.6 (bs).

**Hydroboration of benzophenone with catecholborane**

![Chemical structure](image)

Catecholborane (8.6 mg, 0.071 mmol) was added to a solution of benzophenone (13.0 mg, 0.071 mmol) in the presence of (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃) (0.4 mg, 1 mol%) in C₆D₆ (0.60 ml) at RT. The reaction resulted in formation of PhCH(OBCat)Ph in 5 hs. ¹H-NMR (300 MHz; C₆D₆; 298K; δ, ppm): 6.44 (s, CH, 1H), 6.67-6.72 (m, 2H, Ar, BCat), 6.83-6.90 (m, 2H, Ar, BCat), 6.96-7.12 (m, o-H, 6H, Ar), 7.34-7.40 (m, o-H, 4H, Ar). ¹³C-NMR (75.5 MHz; C₆D₆; 298 K; δ, ppm): 80.2 (C-H), 112.6 (CH, Ar, BCat), 122.8 (CH, Ar, BCat), 127.3 (CH, Ar, Ph), 128.3 (CH, Ph, determined by HSQC), 129.1 (CH, Ph), 142.8 (ipso-C), 148.8 (ipso-C). ¹¹B-NMR (96.3 MHz; C₆D₆; 298 K; δ, ppm): 23.7 (bs).

**Hydroboration of acetone with catecholborane**

![Chemical structure](image)

Catecholborane (11.3 mg, 0.094 mmol) was added to a solution of acetone (5.5 mg, 0.094 mmol) in the presence of (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃) (1.0 mg, 1 mol%) in C₆D₆ (0.60 ml) at RT. The reaction resulted in formation CH₃CH(OBCat)CH₃ in less than 5 min. ¹H-NMR (300 MHz; C₆D₆; 298K; δ, ppm): 1.06 (d, J = 6.3 Hz, 6H, 2CH₃), 4.43 (sept, J = 6.3 Hz, 1H, CH-O), 6.71-6.78 (m, 2H, BCat), 6.90-6.97 (m, 2H, BCat). ¹³C-NMR (75.5 MHz; C₆D₆; 298 K; δ, ppm): 24.4, 69.7, 112.5, 122.8, 149.0. ¹¹B-NMR (96.3 MHz; C₆D₆; 298 K; δ, ppm): 23.2 (bs).
Hydroboration of butanone with catecholborane

Catecholborane (11.3 mg, 0.094 mmol) was added to a solution of butanone (6.8 mg, 0.094 mmol) in the presence of (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃) (1.0 mg, 1 mol%) in C₆D₆ (0.60 ml) at RT. The reaction resulted in formation CH₃CH₂CH(OBCat)CH₃ in less than 5 min. ¹H-NMR (300 MHz; C₆D₆; 298 K; δ, ppm): 0.76 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 3H, CH₃), 1.08 (d, J = 6.3, 3H, CH₃), 1.23-1.54 (m, 2H, CH₂), 4.27 (hept, J = 6.2 Hz, 1H, CH-O), 6.71-6.78 (m, 2H, BCat), 6.90-6.97 (m, 2H, BCat). ¹³C-NMR (75.5 MHz; C₆D₆; 298 K; δ, ppm): 10.2, 22.1, 31.4, 74.6, 112.5, 122.8, 149.0. ¹¹B-NMR (96.3 MHz; C₆D₆; 298 K; δ, ppm): 23.4 (bs).

Hydroboration of cyclohexanone with catecholborane

Catecholborane (21.4 mg, 0.178 mmol) was added to a solution of cyclohexanone (17.5 mg, 0.178 mmol) in the presence of (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃) (1.0 mg, 1 mol%) in C₆D₆ (0.60 ml) at RT. The reaction resulted in formation C₆H₅CH(OBCat) in less than 5 min. ¹H-NMR (300 MHz; C₆D₆; 298 K; δ, ppm): 0.95-1.16 (m, 3H), 1.18-1.31 (m, 1H), 1.34-1.48 (m, 2H), 1.49-1.62 (m, 2H), 1.71-1.82 (m, 2H), 4.21 (m, 1H, CH-O), 6.72-6.79 (m, 2H, Ar, BCat), 6.91-6.98 (m, 2H, Ar, BCat). ¹³C-NMR (75.5 MHz; C₆D₆; 298 K; δ, ppm): 24.2, 25.9, 34.5, 74.9, 112.5, 122.8, 149.0. ¹¹B-NMR (96.3 MHz; C₆D₆; 298 K; δ, ppm): 23.4.

Hydroboration of 2-methylcyclohexanone with catecholborane

Catecholborane (11.3 mg, 0.094 mmol) was added to a solution of 2-methylcyclohexanone (11.8 mg, 0.094 mmol) in the presence of (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃)
(0.5 mg, 1 mol%) in C6D6 (0.60 ml) at RT. The reaction resulted in formation of two isomers A (27%) and B (73%) in less than 10 min. 1H-NMR (300 MHz; C6D6; 298K; δ, ppm): 0.88 (d, J = 6.6 Hz, 3H, CH3, A), 0.92 (d, J = 6.1 Hz, 3H, CH3, B), 0.75-1.15 (m, 2H, A and B), 1.19-1.69 (m, 6H, A and B), 1.73-1.86 (m, 1H, A), 1.88-1.98 (m, 1H, B), 3.85 (m, 1H, CH-O, B), 4.40 (m, 1H, CH-O, A), 6.71-6.79 (m, 2H, Ar, BCat), 6.90-6.98 (m, 2H, Ar, BCat). 13C-NMR (75.5 MHz; C6D6; 298 K; δ, ppm): 17.9, 19.0, 21.0, 25.4, 25.9, 29.2, 32.6, 33.8, 34.7, 36.3, 39.5, 76.5, 81.3, 112.5, 122.8, 149.1. 11B-NMR (96.3 MHz; C6D6; 298 K; δ, ppm): 23.5.

**Hydroboration of 2,6-dimethylcyclohexanone with catecholborane**

![Diagram](image)

Catecholborane (11.3 mg, 0.094 mmol) was added to a solution of 2,6-dimethylcyclohexanone (11.8 mg, 0.094 mmol) in the presence of (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe3) (0.5 mg, 1 mol%) at RT. The reaction resulted in formation of three isomers A (36%), B (11%) and C (53%) in less than 10 min. 1H-NMR (300 MHz; C6D6; 298K; δ, ppm): 0.76-1.95 (m, 14H, Cy*), 3.58 (t, 3Jtrans-H-H = 9.6 Hz, 1H, CH-O, A), 4.09 (dd, 3Jtrans-H-H = 7.8 Hz, 3Jcis-H-H = 3.8 Hz, 1H, CH-O, B), 4.27 (m, 1H, CH-O, C), 6.70-6.79 (m, 2H, Ar, BCat), 6.89-6.99 (m, 2H, Ar, BCat). 13C-NMR (75.5 MHz; C6D6; 298 K; δ, ppm): 15.4, 19.1, 19.2, 25.9, 26.5, 28.2, 34.3, 37.5, 39.3, 45.6, 80.7, 87.3, 112.6, 122.8. 11B-NMR (96.3 MHz; C6D6; 298 K; δ, ppm): 23.7 (bs)

**Hydroboration of Ph-C≡CH with catecholborane**

Ph—C≡CH + CatBH → (Tp)(ArN)Mo(II)(PMe3), 5%

Catecholborane (11.6 mg, 0.094 mmol) and phenylsilane (9.6 mg, 0.094 mmol) were added to a solution of (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe3) (2.6 mg, 5 mol%) in C6D6 (0.60 ml). The
reaction provided formation of *trans*-Ph-CH=CH-BCat in one day at RT. The presence of catalyst also caused a partial polymerization of phenylacetylene as a side reaction. $^1$H-NMR (300 MHz; C$_6$D$_6$; 298K; $\delta$, ppm): 6.43 (d, $J$ = 18.5 Hz, 1H, -CH=), 6.80-6.88 (m, 2H, Ar, BCat), 7.02-7.12 (m, 3H, Ph; 2H, BCat), 7.24-7.30 (m, 2H, Ph). $^{13}$C-NMR (75.5 MHz; C$_6$D$_6$; 298 K; $\delta$, ppm): 113.0, 123.3, 129.3, 130.0, 132.7, 137.7, 149.3, 152.7. $^{11}$B-NMR (96.3 MHz; C$_6$D$_6$; 298 K; $\delta$, ppm): 31.4 (bs)

**Hydroboration of malononitrile with catecholborane**

\[
\text{CN} + 2 \text{CatBH} \xrightarrow{20\% \text{ Cat, RT}} 14 \text{ days} \xrightarrow{} \text{CN} \text{N(BCat)$_2$}
\]

Malononitrile (3.1 mg, 0.047 mmol) and catecholborane (11.3 mg, 0.047 mmol) were added to a solution of (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe$_3$) (5 mg, 20 mol%) in C$_6$D$_6$ (0.60 ml). The reaction mixture was left for 14 days at RT. The reaction provided formation of (CatB)$_2$NCH$_2$CH$_2$CN in 20% yield. $^1$H-NMR (300 MHz; C$_6$D$_6$; 298K; $\delta$, ppm): 1.77 (t, $J$ = 7.15 Hz, 2H, $CH_2$-N), 3.17 (t, $J$ = 7.12 Hz, 2H, $CH_2$-CN).

**Hydroboration of 1-hexynenitrile with catecholborane**

\[
\text{CN} + 2 \text{CatBH} \xrightarrow{20\% \text{ Cat, RT}} 14 \text{ days} \xrightarrow{} \text{CN} \text{N(BCat)$_2$} + \text{other products}
\]

1-Hexynenitrile (4.4 mg, 0.047 mmol) and catecholborane (11.3 mg, 0.047 mmol) were added to a solution of (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe$_3$) (5.0 mg, 20 mol%) in C$_6$D$_6$ (0.60 ml). The reaction provided formation of HC≡C-(CH$_2$)$_4$-N(BCat)$_2$ in one day at RT in 37% NMR yield. Then, hydroboration of the C≡C bond was also observed. $^1$H-NMR (300 MHz; C$_6$D$_6$; 298K; $\delta$, ppm): 1.90 (td, $^3$J$_{H-H}$ = 7.12 Hz, $^4$J$_{H-H}$ = 2.73 Hz, 2H, -CH$_2$-C≡), 3.29 (t, $^3$J$_{H-H}$ = 7.45 Hz, 2H, -CH$_2$-N(BCat)$_2$), 5.90 (d, $^3$J$_{H-H}$ = 17.79 Hz, 1H, -CH=), 7.07 (1H, -CH=, position determined by $^1$H-$^1$H COSY NMR). $^{11}$B-NMR (96.3 MHz; C$_6$D$_6$; 298 K; $\delta$, ppm): 15.5 (bm), 26.9 (bm).
Hydroboration of acrylonitrile

Acrylonitrile (2.3 μl, 0.036 mmol) and CatBH (3.8 μl, 0.036 mmol) were mixed in the presence of (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃) (2.0 mg, 10 mol%) in C₆D₆ (0.60 ml). Hydroboration was not observed.

Hydroboration of 2,4-dimethyl-3-pentanone with catecholborane

2,4-Dimethyl-3-pentanone (13.3 μl, 0.094 mmol) and catecholborane (10 μl, 0.094 mmol) were mixed in the presence of (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃) (5.3 mg, 10 mol%) in C₆D₆ (0.60 ml). The reaction provided formation of (i-Pr)₂CHOBCat in one day at RT. NMR yield: 76%.

IH-NMR (300 MHz; C₆D₆; 298 K; δ, ppm): 0.78 (d, J = 7.14 Hz, 6H, 2CH₃), 0.88 (d, J = 7.14 Hz, 6H, 2CH₃), 1.74 (hept, J = 6.59 Hz, 2H, -CH(CH₃)₂), 3.89 (t, J = 5.77 Hz, 1H, CH-O), 6.81-6.89 (m, 2H, BCat), 7.01-7.10 (m, 2H, BCat).

¹¹B-NMR (96.3 MHz; C₆D₆; 298 K; δ, ppm): 22.7 (bs)

Hydroboration of ethyl acetate with catecholborane

Ethyl acetate (8.3 mg, 0.094 mmol) and catecholborane (11.3 mg, 0.094 mmol) were added to a solution of (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃) (2.5 mg, 5 mol%) in C₆D₆ (0.60 ml). The reaction provided formation of CH₃CH₂OBCat (78%), (CH₃CH₂)₂O (11%) and (CatB)₂O (11%) in one day at RT. IH-NMR (300 MHz; C₆D₆; 298K; δ, ppm): 0.99 (t, J = 6.88 Hz, 3H, CH₃, EtOBCat), 1.11 (t, J = 7.14, 6H, 2CH₃, EtO₂), 3.26 (q, J = 7.14 Hz, 4H, 2CH₂, Et₂O), 3.81 (q, J = 6.88 Hz, 2H, CH₂, EtOBCat), 6.70-6.78 (m, 2H, Ar, EtOBCat and (CatB)₂O), 6.87-6.96 (m, 2H, Ar, EtOBCat and (CatB)₂O). ¹¹B-NMR (96.3 MHz; C₆D₆; 298 K; δ, ppm): 23.4 (bs, C₂H₅OBcat), 28.8 (bs, (CatB)₂O).
**Hydroboration of 4-acetylbenzonitrile**

![Molecular structure of 4-acetylbenzonitrile](image)

4-Acetylbenzonitrile (17.4 µl, 0.121 mmol) and catecholborane (12.8 µl, 0.121 mmol) were mixed in the presence of (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe3) (2.5 mg, 5 mol%) in C₆D₆ (0.60 ml). The colour of the reaction mixture immediately turned dark-brown. The reaction provided formation of p-CN-C₆H₄CH(OBCat)CH₃ as the only product in 30% yield. Hydroboration of the nitrile group was not observed. The catalyst decomposed during the reaction. ¹H-NMR (300 MHz; C₆D₆; 298K; δ, ppm): 1.15 (d, ³J_H-H = 6.50 Hz, 3H, CH₃), 5.09 (q, ³J_H-H = 6.50, 1H, CH2). ¹¹B-NMR (96.3 MHz; C₆D₆; 298K; δ, ppm): 23.4 (bs).

**Competitive hydroboration of benzaldehyde and benzonitrile**

Benzaldehyde (9.1 µl, 0.0982 mmol), benzonitrile (8.7 µl, 0.0982 mmol) and catecholborane (9.5 µl, 0.0892 mmol) were mixed in the presence of (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe3) (0.5 mg, 1 mol%) in C₆D₆ (0.60 ml). The reaction provided formation of PhCH₂OBCat in less than 5 min. Conversion of the benzonitrile was not observed.

**Competitive hydroboration of acetophenone and isobutyronitrile**

Acetophenone (10.4 µl, 0.0892 mmol), isobutyronitrile (8.0 µl, 0.0892 mmol) and catecholborane (9.5 µl, 0.0892 mmol) were mixed in the presence of (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe3) (2.5 mg, 5 mol%) in C₆D₆ (0.60 ml). The colour of the reaction mixture quickly changed from brown to purple, and then gradually to green-brown. The reaction provided formation of PhCH(OBCat)CH₃ as the only product within one hour at RT. Hydroboration of isobutyronitrile was not observed. ³¹P NMR spectrum of the reaction mixture showed the presence of a single peak at -1.73 ppm previously observed during the individual hydroboration of isobutyronitrile.
Hydroboration of 3-hexyne

3-Hexyne (15.0 μl, 0.132 mmol) and catecholborane (14.1 μl, 0.132 mmol) were mixed in the presence of (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe3) (3.7 mg, 5 mol%) in C₆D₆ (0.60 ml). No reaction was observed during one day at RT.

Hydroboration of ethyl 4-cianobenzoate

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{EtOOC-C}_6\text{H}_4\text{-CH}_2\text{N(BCat)}_2 & \quad \text{75%} \\
\text{CN} & \text{CatBH} & \text{TpMoH} & \text{5 mol%} \\
\text{COOEt} & \text{COOEt}
\end{align*}
\]

Ethyl 4-cianobenzoate (15.6 mg, 0.0892 mmol) and catecholborane (19.0 μl, 0.178 mmol) were mixed in the presence of (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe3) (2.5 mg, 5 mol%) in C₆D₆ (0.60 ml). The reaction provided formation of EtOOC-C₆H₄-CH₂N(BCat)₂ in 1.5 days as the major product. NMR yield/selectivity: 75%. ¹H-NMR (300 MHz; C₆D₆; 298K; δ, ppm): 0.99 (t, ³J_H-H = 7.10 Hz, 3H, CH₃), 4.10 (q, ³J_H-H = 7.10 Hz, 2H, OCH₂), 4.46 (s, CH₂N), 6.68-6.67 (m, 2H, BCat), 6.95-7.03 (m, 2H, BCat), 7.28 (d, ³J_H-H = 8.10, 2H, Ar), 8.06 (d, ³J_H-H = 8.10, 2H, Ar). ¹³C-NMR (150.9 MHz; C₆D₆; 298 K; δ, ppm): 13.8 (CH₃), 47.5 (CH₂N), 60.5 (OCH₂), 112.2 (CH, BCat), 127.0 (CH, BCat), 129.9 (CH, Ar), 144.9 (ipso-C, C(Ar)-CH₂N), 148.3 (ipso-C, BCat), 165.7 (ipso-C, C(Ar)-COOEt). ¹¹B-NMR (96.3 MHz; C₆D₆; 298 K; δ, ppm): 28.0 (bs, B-N).

Hydroboration of N-benzylidenaniline

N-benzylidenaniline (16.2 mg, 0.0892 mmol) and catecholborane (9.5 μl, 0.0892 mmol) were mixed in C₆D₆ (0.60 ml). The reaction provided fast formation of PhCH₂N(BCat)Ph.
Competitive hydroboration of acetophenone and benzonitrile mediated by \((\text{Tp})(\text{ArN})\text{Mo}(-\text{N}=\text{CHPh})(\text{PMe}_3)\)

Acetophenone (10.4 \(\mu\)l, 0.0892 mmol), benzonitrile (8.7 \(\mu\)l, 0.0892 mmol) and catecholborane (9.5 \(\mu\)l, 0.0892 mmol) were mixed in the presence of \((\text{Tp})(\text{ArN})\text{Mo}(-\text{N}=\text{CHPh})(\text{PMe}_3)\) (3.0 mg, 5 mol\%) in \(\text{C}_6\text{D}_6\) (0.60 ml). Hydroboration of acetophenone was complete within one hour at RT. \(^{31}\text{P}\) NMR spectrum showed the presence of an unknown catalyst derivative. The colour of the solution was orange-brown. \(^1\text{H}\)-NMR (300 MHz; \(\text{C}_6\text{D}_6\); 298 K; \(\delta\), ppm): 0.85 (bm, 6H, 2CH\(_3\), i-Pr), 0.99 (bd, \(^3\text{J}_{\text{H-H}} = 6.70\) Hz, 2CH\(_3\), i-Pr), 1.23 (d, \(^2\text{J}_{\text{H-P}} = 8.23\) Hz, PMe\(_3\)), 5.93 (t, \(^3\text{J}_{\text{H-H}} = 2.20\) Hz, 1H, Pz), 5.99 (t, \(^3\text{J}_{\text{H-H}} = 2.20\) Hz, 1H, Pz), 6.02 (t, \(^3\text{J}_{\text{H-H}} = 2.20\) Hz, 1H, Pz). \(^{31}\text{P}\)-NMR (121.5 MHz; \(\text{C}_6\text{D}_6\); 298 K; \(\delta\), ppm): -1.92 (s).

Hydroboration of acetophenone mediated by \((\text{Tp})(\text{ArN})\text{Mo}(-\text{N}=\text{CHPh})(\text{PMe}_3)\)

Acetophenone (10.4 \(\mu\)l, 0.0892 mmol) and catecholborane (9.5 \(\mu\)l, 0.0892 mmol) were mixed in the presence of \((\text{Tp})(\text{ArN})\text{Mo}(-\text{N}=\text{CHPh})(\text{PMe}_3)\) (3.0 mg, 5 mol\%) in \(\text{C}_6\text{D}_6\) (0.60 ml). The reaction provided fast (<10 min) formation of PhCH(OBCat)CH\(_3\). The solution was bright purple. NMR analysis showed the presence of an unknown catalyst derivative. \(^1\text{H}\)-NMR (300 MHz; \(\text{C}_6\text{D}_6\); 298 K; \(\delta\), ppm): 0.84 (bd, \(^3\text{J}_{\text{H-H}} = 6.59\) Hz, 2CH\(_3\), i-Pr), 0.89 (bd, \(^3\text{J}_{\text{H-H}} = 6.59\) Hz, 2CH\(_3\), i-Pr), 1.17 (d, \(^2\text{J}_{\text{H-P}} = 8.78\) Hz, PMe\(_3\)), 3.31 (sept, \(^3\text{J}_{\text{H-H}} = 6.59\) Hz, 2H, CH, i-Pr), 5.77 (t, \(^3\text{J}_{\text{H-H}} = 2.20\) Hz, 1H, Pz), 5.93 (t, \(^3\text{J}_{\text{H-H}} = 2.20\) Hz, 1H, Pz), 6.05 (t, \(^3\text{J}_{\text{H-H}} = 2.20\) Hz, 1H, Pz). \(^{31}\text{P}\)-NMR (121.5 MHz; \(\text{C}_6\text{D}_6\); 298 K; \(\delta\), ppm): -1.35 (s).

Hydroboration of N-benzylbenzamide

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\text{CatBH} \\
\text{(Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe}_3\text{),} \quad 5 \text{ mol\%}
\end{array}
\]

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\text{O} \\
\text{(Tp)(ArN)Mo(-N}=\text{CHPh)}(\text{PMe}_3), \\
5 \text{ mol\%}
\end{array}
\]

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\text{O} \\
\text{N} \\
\text{BCat}
\end{array}
\]
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N-benzylbenzamide (18.8 mg, 0.0892 mmol) and catecholborane (9.5 μl, 0.0892 mmol) were mixed in the presence of (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃) (3.0 mg, 5 mol%) in C₆D₆ (0.60 ml). Formation of gas (H₂) was observed. The reaction provided fast formation of N-benzyl-N-catecholborylbenzamide precipitated as a pale-yellow solid. The product was filtered, washed with benzene, and dissolved in CDCl₃. ¹H-NMR (300 MHz; CDCl₃; 298K; δ, ppm): 4.95 (s, 2H, CH₂N), 6.96-7.66 (m, 14H, Ar).

**Hydroboration of benzaldehyde with pinacolborane**

Pinacolborane (8.8 mg, 0.069 mmol) and benzaldehyde (10.9 mg, 0.069 mmol) were added to a solution of (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃) (3.0 mg, 5 mol%) in C₆D₆ (0.60 ml) at RT. The reaction provided formation of PhCHOBPin in 2.5 hours. ¹H-NMR (300 MHz; C₆D₆; 298K; δ, ppm): 1.04 (s, 12H, 4CH₃), 4.96 (s, 2H, CH₂), 6.98-7.17 (m, 3H, Ph), 7.28-7.34 (m, 2H, Ph). ¹³C-NMR (75.5 MHz; C₆D₆; 298 K; δ, ppm): 25.0, 67.3, 83.1, 127.4, 127.9, 128.9, 140.4. ¹¹B-NMR (96.3 MHz; C₆D₆; 298 K; δ, ppm): 23.0 (bs).

**Hydroboration of acetophenone with pinacolborane**

Pinacolborane (8.8 mg, 0.069 mmol) and acetophenone (8.3 mg, 0.069 mmol) were added to a solution of (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃) (1.0 mg, 2 mol%) in C₆D₆ (0.60 ml) at RT. The reaction resulted in formation PhCH(OBPin)CH₃ in 0.5 days. ¹H-NMR (300 MHz; C₆D₆; 298K; δ, ppm): 1.00 (s, 6H, Pin), 1.03 (s, 6H, Pin), 1.46 (d, J = 6.39 Hz, 3H, CH₃), 5.42 (q, J = 6.39 Hz, 1H, CH-O), 6.98-7.19 (m, 3H, Ph), 7.34-7.40 (m, 2H, Ph). ¹³C-NMR (75.5 MHz; C₆D₆; 298 K; δ, ppm): 24.9, 25.0, 26.1, 73.3, 82.9, 126.1, 127.7, 128.9, 145.8. ¹¹B-NMR (96.3 MHz; C₆D₆; 298 K; δ, ppm): 22.7 (bs)
Hydroboration of 4-methoxyacetophenone with pinacolborane

Pinacolborane (13.2 mg, 0.103 mmol) and 4-methoxyacetophenone (15.5 mg, 0.103 mmol) were added to a solution of (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe3) (3.0 mg, 5 mol%) in C6D6 (0.60 ml) at RT. The reaction provided formation of MeOC6H4CH(OBPin)CH3 in less than 1 day. 1H-NMR (300 MHz; C6D6; 298K; δ, ppm): 1.02 (s, 6H, 2CH3), 1.04 (s, 6H, 2CH3), 1.50 (d, J = 6.58 Hz, 3H, CH3), 3.29 (s, 3H, OCH3), 5.42 (q, J = 6.58 Hz, 1H, CH-O), 6.73-6.79 (m, 2H, Ar), 7.28-7.34 (m, 2H, Ar). 13C-NMR (75.5 MHz; C6D6; 298 K; δ, ppm): 25.0, 25.1, 26.1, 55.1, 73.0, 82.8, 114.4, 127.3, 137.9, 159.8. 11B-NMR (96.3 MHz; C6D6; 298 K; δ, ppm): 22.7 (bs).

Hydroboration of 4-nitroacetophenone with pinacolborane

Pinacolborane (13.2 mg, 0.103 mmol) and 4-nitroacetophenone (17.0 mg, 0.103 mmol) were added to a solution of (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe3) (3.0 mg, 5 mol%) in C6D6 (0.60 ml) at RT. The reaction provided formation of MeOC6H4CH(OBPin)CH3 in 0.5 day, and then stopped due to the catalyst decomposition. NMR yield: ~50%. 1H-NMR (300 MHz; C6D6; 298K; δ, ppm): 1.01 (s, 6H, 2CH3), 1.03 (s, 6H, 2CH3), 1.25 (d, J = 6.59 Hz, 3H, CH3), 5.19 (q, J = 6.59 Hz, 1H, CH-O), 6.97-7.02 (m, 2H, Ar), 7.78-7.83 (m, 2H, Ar). 11B-NMR (96.3 MHz; C6D6; 298 K; δ, ppm): 22.0 (bs).

Hydroboration of acetone with pinacolborane

Acetone (18.6 mg, 0.295 mmol) was added to a solution of (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe3) (3.0 mg, 5 mol%) in C6D6 (0.60 ml) at RT. The reaction provided formation of MeOC6H4CH(OBPin)CH3 in <4 hours.
Pinacolborane (13.2 mg, 0.103 mmol) and acetone (6.0 mg, 0.103 mmol) were added to a solution of (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe$_3$) (3.0 mg, 5 mol%) in C$_6$D$_6$ (0.60 ml) at RT. The reaction resulted in formation CH$_3$C(OBPin)CH$_3$ in less than one hour. $^1$H-NMR (300 MHz; C$_6$D$_6$; 298 K; $\delta$, ppm): 1.06 (s, 12H, 4CH$_3$, Pin), 1.17 (d, $J = 6.26$ Hz, 6H, 2CH$_3$), 4.47 (sept, $J = 6.26$ Hz, 1H, CH). $^{13}$C-NMR (75.5 MHz; C$_6$D$_6$; 298 K; $\delta$, ppm): 24.9, 25.0, 67.7, 82.5. $^{11}$B-NMR (96.3 MHz; C$_6$D$_6$; 298 K; $\delta$, ppm): 22.5 (bs)

**Hydroboration of butanone with pinacolborane**

![Butanone Hydroboration Reaction](image)

Pinacolborane (13.2 mg, 0.103 mmol) and butanone (7.4 mg, 0.103 mmol) were added to a solution of (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe$_3$) (3.0 mg, 5 mol%) in C$_6$D$_6$ (0.60 ml) at RT. The reaction provided formation of CH$_3$CH$_2$C(OBPin)CH$_3$ in less than one hour. $^1$H-NMR (300 MHz; C$_6$D$_6$; 298 K; $\delta$, ppm): 0.88 (t, $J = 7.3$ Hz, 3H, CH$_3$), 1.07 (s, 12H, 4CH$_3$, Pin), 1.17 (d, $J = 6.03$ Hz, 3H, CH$_3$), 1.30-1.62 (m, 2H, CH$_2$), 4.26 (m, 1H, CH-O). $^{13}$C-NMR (75.5 MHz; C$_6$D$_6$; 298 K; $\delta$, ppm): 10.4, 22.7, 25.0, 31.8, 72.6, 82.5. $^{11}$B-NMR (96.3 MHz; C$_6$D$_6$; 298 K; $\delta$, ppm): 22.5 (bs).

**Hydroboration of cyclohexanone with pinacolborane**

![Cyclohexanone Hydroboration Reaction](image)

Pinacolborane (13.2 mg, 0.103 mmol) and cyclohexanone (10.1 mg, 0.103 mmol) were added to a solution of (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe$_3$) (3.0 mg, 5 mol%) in C$_6$D$_6$ (0.60 ml) at RT. The reaction provided formation of CyOBPin in less than one hour. $^1$H-NMR (300 MHz; C$_6$D$_6$; 298 K; $\delta$, ppm): 1.02-1.21 (m, 2H), 1.08 (s, 12H, 4CH$_3$, Pz), 1.23-1.37 (m, 2H), 1.42-1.56 (m, 2H), 1.56-1.67 (m, 2H), 1.85-1.95 (m, 2H), 4.23 (m, 1H, CH-O). $^{13}$C-NMR (75.5 MHz; C$_6$D$_6$; 298 K; $\delta$, ppm): 24.5, 25.1, 26.1, 35.1, 73.1, 82.5. $^{11}$B-NMR (96.3 MHz; C$_6$D$_6$; 298 K; $\delta$, ppm): 22.5 (bs).
Hydroboration of benzophenone with pinacolborane

Pinacolborane (13.2 mg, 0.103 mmol) and benzophenone (10.9 mg, 0.103 mmol) were added to a solution of (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃) (3.0 mg, 5 mol%) in C₆D₆ (0.60 ml) at RT. The reaction resulted in formation (C₆H₅)₂CHOBP in in one hour. ¹H-NMR (300 MHz; C₆D₆; 298K; δ, ppm): 0.98 (s, 12H, 4CH₃), 6.44 (s, 1H, CH-O), 6.96-7.05 (m, 2H, Ar), 7.05-7.14 (m, 4H, Ar), 7.41-7.49 (m, 4H, Ar). ¹³C-NMR (75.5 MHz; C₆D₆; 298 K; δ, ppm): 24.9, 78.9, 83.2, 127.3, 127.9, 128.9, 144.3. ¹¹B-NMR (96.3 MHz; C₆D₆; 298 K; δ, ppm): 23.0 (bs).
V. 8. Silyl Imido Molybdenum(IV) Complexes

Synthesis of (ArN)Mo(SiH₂Ph)(Cl)(PMe₃)₃

Phenylsilane (50.0 µl, 0.527 mmol) was added to a solution of (ArN)Mo(OCy)(Cl)(PMe₃) (20.0 mg, 0.032 mmol) in toluene. The quick release of hydrogen has been observed. The solution was stored at -30 °C. The product (ArN)Mo(SiH₂Ph)(Cl)(PMe₃)₃ crystallized as tetragonal crystals. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, C₆D₆; 297 K, δ, ppm): 1.16 (bd, J = 4.6 Hz, 9H, PMe₃), 1.22 (d, J = 6.7 Hz, 12H, 4CH₃, 2Pr), 1.25 (vt, J = 3.2 Hz, 18H, 2PMe₃), 4.00 (sept, J = 6.7 Hz, 2H, 2Pr¹), 5.78 (t+sat, 3JHHp = 3.4 Hz, 1JHH-Si = 153.8 Hz, 2H, SiH₂Ph), 6.86-6.93 (m, 2H, m-H, Ar), 6.95-7.02 (m, 1H, p-H, Ar), 7.21-7.26 (m, 1H, p-H, Ph), 7.28-7.33 (m, 2H, m-H, Ph), 8.31-8.49 (m, 2H, o-H, Ph). ³¹P NMR (121.5 MHz; C₆D₆; 297 K, δ, ppm): -4.7 (bs, PMe₃). ¹³C-NMR (75.5 MHz; C₆D₆; 298 K; δ, ppm): 19.4 (bs, 2PMe₃), 19.9 (bs, 1PMe₃), 25.3 (s, 4CH₃, 2Pr¹), 27.6 (bs, 2C-H, 2Pr¹), 124.4 (2 m-C-H, Ar), 126.3 (p-C-H, Ar), 127.6 (2m-C-H, Ph), 127.8 (p-C-H, Ph), 137.9 (2 o-C-H, Ph), 145.6 (ipso-C-C, Ar), 152.1 (ipso-C-N, Ar). A signal for the ipso-C-Si carbon atom was not detected. Elem. Anal. (%): calc. for C₂₇H₅₁ClMoNP₃Si (642.100): C 50.50, H 8.01, N 2.18; found (±0.3%) C 49.95, H 7.52, N 2.35.

Preparation of (ArN)Mo(SiH₂Ph)(Cl)(PMe₃)₂⁰

BPh₃ (9.4 mg, 0.040 mmol) was added to a solution of (ArN)Mo(H)(Cl)(PMe₃)₃ (21.0 mg, 0.04 mmol) and PhSiH₃ (4.8 µl, 0.04 mmol) in C₆D₆ (0.60 ml) in a separate vial under the glovebox. Immediate formation of precipitate of Me₃B*PMe₃ was observed. The solution was filtered and transferred into an NMR tube. NMR analysis showed quantitative formation of (ArN)Mo(SiH₂Ph)(Cl)(PMe₃)₂. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, C₆D₆; 297 K, δ, ppm): 1.21-1.25 (m, 30H, 4CH₃ of NaAr and 2PMe₃), 3.77 (sept, 3JHH = 6.9 Hz, 2H, NMR-scale in situ preparation of (ArN)Mo(SiH₂Ph)(Cl)(PMe₃)₂ was originally developed by Eric Peterson (undergraduate student in Nikonov’s group).
2CH, NAr), 5.93 (t+sat, $^3J_{H-P} = 3.3$ Hz, $^1J_{H-Si} = 158.2$ Hz, 2H, SiH$_2$Ph), 6.87-7.31 (m, 6H, $m$-H and $p$-H of SiH$_2$Ph and NAr), 7.88 (d, $^3J_{H-H} = 7.5$ Hz, 2H, $o$-H, SiH$_2$Ph). $^{31}$P NMR (121.5 MHz; C$_6$D$_6$; 297 K, δ, ppm): 0.3 (s, PMe$_3$).

$^1$H-$^{13}$C HSQC NMR (f1: 300 MHz; f2: 75.5 MHz; $J = 145.0$ Hz; C$_6$D$_6$; 297 K, δ, ppm): 15.8 (PMe$_3$), 23.7 (CH$_3$, NAr), 29.2 (CH, NAr), 123.0 ($m$-C and $p$-C of NAr and SiH$_2$Ph), 125.9, 126.5, 127.5, 136.5 ($o$-C, SiH$_2$Ph). $^1$H-$^{29}$Si HSQC NMR (f1: 300 MHz; f2: 59.6 MHz; $J = 200.0$ Hz; C$_6$D$_6$; 297 K, δ, ppm): 6.3 (SiH$_2$Ph).

**Reaction between (ArN)Mo(H)(Cl)(PMe$_3$)$_3$ (1 eq), PhSiH$_3$ (1 eq) and B(C$_6$F$_5$)$_3$ (2 eq)**

Proposed product: [((ArN)Mo(Cl)(SiH$_2$Ph)(PMe$_3$)$_2$][HB(C$_6$F$_5$)$_3$]. $^1$H NMR (300 MHz, C$_6$D$_6$; 297 K, δ, ppm)$^p$: 1.02 (d, $J = 6.2$ Hz, 9H, 1PMe$_3$), 1.27 (d, $J = 6.6$ Hz, 6H, 2CH$_3$, iPr), 1.29 (d, $J = 6.6$ Hz, 6H, 2CH$_3$, iPr), 1.39 (d, $J = 6.2$ Hz, 9H, 1PMe$_3$), 3.87 (sept, $J = 6.7$ Hz, 2H, iPr), 6.87-6.94 (m, 2H, Ar), 6.97-7.03 (m, 1H, Ar), 7.23-7.31 (m, 3H, Ph), 7.72 (d, $^3J_{H-31P} = 2.0$ Hz, $^1J_{H-29Si} = 188.7$ Hz, 1H, Si-H), 7.93-7.99 (m, 2H, $o$-H, Ph). $^{31}$P NMR (121.5 MHz; C$_6$D$_6$; 297 K, δ, ppm): -1.49 (d, $J = 176.7$ Hz, 1P, PMe$_3$), 0.34 (d, $J = 176.7$ Hz, 1P, PMe$_3$). $^1$H-$^{29}$Si HSQC NMR (f1: 600.2 MHz; f2: 119.2 MHz; $J = 200.0$ Hz; C$_6$D$_6$; 297 K, δ, ppm): 97.8 (SiHPh). $^{19}$F NMR (282.4 MHz, C$_6$D$_6$; 297 K, δ, ppm): no signals observed. $^1$H-$^{13}$C HSQC NMR (f1: 600.2 MHz; f2: 150.9 MHz; C$_6$D$_6$; 297 K, δ, ppm): 15.7 (PMe$_3$), 16.1 (PMe$_3$), 23.8 (2CH$_3$, iPr), 24.1 (2CH$_3$, iPr), 29.3 (CH, iPr), 123.3 (2CH, $m$-C, Ar), 126.5 ($p$-CH, Ar), 127.8 (CH, Ph), 135.4 (CH, $o$-CH, Ph)

**Synthesis of (ArN)Mo(-N=C(SiH$_2$Ph)Ph)(Cl)(PMe$_3$)$_2$**

$^1$H NMR (300 MHz, C$_6$D$_6$; 297 K, δ, ppm): 0.89 (vt, $^2J_{H-P} = 3.30$ Hz, 2PMe$_3$), 1.33 (bd, $^2J_{H-H} = 6.90$ Hz, 12H, 4CH$_3$, i-Pr), 4.58 (sept, $^2J_{H-41} = 6.90$ Hz, 2H, $i$-Pr), 6.07 (s, 2H, 

---

$^p$ H-B signal was not observed in $^1$H NMR in the range from -40 to +40 ppm.

$^q$ The structure of (ArN)Mo(-N=C(SiH$_2$Ph)Ph)(Cl)(PMe$_3$)$_2$ is proposed. The alternative suggested structure is (ArN)Mo(-C(Ph)=N-SiH$_2$Ph)(Cl)(PMe$_3$)$_2$
$^{28}$SiH$_2$Ph; d, $^1J_{Si-H} = 206.9$ Hz, $^{29}$SiH$_2$Ph). 6.99-7.06 (m, 3H, Ar), 7.07-7.38 (m, 6H, Ph), 7.72-7.78 (m, 2H, o-H, SiH$_2$Ph). $^{31}$P NMR (121.5 MHz; C$_6$D$_6$; 297 K, δ, ppm): -9.5 (s, 2PMe$_3$). $^1$H-$^{13}$C HSQC NMR (f1: 300 MHz; f2: 75.5 MHz; $J = 145.0$ Hz; C$_6$D$_6$; 297 K, δ, ppm): -39.5 (SiH$_2$Ph). $^{13}$C-NMR (75.5 MHz; C$_6$D$_6$; 298 K; δ, ppm): 14.4 (vt, $^1J_{C-P} = 10.7$ Hz, 2PMe$_3$), 24.1 (s, CH$_3$, i-Pr), 27.4 (bs, CH, i-Pr), 55.4 (-N=C(Ph)(SiH$_2$Ph), the signal was found by HMBC correlation), 123.1 (CH, Ar), 125.9 (CH, Ar), 128.3 (o-C, C-Ph), 128.4 (CH, Ph), 128.5 (CH, Ph), 128.6 (CH, Ph), 129.3 (CH, Ph), 129.8 (CH, Ph), 135.6 (ipso-C), 135.9 (o-C, SiH$_2$Ph), 139.8 (ipso-C), 151.9 (ipso-C, C-N, Ar).

**Reaction of (ArN)Mo(-N=C(SiH$_2$Ph)Ph)(Cl)(PMe$_3$)$_2$ with CatBH**

Catecholborane was added to a solution of (ArN)Mo(-N=C(SiH$_2$Ph)Ph)(Cl)(PMe$_3$)$_2$ in C$_6$D$_6$ (0.60 ml).

**Reaction of (ArN)Mo(SiH$_2$Ph)(Cl)(PMe$_3$)$_2$ with cyclohexanone**

Cyclohexanone (2.9 μl, 0.028 mmol) was added to a solution of (ArN)Mo(SiH$_2$Ph)(Cl)(PMe$_3$)$_2$ (0.028 mmol) in C$_6$D$_6$ (0.6 μl). Next day, additional amount of cyclohexanone (1.5 μl, 0.014 mmol) were added to complete the reaction.
V. 9. H₂/Si-H exchange mediated by metal complexes and boranes

Table V-9. Rate constants for H/H exchange between H₂ and PhSiH₅ in the presence of (ArN)Mo(SiH₂Ph)(Cl)(PMe₃)₂

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>T, K</th>
<th>1/T, K⁻¹</th>
<th>k, s⁻¹</th>
<th>-ln(k/T)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>283.1</td>
<td>0.003532</td>
<td>0.0148</td>
<td>-5.22783E-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>295.1</td>
<td>0.003389</td>
<td>0.0706</td>
<td>-0.000239241</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>304.1</td>
<td>0.003288</td>
<td>0.1135</td>
<td>-0.000373232</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>314.1</td>
<td>0.003184</td>
<td>0.1573</td>
<td>-0.000500796</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ΔH°, J/mol = 10.7 ± 0.1
ΔS°, J/(K·mol) = -197.8 ± 0.0

Figure V-93. Eyring plot for H/H exchange between H₂ and PhSiH₅ in the presence of (ArN)Mo(SiH₂Ph)(Cl)(PMe₃)₂

Metal-mediated H₂/Si-H exchange: general procedure

Hydrogen atmosphere was applied to a solution of a metal complex and PhSiD₃ in C₆D₆. Formation of H-D and PhSiHₓDᵧ was observed by ¹H NMR. ¹H-NMR (300 MHz; C₆D₆; 298K; δ, ppm): 4.32 (s, PhSiHₓDᵧ), 4.54 (t, ¹J_H-D = 42.6 Hz, H-D). The following complexes observed to mediate the H₂/Si-H exchange: ZnCl₂ (Figure VI-1), (PPh₃)CuH (Figure VI-2), (ArN)Mo(H)(Cl)(PMe₃) (Figure VI-3), (Cp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃) (Figure VI-4).
H/D exchange between PhSiD₃ and H₂ (control experiment)
A hydrogen atmosphere was applied to the solution of PhSiD₃ (5 µl, 0.0040 mmol) in C₆D₆ (0.60 ml). Formation of either PhSiHₓDₙ or H-D was not observed during one month at RT.

H/D exchange between PhSiD₃ and H₂ mediated by BPh₃
A hydrogen atmosphere was applied to the solution of PhSiD₃ (5 µl, 0.0040 mmol) and BPh₃ (1.0 mg, 0.0040 mmol) in C₆D₆ (0.60 ml). Formation of PhSiHₓDₙ and H-D was observed by ¹H NMR after one month at RT. ¹H-NMR (300 MHz; C₆D₆; 298K; δ, ppm): 4.32 (s, PhSiHₓDₙ), 4.54 (t, ¹J_H-D = 42.5 Hz, H-D).

H/D exchange between PhSiD₃ and H₂ mediated by B(C₆F₅)₃
A hydrogen atmosphere was applied to the solution of PhSiD₃ (4.4 mg, 0.040 mmol) and B(C₆F₅)₃ (5.0 mg, 0.010 mmol) in C₆D₆ (0.60 ml). Appearance of PhSiHₓDₙ and H-D was observed in ¹H NMR after 10 min at RT. The reaction was left overnight. Next day, a large broad peak of PhSiH₃ was observed. The exchange was slow. ¹H-NMR (300 MHz; C₆D₆; 298K; δ, ppm): 4.32 (s, PhSiHₓDₙ), 4.54 (t, ¹J_H-D = 42.5 Hz, H-D).

H/D exchange between PhMeSiD₂ and H₂ mediated by B(C₆F₅)₃
A hydrogen atmosphere was applied to the solution of PhMeSiD₂ (4.8 mg, 0.039 mmol) and B(C₆F₅)₃ (2.0 mg, 0.0039 mmol) in C₆D₆ (0.60 ml). Formation of PhMeSiHₓDₙ and H-D was observed. ¹H-NMR (300 MHz; C₆D₆; 298K; δ, ppm): 4.32 (s, PhSiHₓDₙ), 4.54 (t, ¹J_H-D = 42.3 Hz, H-D).

H/D exchange between PhMe₂SiD and H₂ mediated by B(C₆F₅)₃
A hydrogen atmosphere was applied to the solution of PhMe2SiD (5.4 mg, 0.039 mmol) and B(C6F5)3 (2.0 mg, 0.0039 mmol) in C6D6 (0.60 ml). Formation of PhMe2SiH and H-D was observed. ¹H-NMR (300 MHz; C6D6; 298K; δ, ppm): 4.32 (s, PhSiHxDy), 4.54 (t, J H-D = 42.3 Hz, H-D).

**H/D exchange between Et3SiD and H2 mediated by B(C6F5)3**

A hydrogen atmosphere was applied to the solution of Et3SiD (4.6 mg, 0.039 mmol) and B(C6F5)3 (2.0 mg, 0.0039 mmol) in C6D6 (0.60 ml). Formation of Et3SiH and H-D was observed. ¹H-NMR (300 MHz; C6D6; 298K; δ, ppm): 4.32 (s, PhSiHxDy), 4.54 (t, J H-D = 42.3 Hz, H-D).

**H/D exchange between PhSiD3 and Et3SiH in the presence of BPh3**

Triethylsilane (10 μl, 0.079 mmol) and PhSiD3 (10 μl, 0.079 mmol) were mixed in the presence of BPh3 (1.9 mg, 0.0079 mmol) in C6D6 (0.60 ml). The H/D exchange was not immediately observed. The reaction mixture was left for one month at RT. Formation of PhSiHxDy was observed by ¹H NMR. ¹H-NMR (300 MHz; C6D6; 298K; δ, ppm): 4.32 (s, PhSiHxDy).

**Preparation of HB(C6F5)2**

Triethylsilane (2.9 μl, 0.018 mmol) was added to a solution of B(C6F5)3 (10.0 mg, 0.018 mmol) in C6D6 (0.60 ml), and the reaction mixture was heated at 40 °C for several days until the reaction is complete.

**H/D exchange between CatBH an Et3SiD**

Catecholborane (5 μl) and Et3SiD (5 μl) were mixed in C6D6 (0.60 ml). Formation of Et3SiH was observed in ¹H NMR and a broad singlet of CatBD in ¹¹B NMR spectrum. ¹H-NMR (300 MHz; C6D6; 298K; δ, ppm): 4.00 (s, 1H, Et3SiH). ¹¹B-NMR (96.3 MHz; C6D6; 298 K; δ, ppm): 28.0 (bs, 1B, CatBD).

**H/D exchange between PhSiH3 and H2 in the presence of PMe3**
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Trimethylphosphine (7 µl) was added to a solution of PhSiD₃ (5 µl) in C₆D₆ (0.60 ml). The hydrogen atmosphere was applied, and the reaction mixture was left for one week at RT. No detectable amount of protosilane PhSiDₓHᵧ or HD was observed in ¹H NMR spectrum, indicating on the absence of H/D scrambling.

**Reaction between acetophenone and PhSiD₃ in the presence of BPh₃**
Acetophenone (6.0 mg, 0.050 mmol), phenylsilane (5.4 mg, 0.050 mmol) and triphenylborane (1.2 mg, 0.0050 mmol) were mixed in in C₆D₆ (0.60 ml). Hydrosilylation of acetophenone was not observed.

**Reaction between styrene and PhSiD₃ in the presence of BPh₃**
Styrene (5.0 mg, 0.048 mmol), phenylsilane (5.2 mg, 0.048 mmol) and triphenylborane (11.6 mg, 0.048 mmol) were mixed in in C₆D₆ (0.60 ml). Hydrosilylation of styrene was not observed.

**Reaction between 1-hexane and CatBH in the presence of B(C₆F₅)₃**
Hydroboration of 1-hexane was not observed.

**H/D exchange between Et₃SiD and CatBH**
Catecholborane (3.0 ml) and Et₃SiD (3.0 ml) were mixed in C₆D₆ (0.60 ml). Formation of Et₃SiH and CatBD was observed by NMR. ¹H-NMR (300 MHz; C₆D₆; 298K; δ, ppm): 4.00 (s, Et₃SiH).
VI Appendix

Table VI-1. Crystal structure determination parameters for (Cp)(ArN)Mo(OCH2Ph)(PMe3).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Identification code</td>
<td>p-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Empirical formula</td>
<td>C27H38MoNOP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formula weight</td>
<td>519.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colour, habit</td>
<td>black plate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystal size, mm</td>
<td>0.38 x 0.32 x 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystal system, space group</td>
<td>Triclinic, P-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unit cell dimensions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a, Å</td>
<td>9.9782(7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b, Å</td>
<td>10.3691(7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c, Å</td>
<td>14.1074(10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α, °</td>
<td>108.5940(10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β, °</td>
<td>96.9990(10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>γ, °</td>
<td>105.3790(10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume, Å³</td>
<td>1299.42(16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calculated density, g/cm³</td>
<td>1.328</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absorption coefficient, mm⁻¹</td>
<td>0.584</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F(000)</td>
<td>544</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bruker SMART-APEX-2</td>
<td>Bruker SMART-APEX-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature, K</td>
<td>123(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radiation, (λ, Å)</td>
<td>graphite monochromatized MoK\α (0.71073)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scan mode</td>
<td>omega</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Step per scan, °</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theta range for data collection, °</td>
<td>1.56 to 30.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limiting indices</td>
<td>-14 ≤ h ≤ 12, -11 ≤ k ≤ 14, -19 ≤ l ≤ 19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reflections collected / unique</td>
<td>16642 / 7517 [R(int) = 0.0238]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completeness to theta = 30.00 °</td>
<td>99.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reflections with l&gt;2σ(l)</td>
<td>6610</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Min. and Max. transmission</td>
<td>0.8085 and 0.9770</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrogen treatment</td>
<td>All H atoms were found from diff. Fourier synthesis and refined isotopically</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data / restraints / parameters</td>
<td>7517 / 0 / 229</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goodness-of-fit on F²</td>
<td>1.074</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final R indices [l&gt;2σ(l)]</td>
<td>R1 = 0.0289  wR2 = 0.0713</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R indices (all data)</td>
<td>R1 = 0.0358  wR2 = 0.0740</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Largest diff. peak and hole, e/ Å³</td>
<td>1.016 and -0.383</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Table VI-2. Crystal structure determination parameters for (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe3).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Identification code</td>
<td>p21c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Empirical formula</td>
<td>C₃₀H₅₄BMoN₇P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formula weight</td>
<td>650.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Habit, colour</td>
<td>block, black</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystal size, mm</td>
<td>0.45 x 0.40 x 0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystal system, space group</td>
<td>Monoclinic, P2(1)/c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unit cell dimensions:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a, Å</td>
<td>11.4352(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b, Å</td>
<td>13.2000(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c, Å</td>
<td>20.9102(7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a, °</td>
<td>90.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b, °</td>
<td>103.8340(10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c, °</td>
<td>90.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume, Å³</td>
<td>3064.73(18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calculated density, g/cm³</td>
<td>1.410</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absorption coefficient, mm⁻¹</td>
<td>0.513</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F(000)</td>
<td>1380</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diffractometer</td>
<td>Bruker SMART-APEX-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature, K</td>
<td>143(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radiation, (λ, Å)</td>
<td>graphite monochromatized MoK(\alpha) (0.71073)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scan mode</td>
<td>omega</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theta range for data collection, °</td>
<td>1.83 to 30.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limiting indices</td>
<td>-16 ≤ h ≤ 16, -18 ≤ k ≤ 18, -29 ≤ l ≤ 29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reflections collected / unique</td>
<td>35446 / 8933 [R(int) = 0.0208]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completeness to theta = 30.00 °</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reflections with l&gt;2σ(l)</td>
<td>7274</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absorption correction</td>
<td>Semi-empirical from equivalents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Min. and Max. transmission</td>
<td>0.8019 and 0.8368</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrogen treatment</td>
<td>All H atoms were found from diff. Fourier synthesis and refined isotopically.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data / restraints / parameters</td>
<td>8933 / 0 / 229</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goodness-of-fit on F²</td>
<td>1.090</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final R indices [I&gt;2σ(I)]</td>
<td>R1 = 0.0431, wR2 = 0.1170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R indices (all data)</td>
<td>R1 = 0.0541, wR2 = 0.1235</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Largest diff. peak and hole, e/ Å³</td>
<td>1.207 and -0.800</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table VI-3. Crystal structure determination parameters for (ArN)(CyO)Mo(Cl)(PMe₃)₃

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Identification code</td>
<td>p21c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Empirical formula</td>
<td>C₂₇H₅₅ClMoNOP₃</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formula weight</td>
<td>634.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystal size, mm</td>
<td>0.30 x 0.28 x 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unit cell dimensions:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume, Å³</td>
<td>3321.7(5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calculated density, g/cm³</td>
<td>1.268</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absorption coefficient, mm⁻¹</td>
<td>0.639</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F(000)</td>
<td>1344</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature, K</td>
<td>153(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radiation, (λ, Å)</td>
<td>graphite monochromatized MoKα(0.71073)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scan mode</td>
<td>omega</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Step per scan, deg</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theta range, deg</td>
<td>1.65 to 30.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limiting indices</td>
<td>-17 ≤ h ≤ 17, -27 ≤ k ≤ 27, -19 ≤ l ≤ 19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reflections collected / unique</td>
<td>38454 / 9652 [R(int) = 0.0355]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completeness to theta = 30.00 °</td>
<td>99.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reflections with I&gt;2σ(I)</td>
<td>8215</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Min. and Max. transmission</td>
<td>0.8315 and 0.9749</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refinement method</td>
<td>Full-matrix least-squares on F²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrogen treatment</td>
<td>All H atoms were found from diff. Fourier synthesis and refined isotopically</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data / restraints / parameters</td>
<td>9652 / 0 / 307</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goodness-of-fit on F²</td>
<td>1.149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final R indices [I&gt;2σ(I)]</td>
<td>R1 = 0.0444, wR2 = 0.1258</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R indices (all data)</td>
<td>R1 = 0.0537, wR2 = 0.1289</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Largest diff. peak and hole, e/ Å³</td>
<td>2.881 and -0.447</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure VI-1. $^1$H NMR spectrum of PhSiD$_3$ and ZnCl$_2$ in Et$_2$O under H$_2$ atmosphere.
Figure VI-2. $^1$H NMR spectrum of PhSiD$_3$ and CuH(PPh$_3$) in C$_6$D$_6$ under H$_2$ atmosphere.
Figure VI-3. $^1$H NMR spectrum of $\text{(ArN}_2\text{Mo(H)(Cl)(PMe}_3\text{)}_3$ and PhSiD$_3$ under H$_2$ atmosphere.
Figure VI-4. $^1$H NMR spectrum of (Cp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe$_3$) and PhSiD$_3$ under H$_2$ atmosphere.
Figure VI-5. $^1$H NMR spectrum of (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe₃) and PhSiD₃ under H₂ atmosphere (30 min, RT).
Figure VI-6. $^1$H NMR spectrum of (Tp)(ArN)Mo(H)(PMe3) and PhSiD$_3$ under H$_2$ atmosphere (3 days, RT).
Figure VI-7. $^1$H NMR spectrum of PhSiD$_3$ in C$_6$D$_6$ under the hydrogen atmosphere (control experiment)
Figure VI-8. $^1$H NMR spectrum of PhSiD$_3$ and BPh$_3$ in C$_6$D$_6$ under the hydrogen atmosphere
Figure VI-9. $^1$H NMR spectrum of PhSiD$_3$ and B(C$_6$F$_3$)$_3$ in C$_6$D$_6$ under the hydrogen atmosphere.
**Figure VI-10.** $^1$H NMR spectrum of PhMeSiD$_2$ and B(C$_6$F$_3$)$_3$ in C$_6$D$_6$ under the hydrogen atmosphere
Figure VI-11. $^1$H NMR spectrum of PhMe$_2$SiD and B(C$_6$F$_5$)$_3$ in C$_6$D$_6$ under the hydrogen atmosphere
Figure VI-12. $^1$H NMR spectrum of PhSiD$_3$, Et$_3$SiH and BPh$_3$ in C$_6$D$_6$ (after 1 month at RT).
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